# A STUDY OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN GENETIC MARKERS AND PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN LAYER CHICKEN

By

#### SAADEYA SAAD EL-DEIN MEKKY MOHAMED

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Ain Shams University, 1992 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2008

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

in
Agricultural Sciences
(Poultry Breeding)

Department of Poultry Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### **Approval Sheet**

# A STUDY OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN GENETIC MARKERS AND PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN LAYER CHICKEN

By

### Saadeya Saad El-Dein Mekky Mohamed

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Ain Shams University, 1992 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2008

### This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

	Hassan Hassan Younis  Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University
	Hassan E. Ayoub Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
F	Ahmed Galal El-Sayed  Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Sham University
	Ali Zein El-Deen Hassan Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

**Date of Examination:** / /2016

# A STUDY OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN GENETIC MARKERS AND PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN LAYER CHICKEN

By

#### SAADEYA SAAD EL-DEIN MEKKY MOHAMED

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Ain Shams University, 1992 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2008

#### **Under the supervision of:**

#### Dr. Ali Zein El-Deen Hassan Farrag

Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

#### Dr. Ahmed Galal El-Sayed Gad

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Hassan Ismael Zaky

Researcher Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Animal and Poultry Breeding Department, Desert Research Center

#### **ABSTRACT**

Saadeya Saad El-Dein Mekky Mohamed: A Study of Association between Genetic Markers and Productive Traits in Layer Chicken. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017.

The aim of this study was to measure the genetic variability in two commercial layer strains Hy-line (brown) and Hy-line (W-36) using five microsatellite markers and evaluation of their productive performance under Egyptian environmental conditions. The present study was carried out using a total of 663 layer chickens to determine egg production characteristics (447 brown Hy-line layers and 216 W-36 Hy-line layers). Egg production traits were recorded throughout the first three months of production period. However, egg quality traits were conducted at 24 weeks of age. Results indicated that brown Hy-line layers had heavier body weight than white ones. Brown layers commenced to lay at an earlier age. Brown eggs were heavier than white eggs. There was insignificant effect of strain on egg number and egg production percentage. However, egg mass was highly significant for brown layers than white ones. Data of egg quality showed that the brown Hy-line eggs were highly significant for egg weight, egg shape index, shell thickness, wet and dry shell weight and shell percentage than w-36 Hy-line eggs. Concerning internal egg quality, results indicated that brown eggs were higher than white eggs for albumen weight. However, Brown layers had higher Haugh units and yolk index than the white ones, but the differences were not statistically significant. Concerning genetic diversity of brown and white Hy-line strains, results showed that there were 18 alleles found at five loci across the two strains. The mean number of alleles per locus for the studied loci was 3.6 alleles ranged from 2 for MCW0246 to 5 for MCW0241. A total of 8 common alleles were detected versus five microsatellite loci overall genotypes. Regarding specific alleles, a total of 10 out of 18 alleles (56 %) were

noticed overall loci for the two genotypes studied. 5 specific alleles were observed in HY-line (Brown). Also, 5 ones were obtained in the case of HY-line (White) strains. the microsatellite MCW0241, ADL0273, MCW0246, MCW0258 and ADL0188 gives number of alleles 5, 3, 2, 4 and 4 with a size range from 295-355, 161-183, 250-280, 161-194 and 164-200bp, respectively. The value of expected heterozygosity (He) was quite high ranging from 0.5556 to 0.6444 with the average of 0.6121 while, observed heterozygosity (Ho) was 1.000 with the average of 1.000. The mean FST values of 0.1748 measured the degree of differentiations within and between strains. Where, the FST value indicated a lack of genetic differentiation between the chiken strains. The highest allele frequency overall loci was (0.50), while the lowest one was (0.100) in brown and (W-36) Hy-line strains. In addition, the highest average of allele frequency estimated was(0.50) in HY-line (W-36) strain, the lowest one was (0.33). (PIC) value for HY-line (W-36) ranged from (0.50) to (0.58) with mean 0.548 for all loci. Meanwhile, it ranged from 0.50 to 0.62 with mean of 0.556 for HY-line (Brown) strain. These differences reflect high genetic variability within HY-line (Brown) strain.

**Key words:** Microsatellite markers, genetic diversity, Brown Hy-line, W-36 Hy- line strain, productive performance, egg quality.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the lead, I would like to express my prayerful thanks to "ALLAH" who helped me in everything.

My deepest gratitude is extended to **Prof. Dr. A. Zein El-Dein**, Emeritus Professor of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, revising the manuscript and continuous support.

I wish to express my deepest appreciation to **Dr. A. Galal**, Professor of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, interest, encouragement and revising the manuscript.

I want to express the extent of my gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. H. I. Zaky,** Professor of Poultry Breeding, Desert Research Center for his supervision, encouragement and revising the manuscript.

Many thanks for **Dr. M.Y. Mahrous**, Associate Professor of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his encouragement and valuable advices during this study.

Special thanks for **Dr. M.A. El-Sayed,** Researcher of Biotechnology, Animal Genetic Resources Department, National Gene Bank, Agriculture Research Center for his valuable advice and help.

I would like to express thanks to Habiba H. Rezk and all staff members of Poultry Production Department Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

I'd like to thank Dr. Afaf A. El-Sheref Researcher of Animal Nutrition, Animal and Poultry Nutrition Department, Desert Research Center.

Finally, I want to thank every member of my family specially my parents, my brother, my sister and their families and my niece Dr. Randa I. Mahmoud for their continuous support and encouragement during this study.

CONTENTS	Page
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	VII
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. Productive performance	3
1.1. Phenotypic measurements	3
1.1.1 Body weight	3
1.1.2. Body measurements	4
1.2. Egg production measurements	4
1.2.1. Age at sexual maturity	5
1.2.2. Egg number	6
1.2.3. Egg weight and egg mass	7
1.3. Egg quality Parameters	8
1.3.1. Egg weight	9
1.3.2. External egg quality measurements	9
1.3.2.1. Egg shape index	10
1.3.2.2. Specific gravity	10
1.3.2.3. Egg volume	11
1.3.2.4. Egg shell thickness	11
1.3.2.5. Egg shell breaking strength	12
1.3.2.6. Egg shell weight and percentage	13
1.3.3. Internal egg quality measurements	14
1.3.3.1. Albumen quality	14
1.3.3.1.1. Albumen weight and percentage	14
1.3.3.1.2. Haugh units	16
1.3.3.2. Yolk quality	16
1.3.3.2.1. Yolk weight and percentage	16
1.3.3.2.2. Yolk index	17
2. Molecular genetics	18
2.1. Development of the domestic chicken	18
2.2. The chicken genome	19

2.3. Genetic diversity	20
2.4. Molecular genetic markers in the chicken	22
2.4.1. Microsatellites (SSR)	23
2.5. Applications of Microsatellites	25
2.6. Z chromosome	28
MATERIAL AND METHODS	29
1. Location and study period	29
2. Experimental breeds	2
3. Flock Management	2
3.1. Housing system	2
3.2. Feeding system	2
3.3. Lighting system	2
4. Productive performance	3
4.1. Phenotypic parameters	3
4.1.1. Body weight	3
4.1.2. Body measurements	3
4.1.2.1. Shank length	3
4.1.2.2. Comb and wattle lengths	3
4.2. Egg production parameters	3
4.2.1. Age at sexual maturity	3
4.2.2. Egg number and egg production rate (%)	3
4.2.3. Egg weight	3
4.2.4. Egg mass	3
4.3. Egg quality measurements	3
4.3.1. Egg weight	3
4.3.2. External egg quality measurements	3
4.3.2.1. Egg shape index	3
4.3.2.2. Specific gravity (Gradational densities salt solutions	
method)	3
4.3.2.3. Egg volume	3
4.3.2.4. Eggshell breaking strength	3
4.3.2.5. Shell weight	3
4.3.2.5.1. Wet Shell weight	3
4.3.2.5.2. Dry Shell weight	3

4.3.2.6. Shell percentage	32
4.3.2.7. Shell thickness with shell membranes	33
4.3.3. Internal egg quality measurements	33
4.3.3.1. Albumen quality	33
4.3.3.1.1. Albumen weight	33
4.3.3.1.2. Albumen percentage	33
4.3.3.1.3. Haugh units	33
4.3.3.2. Yolk quality	33
4.3.3.2.1. Yolk weight	33
4.3.3.2.2. Yolk percentage	34
4.3.3.2.3. Yolk index	34
5. Molecular DNA marker	34
5.1. Blood samples	34
5.2. The laboratory procedures	34
5.3. Microsatellite markers	36
5.4. PCR program	38
5.5. Statistical analysis	39
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
1. Productive performance	43
1.1. Phenotypic parameters	43
1.1.1. Body weight	43
1.1.2. Body measurements	43
1.2. Egg production measurements	45
1.2.1. Age at sexual maturity	45
1.2.2. Egg number	45
1.2.3. Egg weight	45
1.2.4. Egg mass	46
1.2.5. Egg production percentage	46
1.3. Egg quality measurements	47
1.3.1. Egg weight	48
1.3.2. External egg quality measurements	48
1.3.2.1. Egg shape index	49
1.3.2.2. Egg Volume	49
1.3.2.3. Specific gravity	49

1.3.2.4. Egg shell breaking strength	50
1.3.2.5. Egg shell thickness	50
1.3.2.6. Egg shell weight	51
1.3.2.6.1. Wet shell weight	51
1.3.2.6.2. Dry shell weight	51
1.3.2.7. Egg shell percentage	52
1.3.3. Internal egg quality measurements	52
1.3.3.1. Albumen quality	52
1.3.3.1.1. Albumen weight	52
1.3.3.1.2. Albumen percentage	53
1.3.3.1.3. Haugh units	53
1.3.3.2. Yolk quality	53
1.3.3.2.1. Yolk weight	53
1.3.3.2.2. Yolk percentage.	54
1.3.3.2.3. Yolk index	54
2. Genetic diversity	57
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	67
REFERENCES	74
ARABIC SUMMARY	

# LIST OF TABLES

1 Microsatellite loci used, their traits, chromosome number, location on genome, primer sequence, annealing temperature, accession no. and size range	No.	TITLE	Page
The amounts of the reagents for gel preparations	1		
<ul> <li>Means ± SE of some body measurements (cm) for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of egg production characteristics for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of Albumen quality measurements for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus.</li> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>		temperature, accession no. and size range	37
strains	2	The amounts of the reagents for gel preparations	38
strains  Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-line strains  Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-line strains  Means ± SE of Albumen quality measurements for Hy-line strains  Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hy-line strains  Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line strains  Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus  Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F <sub>ST</sub> ) for the chicken strains  Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains  Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains	3	•	44
<ul> <li>Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-line strains</li></ul>	4		47
<ul> <li>Means ± SE of external egg quality measurements for Hyline strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of Albumen quality measurements for Hyline strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hyline strains.</li> <li>Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hyline strains.</li> <li>Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus.</li> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	5	Means $\pm$ SE of external egg quality measurements for	55
<ul> <li>Means ± SE of Albumen quality measurements for Hyline strains.</li> <li>Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hyline strains.</li> <li>Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hyline strains.</li> <li>Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus.</li> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	6	Means $\pm$ SE of external egg quality measurements for Hy-	55
<ul> <li>Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line strains.</li> <li>Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus.</li> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	7	Means $\pm$ SE of Albumen quality measurements for Hy-	56
<ul> <li>Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line strains</li></ul>	8	Means ± SE of yolk quality measurements for Hy-line	56
<ul> <li>Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for each locus.</li> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	9	Specific alleles, common alleles and total number of alleles	57
<ul> <li>Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition index (F<sub>ST</sub>) for the chicken strains.</li> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	10	Characteristics of microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, fragment size and number of alleles observed for	59
<ul> <li>Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two strains.</li> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains.</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	11	Microsatellite loci used, chromosomal location, observed (HO) and expected (HE) hetrozygosities and the fixition	60
<ul> <li>Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for each of 5 microsatellite loci in two Hy-line strains</li> <li>AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite</li> </ul>	12	Alleles size in base pair, their frequencies for each locus and strain average of allele frequencies observed in two	62
14 AMOVA analysis of two strains based on microsatellite	13	Exact P-Values of the Hardy-Weinberg probability test for	64
DNA variation	14	•	65

## LIST OF FIGURES

No.	TITLE	Page
1	Body weight (g) for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line layer	
	strains	44
2	Age at sexual maturity (d) for Brown and (W-36) Hy-	
	line layer strains	47
3	Egg weight (g) for Brown and (W-36) Hy-line layer	
	strains	54

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFE Age at First Egg

cM CentiMorgan

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

EN Egg number

ES Egg shell strength

EW Egg weight

FiS Fixation indices(Among populations)
FiT Fixation indices(Within individuals)

FST Fixation indices(Among individuals within populations)

HE Expected Heterozygosity
Ho Observed Heterozygosity

HU Haugh-unitNg Nano gram

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

RAPD Random amplified polymorphic DNA

RFLP Restriction fragment length polymorphisms

SSR(s) Simple sequence repeat(s)
STRs Simple tandem repeats

#### INTRODUCTION

Poultry industry is considered to be one of the most important economic industries, which contribute significantly the national income of Egypt. Poultry breeding also provides a source of high protein and high nutritional value costs less compared to other meat. Where, the total number of consumed chicken eggs in the year is estimated by 55 million metric tons (**Muir** et al., 2008).

Chickens are good converters of feed into useable protein both in meat and egg. Eggs of the chicken are considered to be one of the finest foods which provide balance nutrients from proteins, vitamins, minerals and fatty acids with a great biological value. Layers of commercial strains commence to lay eggs at 20 weeks of age. Furthermore, commercial hens produced about 0.9 eggs per day (**Kekeocha**, 1985).

Egg quality is important characteristics for consumers and producers; the internal egg quality is very important for consumers but for producers the external egg quality is very important. The economic success of poultry production is measured by the total number of produced eggs that have a good quality (Monira et al., 2003). Egg shell quality is an important factor to poultry industry; it has direct effects on prices in poultry industry of commercial strains. Where, the percentage of egg shell breakage reached to 7-8% during transport from producers to consumers. Consequently, the total number of broken and cracked eggs causes dangerous economic problems for both producers and traders (Hamilton, 1982). Hence, egg shell breakage result in annual losses of millions of dollars, therefore it is very important to evaluate the egg quality traits.

There is a strong relationship between poultry breeding and poultry genetics, where poultry genetics provide the biological base for poultry breeding. Poultry genetics has a proud history of achievements, in providing modern Knowledge as in facing the global need of food. The genetic variation which exists in the basic economic traits may due