Comparing Frontalis Sling Operation; Supralash Stab Incision versus the Eyelid Crease incision for the Treatment of Ptosis

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Ophthalmology

By

Noha Ahmed Abdul-Khaliq

M.B.B.Ch Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Saad Mohamed Rashad

Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Shafik

Lecturer of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed EL-samkary

Lecturer of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Ophthalmology Department
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt
2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Saud**Mohamed Rashad, Professor of Ophthalmology
- Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Shafik**, Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Mahmoud**Ahmed **EL-samkary**, Lecturer of

Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams

University, for his great help, active participation
and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Noha Abdul-Khaliq



List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	
Introduction	
Aim of the Work	
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of the Eyelid	4
Ptosis	
Diagnosis and Clinical Evaluation	
Surgical Correction of Ptosis	
Patients and Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Conclusion 79	
Summary	
References82	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Demographic characteristics among studied groups	
Table (2):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding pre-operative posture	head
Table (3):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding pre-op. MRD1	
Table (4):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding pre-op. Le function	evator
Table (5):	Comparison between the closed group open group regarding pre-op. eyelid crea	
Table (6):	Comparison between pre and post-oper MRD1 in both groups	
Table (7):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding post-op. It grading	MRD1
Table (8):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding post-op symmetry	. lid
Table (9):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding post-op. lid con	
Table (10):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding post-op. lid cre	
Table (11):	Comparison between the closed group the open group regarding po lagophthalmos	st-op.

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Oblique cross-section of the orbit adnxea	
Figure (2):	Surface anatomy of the eyelid	5
Figure (3):	Anterior and posterior lamellae of eyelid	
Figure (4):	Orbicularis oculi muscle	7
Figure (5):	Major retractors of the upper eyelid	9
Figure (6):	Orbital septum and tarsi	11
Figure (7):	Blood supply to the eyelids	12
Figure (8):	Motor innervation of the lid	13
Figure (9):	Marcus Gunn Jaw-Winking syndrome	15
Figure (10):	Blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus inversus syndrome	16
Figure (11):	Bilateral involutional ptosis	17
Figure (12):	Brow ptosis	18
Figure (13):	Right third nerve palsy	18
Figure (14):	Myasthenia gravis	19
Figure (15):	The interpalpebral fissure height	22
Figure (16):	MRD1 measurement	23
Figure (17):	Levator function measurement	24
Figure (18):	Skin crease measurement	24
Figure (19):	Good Bell's phenomenon	25

Fig. No.	Title Page No.
Figure (20):	The Herring law25
Figure (21):	Algorithm for choice of Surgical Management for a Ptosis Patient27
Figure (22):	Gore-Tex suture material
Figure (23):	Fox single pentagon31
Figure (24):	Crawford procedure32
Figure (25):	Mehta's modification of Crawford's technique
Figure (26):	Single triangle
Figure (27):	Friedenwald and Guyton procedure 34
Figure (28):	Open technique
Figure (29):	Left eye of a patient with severe ptosis 40
Figure (30):	Left eye of a patient with poor levator function
Figure (31):	Marking the eyelid skin 41
Figure (32):	Insertion of PTFE through the wright needle from central to medial incision
Figure (33):	Insertion of PTFE through the wright needle from lateral to central incision
Figure (34):	Good eyelid contour
Figure (35):	A mattress suture
Figure (36):	Insertion of PTFE from medial eyelid to medial eyebrow incision

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (37):	Insertion of PTFE from central eye medial eyebrow incision to completriangle.	ete a
Figure (38):	Double triangle of PTFE	45
Figure (39):	Adjustment of eyelid height and Tying	
Figure (40):	Closure of eyebrow incisions.	46
Figure (41):	Marking the crease and the eyebrow	skin 47
Figure (42):	Dissection of orbicularis and lemuscles till the root of lashes	
Figure (43):	Suturing PTFE to the lower third of tarsus.	
Figure (44):	Wright needle passed from needle	
Figure (45):	The lateral end of PTFE is passed to lateral eyebrow incision.	
Figure (46):	Good eyelid contour and height	49
Figure (47):	Tying and burying the PTFE ir forehead pocket	
Figure (48):	Closure of eyelid incision by interr sutures	-
Figure (49):	Sex distribution among the two st	
Figure (50):	Patient with a head posture	57
Figure (51):	Comparison between (closed group (open group) regarding pre-op. MRD1	

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (52):	Patient with OD sever ptosis and p MRD1 = 0mm	_
Figure (53):	Comparison between the closed grouthe open group regarding pre-op. Lefunction.	evator
Figure (54):	Patient with severe ptosis & absent of OU.	
Figure (55):	Comparison between pre & post-ope MRD1 in the two groups	
Figure (56):	Comparison between the closed grouthe open group regarding post-op. I grading.	MRD1
Figure (57):	Right eye of a patient in the closed 3ms post-op.; graded as excellent	
Figure (58):	Left eye of a patient in the open group post-op.; graded as excellent	-
Figure (59):	{a} Left eye ptosis recurrence of a pain the closed group and {b} left eye recurrence of a patient in the open gr	ptosis
Figure (60):	Comparison between the closed grouthe open group regarding post-op. symmetry	eyelid
Figure (61):	Comparison between the closed grothe open group regarding post-optontour	o. lid
Figure (62):	{a} Left eye of a patient in the closed graded as excellent symmetry & co {b}Left eye of a patient in the open graded as excellent symmetry & conte	ntour group

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (63):	A patient in the open group with right focal notching and scarring graded as contour	s poor
Figure (64):	Comparison between the closed grouthe open group regarding post-op. symmetry	eyelid

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
&	and
CFEOM	Congenital Fibrosis of the Extraocular Muscles
CPEO	Chronic Progressive External Ophthalmoplegia
<i>LPS</i>	Levator Palpebrae Superioris
<i>m</i>	months
<i>MD</i>	$ My otonic\ Dystrophy$
<i>mm</i>	millimeter
MRD	Margin-Reflex Distance
<i>Op.</i>	Operative
<i>OPMD</i>	Oculopharyngeal Muscular Dystrophy
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
P-value	Probability Value
Sc	Subcutaneously
SD	Standard Deviation
Vs	Versus
X^2	Chi-square

ABSTRACT

This study aims at comparing the functional and cosmetic outcomes of correcting congenital ptosis associated with poor levator function via open versus closed approaches using PTFE (Gore-Tex) as a sling material.

Our results revealed that upper eyelid severe ptosis can be effectively managed utilizing sling operation either supralsh stab incision (closed) or trans-crease (open) technique, with no significant difference in ptosis recurrence. However, the closed technique appeared to be superior to the open technique regarding the incidence of good post-op. MRD1, eyelid symmetry, and formation of aesthetic eyelid crease rendering the procedure cosmetically and clinically more promising.

Keywords: Congenital Fibrosis of the Extraocular Muscles - Levator Palpebrae Superioris - Myotonic Dystrophy

INTRODUCTION

tosis, an abbreviation for the term blepharoptosis, refers to vertical narrowing of the palpebral fissure secondary to drooping of the upper eyelid to a lower than normal position. The normal adult upper lid margin is 0.5-2 mm below the superior corneal limbus and is highest just nasal to the pupil (1).

Congenital ptosis can be isolated or associated with disease of one or more of the extraocular muscles and/or other systemic conditions. More severe forms may involve hypoplasia of the levator–palpebrae-superioris muscle or tendon with a minimal or absent eyelid crease. Congenital ptosis is considered a non-progressive condition; however, it is associated with the development of astigmatism, anisometropia, amblyopia, ocular torticollis, and strabismus. These sequelae of ptosis provide a compelling reason to pursue early surgical correction; for prevention of visual loss related to amblyopia. (2)

Acquired ptosis can have a myogenic, neurogenic (peripheral or central lesion of the sympathetic nerves, or the oculomotor nerve), aponeurotic, mechanical, or traumatic cause. (1) The large majorities are aponeurogenic and are associated with disinsertion or dehiscence of the levator aponeurosis from its distal insertion in the eyelid. (3)

Frontalis suspension is indicated in patients with blepharoptosis and poor levator muscle function. "Poor"



function is defined as levator function of 4 mm or less. (4) This surgery connects the eyelid to the brow with a sling material and utilizes the power of the frontalis muscle to elevate the poorly functioning eyelid. (5)

Cosmetic issues that are raised with standard frontalis suspension surgery include scarring in young children, unsatisfactory geometric tenting of the pretarsal and preseptal skin, and obliteration of the eyelid crease. These may be related to the choice of sling material and to the superficial location of the sling in the eyelid, so several surgical designs and materials have been proposed for frontalis suspension for treating ptosis with poor levator muscle function. (2)