Ain Shams University Faculty of Medicine Pediatric Department



Comparison of Three Prognostic Scoring Systems (PRISM, PIMII and PELOD) in Prediction of Mortality of Children Admitted to the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit in Children Hospital; Ain Shams University

Thesis

Submitted to Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University
For Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for Master Degree
In Pediatric

By

Fatma Mohamed Elsayed M.B.,B.Ch.

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Tarek Ahmed Abd El-Gawad

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hanan Mohamed Ibrahim

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr.

Mervat Gamal Eldin Mansour

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013



در اسة مقارنة بين دقة كلا من مقياس احتمالية الوفاه للأطفال، ومقياس مؤشر الوفاه للأطفال رقم 2، ومقياس الاختلال اللوجستي الوظيفي للأعضاء للأطفال في توقع الوفاه للأطفال المدخلين لوحدة العناية المركزة بمستشفى الاطفال، جامعة عين شمس رسالة مقدمة إيفاء جزئياً لشرط الحصول على درجة الماجستير في الأمراض طب الاطفال

من الطبيبة

الطبيبة/ فاطمة محمد السيد

(بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة) كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

المشر فون الأستاذ الدكتور طارق احمد عبد الجواد

أستاذ طب الأطفال كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذة الدكتورة حنان محمد إبرأهيم

أستاذ طب الأطفال كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة ميرفت جمال الدين منصور

أستاذ مساعد طب الأطفال كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس 2013



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and before all, I thank **ALLAH**. I thank him for his great mercy, generous blesses, and for his continuous gifts.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Ahmed Abd El Gawad.** Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of

Medicine Ain Shams University. His instructive guidance,
continuous support, enthusiastic encouragement and scientific
supervision and correction were beyond words can convey.

I am also deeply grateful to. **Dr. Hanan Mohamed Ibrahim.** Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams
University. Her constant help, precious advice, constructive
criticism and excellent supervision, has enabled this work to reach
its final form.

Endless thanks goes to **Dr. Mervat Gamal-Eldin Mansour.** Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University. I will always be grateful for the time and
tremendous effort she has put into this study.

Special thanks to my family for their prayers, support and continuous encouragement.

Fatma Mohamed El-Sayed

List of Abbreviations

ACEP	American college of emergency
	physicians
ACTH	Adrenocorticotropic hormone
ADH	Anti-diuretic hormone
AIDS	Acquired immune deficiency
	syndrome
AMA	American Medical Association
AOA	American Osteopathic Association
APACHE	Acute physiology and chronic health
	evaluation
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
ARF	Acute respiratory failure
ARF	Acute renal failure
ASMR	Age-specific mortality rate
ATN	Acute tubular necrosis
BIS	Bispectral index
BMT	Bone marrow transplantation
BSIs	Blood stream infections
CAUTI	Catheter-associated urinary tract
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and
	Prevention
CFU	Colony-forming units
CHD	Congenital heart disease
CHF	Congestive heart failure
CMM	Cancer Mortality Model
CMP	Cardiomyopathy
CNS	Central nervous system
CO2	Carbon Dioxide
CONS	Coaggulase negative staff
СР	Child-Pugh

CPA	Cardiopulmonary arrest
CPAP	Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
CPR	Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
CPR	Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
CRIB	Clinical Risk Index for Babies score
CSEP	Clinically suspected sepsis
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid.
CVC	Central venous catheter
CVC	Central venous pressure
CVS	Cardiovascular
DIC	Disseminated intra-vascular
DIVA	coagulation
DKA	Diabetic keto-acidosis
DMD	Duchenne muscular dystrophy
DNR	do not resuscitate
DOA	Dead on arrival
DORA	Dynamic Objective Risk Assessment
DRGs	Diagnostic Related Groupings
DSN	Dialysis Surveillance Network
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ED	Emergency department
EEG	Electroencephalograms
EEGs	Electro encephalogram
EENT	Eye, ear, nose, and throat
EM	Emergency Medicine
EMS	Emergency medical services
ENT	Ear, Nose, & Throat
EPs	Emergency physicians
ER	Emergency room
EtCO2	End-tidal CO2
FiO2	Fraction of inspired Oxygen
GCS	Glasgow Coma Scale

GCS	Glasgow Coma Scale
GI	Gastrointestinal
HAI	Health care associated infection
HR	Heart Rate
ICP	Intracranial pressure
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
IOM	Institute of medicine
IU	International Unit
LOS	The length of stay
LRI	Lower respiratory tract infections
MASH	Mobile_Army_Surgical_Hospital
	units
MBP	Mean Blood Pressure
mEq/L	Milli Equivalent per Liter
mmHg	Milligram per deciliter
mmol/L	Millimole per Liter
MODS	Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome
MPM	Mortality Probability Models
MPM II	Mortality prediction model
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant S aureus
	(MRSA)
NaSH	National Surveillance System for
	Healthcare Workers
NHSN	National Healthcare Safety Network
NICU	Neonatal ICU
NMD	Neuromuscular disorders
NNIS	National Nosocomial Infection
	Surveillance System
P	Probability value
PaCO2	Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide
	Tension
PaO2	Partial Oxygen Tension In Arterial

	Blood	
PELOD	PEdiatric Logistic Organ Dysfunction	
PEMOD	PEdiatric Multiple Organ Dysfunction	
PICANET	Pediatric Intensive Care Audit	
ICANLI	network	
PICU	Pediatric intensive care unit	
PIM	The Pediatric Index of Mortality	
PIMII	The Pediatric Index of Mortality II	
PNE	Pneumonia	
PO2	Partial pressure of oxygen	
PPS	Prospective Payment System	
PRISM	Pediatric Risk of Mortality	
PSI	Physiologic Stability Index	
PT	Prothrombin Time	
PTS	Paediatric Trauma score	
PTT	Partial Thromboplastin Time	
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride	
RIFLE	Risk, injury, failure, loss and end-	
DOG	stage kidney classification	
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic	
DD	Curve Descriptory Data	
RR	Respiratory Rate	
S. aureus	Staphylococcus aureus	
SAPS SBP	Simplified acute physiology score Systolic Blood Pressure	
SENIC	Study of the Efficacy of Nosocomial	
SENIC	Infection Control	
SIADH	Syndrome of inappropriate secretion	
JINDII	of antidiuretic hormone	
SIRS	Systemic inflammatory response	
	syndrome	
SLOSR	Standardized length of stay ratio	
SMA	Spinal muscular atrophy	
SNAP	Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology	

SOFA	Sepsis-related Organ Failure
	Assessment
SOI	Severity of illness
SSI	Surgical site infections
SST	Skin and soft tissue
TcCO2	Transcutaneous carbon dioxide
	tension
TcO2	Transcutaneous oxygen tension
TISS	Therapeutic intervention scoring
	system
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
US	Ultrasound
UTI	Urinary tract infection
VAP	Ventilator-associated pneumonia
WHO	World health organization
μmol/L	Micro mole per liter

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
Review of Literature		
Figure (1):	Quality and efficiency assessments using SMRs and SLOSRs	45
	Results	
Figure (2):	Distribution of gender in the specemin.	79
Figure (3):	Outcome of patients in the study.	81
Figure (4):	System affection among the population of the study.	81
Figure (5):	Distribution of age in the specimen.	83
Figure (6):	Distribution of age in the specimen.	84
Figure (7):	Distribution of the length of stay groups in the specimen	88
Figure (8):	Percentage of cases survived and died in relation to length of stay groups.	88
Figure (9):	Superposition of three receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC).	105
Figure (10):	Scatter plot displaying correlation between PIM and PRISM predicted mortality.	109
Figure (11):	Scatter plot displaying correlation between PIM and PELOD predicted mortality.	110
Figure (12):	Scatter plot displaying correlation between PRISM and PELOD predicted mortality.	11

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
Review of Literature		
Table (1):	Major categories and examples of outcome prediction models.	36
Table (2):	PRISM score.	58
Table (3):	PIM2 score.	64
Table (4):	PELOD score.	70
	Results	
Table (5):	Demographic data of the patients of the study.	78
Table (6):	Clinical data and admission criteria of the patients of the study.	80
Table (7):	System affection among the population of the study.	81
Table (8):	Scores performance in relation to age.	82
Table (9):	Relation of age to actual outcome.	83
Table (10):	Scores performance in relation to sex.	85
Table (11):	Relation of Sex to actual outcome.	85
Table (12):	Relation of PRISM, PIM2 and PELOD and length of hospital stay.	87
Table (13):	Relation of Hospital Stay Days to actual outcome.	87
Table (14):	Relation of demographic factors to actual outcome.	89
Table (15):	Relation of other Clinical characteristics and admission criteria to actual outcome.	90
Table (16):	PIM, PRISM and PELOD score and predicted mortality performance in relation to actual outcome.	91
Table (17):	PELOD performance in relation to actual outcome.	92

		0.0
Table (18):	Performance of scores in relation to respiratory	93
	system affection.	
Table (19):	Relation of respiratory system affection to actual	93
	outcome.	
	Performance of scores in relation to	94
Table (20):	cardiovascular system affection.	
	Relation of cardiovascular system affection to	94
Table (21):	actual outcome.	71
	actual outcome.	95
Table (22):	Performance of scores in relation to CNS	95
Table (22).	affection.	
T-1-1- (22)	Deletion of CNS effection to actual outcome	05
Table (23):	Relation of CNS affection to actual outcome.	95
Table (24):	Performance of scores in relation to metabolic	96
Table (24).	system affection.	
m.1.1. (25)	Relation of metabolic system affection to actual	96
Table (25):	outcome.	
	Performance of scores in relation to hematologic	97
Table (26):	system affection.	
	Relation of hematologic system affection to	97
Table (27):		97
	actual outcome.	
Table (28):	Performance of scores in relation to	98
Table (20).	gastrointestinal system affection.	
T-1-1- (20)	Relation of gastrointestinal system affection to	98
Table (29):	actual outcome.	
Table (30):	Performance of scores in relation to renal system	99
	affection.	
	WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL	

Table (31):	Relation of renal system affection to actual	99
	outcome.	
Table (32):	Actual outcome in relation to main diagnosis.	100
Table (22).	PRISM predicted mortality percentage in	101
Table (33):	relation to main diagnosis.	
Table (34):	PIM predicted mortality percentage in relation	102
1 able (34):	to main diagnosis.	
Table (35):	PELOD predicted mortality percentage in	103
Table (33).	relation to main diagnosis.	
Table (26)	Area under the curve for PRISM, PIM2 and	105
Table (36):	PELOD.	
Table (27)	Calibration of models in five intervals of	107
Table (37):	mortality risk.	
Table (38):	Correlation between PIM and PRISM.	109
Table (39):	Correlation between PIM and PELOD.	110
Table (40):	Correlation between PRISM and PELOD.	111
Table (41):	Comparison between PRISM, PIM 2 and	112
	PELOD as regard performance of the models.	

List f Contents

Subject	Page
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables	VI
List of Figures	IX
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
> Chapter (I): Indications of admission to PICU	5
> Chapter (II): Scoring systems	25
> Chapter (III): The Pediatric risk of mortality (PRISM) score	55
> Chapter (IV): The Pediatric index of mortality (PIM2) score	61
> Chapter (V): The pediatric logistic organ dysfunction (PELOD) score	67
Patients and Methods	73
Results	78
Discussion	113
Summary and Conclusion	136
Recommendations	141
References	142
Arabic Summary	

Introduction

Critical care has developed over the past 30 years with little rigorous scientific evidence about what is, or is not, clinically effective. Pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) is an important component of tertiary pediatric care services. PICUs aim at promoting qualified care for critically ill children. Following the rapid advances in medical therapy and critical care technology over past thirty years, coupled with the spiraling cost of medical care, outcome analysis including mortality risk prediction has become a challenge for the modern day intensivists (*Fiser*, 1996).

Critically ill patients are typically characterized by disturbances of body homeostasis. Both in adults and children, these disturbances can be estimated by measuring how much apart one or many physiologic variables are from the normal range. Composite scores can be constructed with such variables. Many types of scores have been developed. These scores consider some co-morbidities and physiologic disturbances at entry into PICU. Prognostic scores were developed to better describe the severity of illness and maximize prediction of the