



**SEISMIC RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTOR FOR
REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS BASED ON NON-
LINEAR PUSHOVER ANALYSIS AND TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS**

By

SHERIF GAMAL ABDEL HAMID ABDEL HADI

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in structural engineering**

Under Supervision of

Dr. BAHAA SHARF TORK

Associate professor
Structural Engineering Department
Ain Shams University

Dr. MARWAN SHEDID

Associate professor
Structural Engineering Department
Ain Shams University

Dr. EZZ EL DEEN MOSTAFA ARAFA

Lecturer
Structural Engineering Department
Ain Shams University

2018



**SEISMIC RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTOR FOR
REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS BASED ON NON-
LINEAR PUSHOVER ANALYSIS AND TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS**

By

SHERIF GAMAL ABDEL HAMID ABDEL HADI

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in structural engineering**

Thesis Approval

Examiners Committee

Signature

Dr. Mohamed Nour Eldin Fayed

.....

Professor of Structural Analysis
Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hala Mohamed Gamal Eldin Elkady

.....

Professor and Head of Civil Department
National Research Center

Dr. BAHAA SHARF TORK

.....

Associate professor of Structural Analysis
Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Student Name

Sherif Gamal Abdel Hamid

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I like to say that no words can express my gratitude, love and appreciation to my wife, my father, my brother and my mother, whose help and encouragement were the main motive for me to complete this work. Thank you for supporting me throughout my entire life, words fail to explain how grateful I am for having you as my family.

I would like to express my gratefulness to my supervisors, Dr. Marwan Shedid, Dr. Ezz El Deen Mostafa and Dr. Bahaa Sharf Tork. Thank you for your guidance, support and assistance throughout this research, I am indebted to all of you with the knowledge and experience I gained. It was an honour working under your supervision.

My greatest appreciation goes to all my instructors and colleagues at the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. Each and every one of you participated in the achievement I made, by teaching, supporting and encouraging me throughout different stages. Thank you.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to my wife. She was the one who first believed I should go this path; this work is the outcome of his encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF FIGURES.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 RESEARCH MOTIVATION.....	2
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	3
1.3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	3
1.4 THESIS OUTLINE.....	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	5
2.2 EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES	6
2.3 ANALYTICAL STUDIES.....	10
2.4 SEISMIC PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS.....	15
2.4.1 PLASTIC HINGE LENGTH.....	15
2.4.2 DISPLACEMENT DUCTILITY CAPACITY.....	18
2.4.3 RESPONSE REDUCTION FACTOR.....	19
CHAPTER 3: VERIFICATION OF ANALYTICAL MODELS.....	22
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	22
3.2 REINFORCED MASONRY MODEL.....	22
3.2.1 EXPERIMENTAL DATA.....	22
3.2.2 NON LINEAR NUMERICAL MODEL.....	25
3.2.3 NON LINEAR SOLUTION PROCEDURE.....	26
3.2.4 WALL ELEMENT MODEL.....	28
3.2.5 CONSTITUTIVE MATERIAL MODELS.....	29
3.2.5.1 MASONRY MATERIAL.....	29
3.2.5.2 REINFORCEMENT MATERIAL.....	30

3.2.6 MODELING APPROACH.....	31
3.2.7 MODELING EVALUATION.....	31
3.2.7.1 LOAD-DISPLACEMENT CURVES.....	32
3.2.7.2 ULTIMATE STRENGTH.....	34
3.2.7.3 DISPLACEMENT AT ULTIMATE STRENGTH.....	34
3.2.7.4 MOMENT-ROTATION CURVE.....	35
3.2.7.5 THE IDEALIZATION OF LOAD-DISPLACEMENT CURVE.....	35
3.3 REINFORCED CONCRETE MODEL.....	36
3.3.1 EXPERIMENTAL DATA.....	36
3.3.2 CONSTITUTIVE MATERIAL MODELS.....	37
3.3.3 MODELING EVALUATION.....	38
3.3.3.1 LOAD-DISPLACEMENT CURVE.....	38
3.3.3.2 ULTIMATE STRENGTH.....	39
3.3.3.3 DISPLACEMENT AT ULTIMATE STRENGTH.....	39
3.4 CONCLUSION.....	39
CHAPTER 4: PARAMETRIC STUDY.....	41
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	41
4.2 OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY.....	41
4.3 RESPONSE REDUCTION FACTOR.....	41
4.3.1 OVER STRENGTH FACTOR.....	43
4.3.2 DUCTILITY FACTOR.....	43
4.3.3 DAMPING FACTOR.....	43
4.3.4 REDUNDANCY FACTOR.....	44
4.4 RESPONSE REDUCTION/MODIFICATION FACTOR (R) IN ECP AND OTHER BUILDING CODES.....	44
4.4.1 ECP (EGYPTIAN CODE OF PRACTICE)	44
4.4.2 ASCE (AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS)	44
4.5 METHODS OF ANALYSIS.....	45
4.5.1 NON-LINEAR STATIC PUSHOVER ANALYSIS.....	45
4.5.2 NON-LINEAR TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS.....	46
4.6 MATERIAL MODELS CONSIDERED IN THE STUDY.....	47

4.6.1 MANDER’S MODEL FOR CONCRETE.....	47
4.6.2 MENEGOTTO-PINTO STEEL MODEL FOR REINFORCEMENT MATERIAL.....	48
4.7 DESCRIPTION OF ANALYZED MODELS AND MATERIALS CHARACTERISTICS.....	49
4.7.1 SINGLE WALL.....	49
4.7.2 CONFIGURATION CONSIDERED IN THIS STUDY.....	51
4.8 RESULTS.....	53
4.8.1 PUSHOVER RESULTS.....	53
4.8.2 NON-LINEAR TIME HISTORY RESULTS.....	60
4.9 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE PARAMETRIC STUDY..	65
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	67
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	67
5.2 CONCLUSIONS.....	67
5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	69
REFERENCES.....	70

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure (2.1): Flexural Failure (a) Shear Failure (b) of RCM Shear Walls (Shing et al., 1991).....	6
Figure (2.2): Spread of Inelastic Curvature along Wall Height. (Yassin et al., 2015).....	16
Figure (2.3): Definition of Yield and Ultimate Displacements (Priestley et al. 2007)	19
Figure (2.4): Definition of Response Reduction Factor (Chopra, 1995)	20
Figure (2.5): Equal Displacement Approach (Priestley et al., 2007)	21
Figure (3.1): Wall Reinforcement Details (Shedid et al., 2010)	24
Figure (3.2): Fiber Element Discretization (SeismoStruct Manual).....	26
Figure (3.3): Flow Chart for Non-Converged Increment (SeismoStruct Manual)..	28
Figure (3.4): Stress-strain relation for confined and unconfined concrete Mander et al. (1988)	29
Figure (3.5): Load-displacement curves for all six walls.....	33
Figure (3.6): Moment-rotation curve for wall 1.....	35
Figure (3.7): Idealization of load-displacement curve for wall 1.....	35
Figure (3.8.a): Plan geometry of the tested RC shear wall (D. Palermo and F. J. Vecchio, 2002)	36
Figure (3.8.b): Side view of web wall.....	37
Figure (3.8.c): Side view of flange wall.....	37
Figure (3.8.d): Reinforcement of the tested RC shear wall.....	37
Figure (3.9): Load-displacement curves for the tested RC shear wall.....	38
Figure (4.1): Relationship between response reduction/modification factor(R), over-strength factor (Ω) and ductility factor (R_μ)	42
Figure (4.2): Relationship between elastic and in-elastic forces for (a) short period (b) intermediate period (c) long period structures. (Newmark and Hall, 1982)	43

Figure (4.3): Typical relationship between time and acceleration.....	47
Figure (4.4): Stress-strain relation for confined and unconfined concrete Mander et al. (1988)	48
Figure (4.5): Menegotto-Pinto steel model (Seismostruct manual)	48
Figure (4.6): Reinforcement pattern of RC shear walls considered in this study....	49
Figure (4.7): Pushover curve for the single wall.....	51
Figure (4.8): Configuration of RC shear walls considered in this study.....	51
Figure (4.9): Pushover curve for W1.....	54
Figure (4.10): Pushover curve for W2.....	54
Figure (4.11): Pushover curve for W3.....	55
Figure (4.12): Pushover curve for W4.....	55
Figure (4.13): Pushover curve for W5.....	55
Figure (4.14): Pushover curve for W6.....	55
Figure (4.15): Pushover curve for W7.....	56
Figure (4.16): Pushover curve for W8.....	56
Figure (4.17): Pushover curve for W9.....	56
Figure (4.18): Combined curve for pushover curves for all analysis matrices.....	57
Figure (4.19): Normalization for W1.....	57
Figure (4.20): Normalization for W2.....	57
Figure (4.21): Normalization for W3.....	58
Figure (4.22): Normalization for W4.....	58
Figure (4.23): Normalization for W5.....	58
Figure (4.24): Normalization for W6.....	58
Figure (4.25): Normalization for W7.....	59
Figure (4.26): Normalization for W8.....	59
Figure (4.27): Normalization for W9.....	59
Figure (4.28): Combined curve for normalized pushover curves for all analysis matrices..	60
Figure (4.29): Time-acceleration curves of selected ground motions.....	62

Figure (4.30): Comparison between time history curves and ECP response spectrum curves.....	63
Figures from (4.31) to (4.36): Comparison between results from pushover analysis.....	66

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (2.1): Building loss in Strength as a Percentage of its Strength in Translation.....	13
Table (2.2): shows that building gain in ductility as a percentage of its ductility in translation; taking in consideration that elements tested were not elastic.....	13
Table (2.3): Summary of Proposed Plastic Hinge Lengths in Literature.....	17
Table (3.1): wall properties and experimental test results.....	25
Table (3.2): Parameter used for concrete modelling.....	30
Table (3.3): Parameter used for steel modelling.....	31
Table (3.4): Summary of experimental and analytical ultimate strength.....	34
Table (3.5): Summary of experimental and analytical displacement at ultimate strength.....	34
Table (3.6): Summary of experimental and analytical ultimate strength.....	39
Table (3.7): Summary of experimental and analytical displacement at ultimate strength.....	39
Table (4.1): R values in ASCE-7-10 code.....	45
Table (4.2): Results for RC shear wall model based on pushover analysis.....	50
Table (4.3): Description of all reinforced concrete (RC) shear walls analysis matrices.....	52
Table (4.4): Description and results for all reinforced concrete (RC) shear walls models based on pushover analysis.....	53
Table (4.5): Properties of ground motions (adopted from PEER 2006)	62
Table (4.6): Results for all reinforced concrete (RC) shear walls based on time history analysis.....	63
Table (4.7): Comparison between time history results and pushover results (for W1)	64
Table (4.8): Some conclusions that are indicated with values.....	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

- CM: Center of Mass
- CR: Center of Rigidity
- L_p is the plastic hinge length
- L_s is the distance between maximum and minimum moment of wall height
- D_b is the bar diameter
- L_w is the wall length
- A_r is the wall height to length ratio
- DBE: Displacement Based Element
- FBE: Force Based Element
- FE: Finite Element
- R: Response Reduction/Modification Factor
- RC: Reinforced Concrete
- RCM: Reinforced Concrete Masonry
- μ : Ductility Capacity
- $R\mu$: Ductility Factor
- Ω : Over-Strength Factor
- EQ : Earthquake
- V_e : Max elastic Base Shear
- V_d : Design Base Shear
- V_u : Maximum Base Shear
- $\Delta_{0.8u}$: Displacement At 20% Strength Degradation
- Δ_d : Design Displacement
- Δ_y : Yield Displacement
- F_{cu} : Concrete Compressive Strength
- f_y : Steel Yield Stress
- E_s : Steel Young's Modulus
- E_c : Concrete Young's Modulus
- LLRS: Lateral Load Resisting System

- Δ_{\max} : Chosen Maximum Displacement Limit
- Δ_y : Yield Displacement
- f'_m : Masonry Prism Compressive Strength
- f_y : Steel Yield Stress
- f_u : Steel Ultimate Strength
- PGA: Peak Ground Acceleration

ABSTRACT

Earthquakes are one of the most common natural disasters, which affect both human life and property. To avoid negative effects of earthquake, the nonlinear response of structures under dynamic loading should be accurately modelled to investigate their actual behaviour under earthquake loading to ensure safe and sound design. To yield proper results, accurate representative structural models should be developed for the elements resisting lateral loading and representative ground motions pertaining to the site should be employed. Then relating such response to that of elastic behaviour should be conducted to correlate response modification factors in design codes with actual response. The main objective of the research is to study factors affecting response modification factor for reinforced concrete shear walls such as vertical reinforcement ratios, aspect ratios and levels of axial stress, and then comparing calculated response reduction/modification factors for reinforced concrete shear walls with those recommended in ECP and in international codes.

A total of 60 analytical models for RC shear walls structures analysis models using push over analysis and time history analysis. The models were chosen to test the effect of different parameters on the behaviour of the structure, namely, lateral load eccentricity, wall arrangement and presence of walls orthogonal to the loading direction. The software used in this study is Seismostruct 2016.

The research was carried out over three phases. The first was a review of previous literature related to the focus of the study. This was carried out in order to have a clear and broad understanding of the previous findings in this field.

During the second phase, a modelling technique for RCM/RC walls and buildings was developed and verified against the experimental results of seven individual walls and full structure available in literature. Some factors and recommendations regarding the modelling of RCM/RC walls under lateral loading using Seismostruct 2016 were extracted from this phase. It was found that the devised technique could be incorporated for the RC shear walls considered in the parametric study.

The results of the verification phase served as a basis for the last phase of the research during which a parametric study was generated in order to investigate the behaviour of the system level. The parameters tested were the aspect ratios, the levels of axial stress and the vertical reinforcement ratios.. Throughout this phase, it was found some results such as:

- The response reduction / modification factor (R) value is sensitive to the variation in vertical reinforcement ratio more than the variation in level of axial stress.
- By increasing the aspect ratio, the R-value decreases. As an example; from W1-3, W1-6 to W1-12, R decreases with ratios of 10.1 % and 9.4 % respectively.
- By increasing the aspect ratio, the V_u -value decreases. As an example; from W1-3, W1-6 to W1-12, V_u decreases with ratios of 46.3 % and 52.5 % respectively.
- By increasing the aspect ratio, the $\Delta 0.8u$ -value increases. As an example; from W1-3, W1-6 to W1-12, $\Delta 0.8u$ decreases with ratios of 170.9 % and 178.0 % respectively.
- Increasing the vertical reinforcement ratio leads to lower μ and then lower R-value.
- Increasing aspect ratio leads to lower μ , and then lower R-value.
- By increasing the vertical reinforcement ratio and the level of axial stress, the structural stiffness increases.
- The R-values recommended in design codes are almost matching with the calculated values for the R-factor.
- R-values calculated using non-linear time history is considered more accurate than those calculated using pushover analysis as they don't depend on any assumption as R-value calculated bases on pushover analysis, that the R_μ depends on the time period as suggested by New mark and Hall.