



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Women for
Arts, Science and Education
Faculty of Arts
English Department

A Syntactic Study of International Law as Represented by UN Human Rights Treaties in 2006

by

Alaa Muhammad Sayed Ismail

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Master of Arts in Linguistics

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Nagwa Younis

Associate Professor of Linguistics
Faculty of Education
Ain Shams University

Dr. Jehan El Margoushy

Associate Professor of Literature
Faculty of Women
Ain Shams University

Dr. Reda Said Khalil

Lecturer of Linguistics
Faculty of Alsun
Aswan University

2018

﴿وَقُلْ رَبِّ زِدْنِي عِلْمًا﴾ طه ١١٤

{and say, "My Lord, increase me in knowledge."}

Ta-Ha 114

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, all praise and thanks be to Allah, whose blessings upon us are countless.

I am deeply indebted to my supervisor Professor Nagwa Younis for her invaluable directions and suggestions during the stages of this study, and for her support and courtesy. I really appreciate her effort. Besides, I owe a great debt of gratitude to my supervisor Professor Jehan El Margoushy for her help and cooperation in giving me the opportunity to do this thesis under her supervision. I am also so grateful to my supervisor Dr. Reda Said for his helpful comments, courtesy, and encouragement.

No words can appropriately express my heartfelt thanks to my parents, who have encouraged and kindly supported me throughout the duration of this thesis. Special thanks are due to my sister and brothers for their help.

I would also like to thank Professor Faisal Abdullah for recommending the framework of my study, and any other person who has helped directly or indirectly to accomplish this work.

Finally, I am thankful to Professor Shakir Taki-eldeen and Professor Zakaria Elsaify. It is an honor to have them on the examination panel.

Abstract

This thesis explores the syntactic features of the UN human rights treaties of the 2000s as an instance of public international law. The analyzed corpus comprises the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance (CPED) in 2006, and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) in 2006. The study adopts both a corpus-based approach by using Biber et al.'s (2007) Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English (LGSWE) as a framework and a corpus-driven approach by relying on the corpus evidence. AntConc software is mainly used for the quantitative analysis. The findings prove that the language of law has distinct syntactic features that distinguish it from other varieties of language. The analysis also reveals the functions of these features, and shows that the language of law can be ambiguous.

Key words: syntactic features – language of law – UN human rights treaties – corpus linguistics – LGSWE

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Table of Contents.....	iii
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	ix
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures.....	xi
Chapter One: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study.....	1
1.3 Research Questions.....	2
1.4 Scope of the Study.....	2
1.5 Chapters Outline.....	3
Chapter Two: Theoretical Background.....	4
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2. Legal Language.....	4
2.2.1 Legal Language and Its Divisions.....	4
2.2.2 Forensic Linguistics.....	5
2.2.3 A Brief History of Forensic Linguistics.....	6
2.3 International Law.....	7
2.3.1 What Is International Law?.....	7
2.3.2 Public International Law and Private International Law.....	8
2.3.3 Evolution and Development of International Law.....	9
2.3.4 Sources of Modern International Law.....	9
2.3.5 Treaties.....	9
2.3.5.1 General and Particular Treaties.....	9
2.3.5.2 What Is a Treaty?.....	10
2.3.5.3 The Making of Treaties.....	11
2.4 The United Nations.....	11
2.4.1 Introduction.....	11
2.4.2 The Charter of the United Nations.....	11
2.4.3 UN Official Languages.....	12
2.4.4 The UN Human Rights.....	12
2.4.4.1 Introduction.....	12
2.4.4.2 The UN Human Rights Treaty System.....	12

2.4.4.3 The International Bill of Human Rights.....	14
2.4.4.4 Human Rights Treaty Bodies.....	14
2.5 Review of Literature.....	15
2.5.1 The Nature of Legal Language.....	15
2.5.1.1 Morphology.....	15
2.5.1.2 Lexicon.....	15
2.5.1.3 Syntax.....	16
2.5.1.4 Punctuation.....	19
2.5.2 Speech vs. Writing.....	19
2.5.3 Ambiguity in Legal Language.....	19
2.5.4 Plain Legal Language.....	20
Chapter Three: Methods and Procedures.....	22
3.1 Introduction.....	22
3.2 Corpus Linguistics.....	22
3.2.1 Structure and Use of Language Studies.....	22
3.2.2 ‘Corpus’ and ‘Corpus Linguistics’.....	24
3.2.3 Types of Corpora.....	25
3.2.3.1 Specialized Corpus.....	25
3.2.3.2 General Corpus.....	25
3.2.3.3 Comparable Corpora.....	25
3.2.3.4 Parallel Corpora.....	25
3.2.3.5 Learner Corpus.....	26
3.2.3.6 Pedagogic Corpus.....	26
3.2.3.7 Historical or Diachronic Corpus.....	26
3.2.3.8 Monitor Corpus.....	26
3.2.4 Collecting and Analyzing a Corpus.....	28
3.2.5 The Size of the Corpus.....	29
3.2.6 Annotation.....	29
3.2.6.1 Introduction.....	29
3.2.6.2 Types of Annotation.....	29
3.2.7 Corpus Software Data.....	30
3.2.7.1 Frequency List.....	30
3.2.7.2 Concordance.....	32
3.2.8 Quantitative and Qualitative (Functional) Approaches.....	32
3.2.9 The Corpus Approach Compared to Other Approaches in Linguistics.....	34
3.2.10 Corpus-Based vs. Corpus-Driven Approaches.....	34
3.3 Framework of Study: Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English (LGSWE).....	37

3.3.1 Introduction	37
3.3.2 The LSWE Corpus	38
3.3.3 Registers of English in LGSWE	38
3.3.4 Form and Function in English Grammar	39
3.3.4.1 Register Distribution	39
3.3.4.2 Lexico-Grammatical Patterns	40
3.3.4.3 Grammatical/ Discourse Factors	40
3.3.5 Quantitative Findings in the Grammar	40
3.3.6 Functional Interpretation of Quantitative Findings	41
3.3.7 Other Explanatory Considerations	42
3.3.8 Survey of Function Words	42
3.3.8.1 Determiners	42
3.3.8.1.1 The Articles	43
3.3.8.1.2 Possessive Determiners	44
3.3.8.1.3 Demonstrative Determiners	45
3.3.8.1.4 Quantifiers	45
3.3.8.2 The Role of Pronouns in Discourse	46
3.3.8.2.1 Personal Pronouns	46
3.3.8.2.2 Possessive Pronouns	47
3.3.8.2.3 Reflexive Pronouns	48
3.3.8.2.4 Reciprocal Pronouns	49
3.3.8.2.5 Demonstrative Pronouns	50
3.3.8.2.6 Indefinite Pronouns	51
3.3.8.2.7 Other Pronouns	52
3.3.8.3 Primary Auxiliaries	52
3.3.8.4 Modals and Semi-Modals	53
3.3.8.5 Coordinators	54
3.3.8.6 Subordinators	55
3.3.8.7 Existential ‘ <i>There</i> ’	56
3.3.8.8 Negation	56
3.3.8.8.1 Not-Negation and No-Negation	56
3.3.8.8.2 Contractions With ‘Not’	58
3.3.8.8.3 Multiple Negation	58
3.3.8.9 The Infinitive Marker ‘ <i>to</i> ’	59
3.3.8.10 Numerals	59
3.3.8.10.1 Cardinal Numerals	60
3.3.8.10.2 Ordinal Numerals	60
3.3.9 Tense	60
3.3.9.1 Basic Tense	60
3.3.10 The Passive	61
3.3.10.1 Types of Passive Construction	61
3.3.10.1.1 Finite Constructions	61
3.3.10.1.2 Non-Finite Constructions	61

3.3.11 Major Types of Independent Clauses	62
3.3.11.1 Declarative Clauses	62
3.3.11.2 Interrogative Clauses	62
3.3.11.3 Imperative Clauses	62
3.3.11.4 Exclamative Clauses	63
3.3.12 Types of Dependent Clauses	63
3.3.12.1 Finite Dependent Clauses	63
3.3.12.1.1 Nominal Clauses	63
3.3.12.1.2 Adverbial Clauses	65
3.3.12.1.3 Relative Clauses	65
3.3.12.1.4 Comparative and Other Degree Clauses	66
3.3.12.1.5 Reporting Clauses	66
3.3.12.1.6 Comment Clauses	66
3.3.12.1.7 Other Peripheral Clauses	66
3.3.12.2 Non-Finite Clauses	67
3.3.12.2.1 Infinitive Clauses	67
3.3.12.2.2 Ing-Clauses	69
3.3.12.2.3 Ed-Clauses	69
3.3.12.2.4 Supplementive and Verbless Clauses	70
3.4 Methodology	70
Chapter Four: Analysis	74
4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 Function Word Classes	74
4.2.1 Determiners	74
4.2.1.1 Definite and Indefinite Articles	74
4.2.1.2 Possessive Determiners	77
4.2.1.3 Demonstrative Determiners	78
4.2.1.4 Quantifying Determiners	80
4.2.2 Pronouns	83
4.2.2.1 Personal Pronouns	83
4.2.2.2 Possessive Pronouns	85
4.2.2.3 Reflexive Pronouns	86
4.2.2.4 Reciprocal Pronouns	87
4.2.2.5 Demonstrative Pronouns	87
4.2.2.6 Indefinite Pronouns	88
4.2.3 Determiners That Correspond to Pronouns	89
4.2.3.1 Comparison Between the Definite Article and Personal Pronouns	89
4.2.3.2 Comparison Between Possessive Determiners and Possessive Pronouns	91

4.2.3.3 Comparison Between Demonstrative Determiners and Demonstrative Pronouns.....	91
4.2.3.4 Comparison Between Quantifying Determiners and Indefinite Pronouns.....	92
4.2.4 Primary and Modal Auxiliaries.....	93
4.2.5 Coordinators and Subordinators.....	95
4.2.6 Existential ‘There’.....	100
4.2.7 The Negator ‘Not’ and Negation.....	101
4.2.8 The Infinitive Marker ‘to’.....	104
4.2.9 Numerals.....	105
4.3 Tense and Modality.....	107
4.4 Passive Voice.....	117
4.4.1 Finite and Non-Finite Forms of the Passive.....	117
4.4.2 Be-Passive and Get-Passive.....	118
4.4.3 Short and Long Passive Constructions.....	119
4.4.4 Lexical Associations of the Passive.....	119
4.5 Independent Clauses.....	121
4.6 Dependent Clauses.....	123
4.6.1 Finite Dependent Clauses.....	123
4.6.2 Non-Finite Clauses.....	125
4.6.2.1 Infinitive Clauses.....	126
4.6.2.2 Ing-Clauses.....	129
4.6.2.3 Ed-Clauses.....	131
4.6.2.4 Supplementive and Verbless Clauses.....	132
Chapter Five: Conclusion.....	133
5.1 Results.....	133
5.2 Recommendations.....	137
References.....	138
Synopsis.....	144
Appendix A: Samples of the Corpus Findings.....	146
A1 Determiners.....	146
A1.1 A Sample of Possessive Determiners.....	146
A1.1.1 ‘His or her’.....	146
A1.1.2 ‘Her or his’.....	146
A1.2 A Sample of Demonstrative Determiners.....	146
A1.2.1 A Sample of ‘This’.....	146
A1.2.2 ‘Those’.....	147

A1.3 A Sample of Quantifying Determiners	147
A1.3.1 A Sample of ‘ <i>All</i> ’	147
A1.3.2 ‘ <i>No</i> ’	148
A2 Pronouns	148
A2.1 A Sample of Personal Pronouns	148
A2.1.1 The Nominative Personal Pronoun ‘ <i>They</i> ’	148
A2.1.2 The Accusative Personal Pronoun ‘ <i>Them</i> ’	148
A2.2 A Sample of Demonstrative Pronouns	149
A2.2.1 ‘ <i>That</i> ’	149
A2.2.2 ‘ <i>Those</i> ’	149
A3 A Sample of Primary Auxiliary Verbs	149
A3.1 A Sample of ‘ <i>Be</i> ’	149
A3.2 ‘ <i>Does</i> ’	150
A4 A Sample of Modal Verbs	150
A4.1 A Sample of ‘ <i>Shall</i> ’	150
A4.2 A Sample of ‘ <i>May</i> ’	150
A5 A Sample of Coordinators	151
A5.1 A Sample of ‘ <i>and</i> ’	151
A5.2 ‘ <i>But</i> ’	151
A6 A sample of Subordinators	152
A6.1 A Sample of ‘ <i>if</i> ’	152
A6.2 ‘ <i>When</i> ’	152
A7 A Sample of Not-Negation	152
Appendix B: The Corpus of Analysis	154
B1 International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance	154
B2 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities	172

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACAD	Academic prose
Art.	Article
BNC	British National Corpus
BoE	The Bank of English
CANCODE	Cambridge and Nottingham Corpus of Discourse in English
<i>cf.</i>	compare
CGEL	A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language
COCA	Corpus of Contemporary American English
COHA	Corpus of Historical American English
CONV	conversation
CPED	International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance
CRPD	Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
DPI	Department of Public Information
e.g.	<i>Latin</i> exempli gratia (for example)
et al.	<i>Latin</i> et alii (and others)
etc.	et cetera
FICT	fiction writing
ICE	International Corpus of English
ICLE	International Corpus of Learner English
i.e.	<i>Latin</i> id est (that is)
KWIC	key word in context
LGSWE	Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English
LSWE	The Longman Spoken and Written English
MICASE	The Michigan Corpus of Academic Spoken English
NEWS	news writing
NOW	News on the Web
NP	Noun Phrase
O_d	Direct object
OHCHR	Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
POS	part-of-speech
S	Subject
.txt	a file extension for a plain text file
UDHR	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNO	United Nations Organization
V	Verb (phrase)
v./ vs.	versus
†	truncated example