



**THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF MULTI-EFFECT  
DESALINATION SYSTEM ENERGIZED BY PARABOLIC  
TROUGH CONCENTRATING SOLAR SYSTEM**

By

**Eng. Ahmed Yahia Youssef Abdel-Azim**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**  
in  
**Mechanical Power Engineering**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

**THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF MULTI-EFFECT  
DESALINATION SYSTEM ENERGIZED BY PARABOLIC  
TROUGH CONCENTRATING SOLAR SYSTEM**

By

**Eng. Ahmed Yahia Youssef Abdel-Azim**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**  
in  
**Mechanical Power Engineering**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil**

Professor  
Mechanical Power Engineering  
Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Abdalla Hanafi**

Professor  
Mechanical Power Engineering  
Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Wahab**

Professor  
Mechanical Power Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

**THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF MULTI-EFFECT  
DESALINATION SYSTEM ENERGIZED BY PARABOLIC  
TROUGH CONCENTRATING SOLAR SYSTEM**

By

**Eng. Ahmed Yahia Youssef Abdel-Azim**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**  
in  
**Mechanical Power Engineering**

Approved by the  
Examining Committee

---

**Prof. Dr. Abdalla Hanafi**

Thesis Main Advisor

---

**Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Wahab**

Thesis Advisor

---

**Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed Fouad**

Internal Examiner

---

**Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Fatah El Kady**  
Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Azhar University

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

**Engineer's Name:** Ahmed Yahia Youssef Abdel-Azim  
**Date of Birth:** 01/10/1985  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** engahmedyy@yahoo.com  
**Phone:** 01005643085  
**Address:** Apt.5 – 15A, 1<sup>st</sup> Area, El-Ferdos,  
6<sup>th</sup> October City, Cairo, Egypt  
**Registration Date:** 01/10/2010.  
**Awarding Date:** .../.../2018  
**Degree:** Doctor of Philosophy  
**Department:** Mechanical Power Engineering



**Supervisors:**

Prof. Dr. Abdalla Hanafi  
Prof. Dr. Essam E.Khalil  
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Wahab

**Examiners:**

Prof. Mahmoud Abdel-Fatah El Kady (External examiner)  
Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Azhar University  
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed Fouad (Internal examiner)  
Prof. Dr. Abdalla Hanafi (Thesis main advisor)  
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Wahab (Thesis advisor)

**Title of Thesis:**

Thermal Performance of Multi-Effect Desalination System Energized By Parabolic Trough Concentrating Solar system

**Key Words:**

Water Desalination, Parabolic Trough, Multi-Effect, CFD

**Summary:**

The present work will be divided into two main parts numerical study and experimental work these two parts will cover the modeling & sizing of Solar MED main components which include MED 6 effects unit, steam generator and three ejectors. The three ejectors are used to create the required vacuum within the MED unit. Second point for the numerical part is CFD simulation for the flow inside the three ejectors, the simulation is carried on (ANSYS Fluent 18.2). The experimental part starts with manufacturing of Solar MED main components, then installation of Solar MED plant and finally evaluation of the Solar MED plant thermal performance.

Performance ratio and recovery ratio is used to evaluate the thermal performance of the operating Solar MED plant, The system has variation of performance ratio from 1.5 and up to 4.3, while the achieved recovery ratio is (33.7 – 47)%.

# Acknowledgement

I hereby would like to express my deep gratefulness and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Abdalla S. Hanafi, Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil, and Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Wahab** for their support, continuous encouragement and distinctive supervision throughout the course of this work. They helped providing me with up to date technical references and information from unpublished sources, old out-of-print references which were difficult to find.

Especial thanks to **Misr El-Khair** for supporting research and innovations in Egypt.

Also, I cannot express; in words; my thanks and gratitude to my mother, my father and my family for their great and continuous help and support they provided me to finish this work in a suitable form.

Nevertheless, I cannot forget the support of my colleagues in the Mechanical Power Engineering department as well as from my Professors for their encouragement and concern throughout the scope of the work.

Above all I would like to thank my wife for her love and constant support, for all the late nights and early mornings. Thank you for being my muse, editor, proof reader, and sounding board. But most of all, thank you for being my best friend. I owe you everything.

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgement</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Greek letters</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Water Crises World Wide .....	1
1.3 Classification of Water According to Salinity .....	4
1.4 Current State of Desalination Plants in Egypt .....	4
1.5 Desalination Methods .....	7
1.6 Solar MED Desalination Plants World-Wide .....	8
1.6.1 The PSA Solar Desalination Understanding .....	9
1.6.2 Pakistan MED Plant .....	10
1.6.3 Sea-water Distillation Using Solar Powered Multiple Effect (Abu- Dhabi) .	13
<b>Chapter 2: Literature Review</b> .....	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Multi-Effect Desalination .....	15
2.3 Steam Generator.....	20
2.4 Ejector .....	23
2.4.1 1-D mathematical model .....	23
2.4.2 Computational Fluid Dynamic CFD and numerical simulation .....	25
2.5 Objective of The Present Work.....	27
<b>Chapter 3: Experimental Setup</b> .....	<b>29</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	29
3.2 Thermodynamic Modelling.....	29
3.2.1 Multi-effect desalination unit .....	29
3.2.1.1Steady state system analysis.....	30
3.2.2 Steam Generator .....	40
3.2.3 Ejector .....	45
3.3 Experimental Setup Design Procedure .....	50

3.3.1 Multi-Effect Desalination Unit.....	50
3.3.2 Steam Generator.....	52
3.3.3 Ejectors.....	54
3.3.4 Condenser.....	58
3.3.5 Preheater.....	60
3.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics Model.....	62
3.4.1 Numerical Simulation Steps.....	62
<b>Chapter 4: Results and Discussion.....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	65
4.2 MED Six Effect Unit Model & Solar MED Plant Results.....	65
4.2.1 Effect of the recovery ratio RR on the performance ratio PR.....	65
4.2.2 Effect of the Primary Steam Pressure Pms on the Performance Ratio PR....	68
4.3 Ejector Model Validation and Results.....	71
4.3.1 Ejector Model Validation.....	71
4.3.2 Ejector Model Results.....	71
4.4 Ejector CFD Simulation.....	73
4.4.1 Ejector CFD Simulation vs Thermodynamic Model.....	73
4.4.2 Steam Ejector CFD Simulation.....	76
4.5 Ejector Lab Test vs Operation in Solar MED Plant.....	78
4.5.1 Non-Condensable Gases Ejector CFD Simulation.....	81
4.6 Monitoring & Control of Solar-MED Plant Thermal Performance.....	82
<b>Chapter 5: Conclusions and Future Recommendations.....</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1 Conclusions.....	85
5.2 Future Recommendations.....	85
<b>References.....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Appendix 1: System Components Manufacturing and Installation.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Commissioning and Operation.....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Solar MED Backup System.....</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>Appendix 4: MED Unit Model - ESS Code.....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Ejector Model - ESS Code.....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Steam Generator Model - ESS Code.....</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>Appendix 7: Pumps NPSH Calculation - ESS Code.....</b>	<b>144</b>

## List of Tables

Table 1 Current and expected capacities in Egypt [6] .....	5
Table 2 Power consumption for different desalination technologies [8] .....	8
Table 3 Cost for some technologies [8] .....	8
Table 4 Technical specs of the PSA Desalination system [9].....	9
Table 5 Model input parameters.....	50
Table 6 MED thermodynamic model output.....	52
Table 7 Steam generator input data.....	52
Table 8 Steam generator thermodynamic model results .....	53
Table 9 Ejector thermodynamic model input data .....	55
Table 10 Ejector thermodynamic model results.....	56
Table 11 Condenser thermodynamic model input data.....	58
Table 12 Condenser thermodynamic model results .....	58
Table 13 Preheater thermodynamic model input data.....	60
Table 14 Preheater thermodynamic model output .....	61
Table 15 variation between Abu-Qir ejector geometry and 1-D model results .....	71
Table 16 Control and measuring gauges used in solar system.....	113
Table 17 Flow valves used in solar system .....	114
Table 18 Inlet pumps conditions .....	117

## List of Figures

Fig. 1 Distribution of water worldwide [2] .....	2
Fig. 2 World residents increasing with time [3] .....	3
Fig. 3 Classification of water [4] .....	4
Fig. 4 Resources of drinking water in Egypt [5] .....	4
Fig. 5 Egyptian desalination market [6] .....	5
Fig. 6 Geographical distribution of water desalination plants in Egypt [6] .....	6
Fig. 7 Different water desalination methods [8] .....	7
Fig. 8 Total worldwide installed desalination capacities by technology [9] .....	8
Fig. 9 Improved solar MED plant (stage Two of STD project) [9] .....	10
Fig. 10 MED Desalination System [9] .....	11
Fig. 11 Hub MED Desalination Plant, Karachi, Pakistan [9] .....	13
Fig. 12 MED Desalination Plant, Abu-Dhabi [10] .....	13
Fig. 13 Simplified Schematic Diagram of solar MED unit [10] .....	14
Fig. 14 The effects of input variables on the performance for six effects [11] .....	16
Fig. 15 Flow diagram of Sextuple split-feed effects [12] .....	16
Fig. 16 GOR values variation with thermocompressor primary steam pressure [13] .....	18
Fig. 17 GOR value variation with number of MED effects [13] .....	18
Fig. 18 GOR value variation with variation of inlet steam flow rate [13] .....	19
Fig. 19 Brine Temperature effects on the Performance Ratio [14] .....	20
Fig. 20 Exponential pressure decrease of primary inlet pressure [15] .....	21
Fig. 21 Secondary inlet-outlet temperature reaction [15] .....	22
Fig. 22 Vapor generation mass flow rate [kg/s] [16] .....	22
Fig. 23 Ejector model used by Eames et al [17] .....	23
Fig. 24 General Schematic Flow Diagram of Multiple Effect Distillation .....	30
Fig. 25 Thermo vapor compressor schematic .....	31
Fig. 26 Enthalpy/Entropy Diagram of the Approximate Analysis for Steam Jet Ejector .....	31
Fig. 27 Surface temperature diagram .....	40
Fig. 28 Schematic diagram of ejector performance .....	45
Fig. 29 Flow-chart modeling procedure for ejector .....	49
Fig. 30 MED six effects cross section .....	51
Fig. 31 boiler side view section .....	53
Fig. 32 steam generator cross section view .....	54
Fig. 33 EES model of ejector interactive window .....	55
Fig. 34 Air ejector configuration .....	57
Fig. 35 Steam ejector configuration .....	57
Fig. 36 NCG's ejector configuration .....	57
Fig. 37 Condenser Configuration .....	59
Fig. 38 Condenser side view with brass pipes .....	59
Fig. 39 Condenser cross section view with pipes positions .....	60
Fig. 40 Preheater sample section configuration .....	61
Fig. 41 Preheater 5 sections configuration .....	61
Fig. 42 Pressure comparison along the centerline of S. Croquer ejector .....	63
Fig. 43 Ma no. comparison along the centerline of S. Croquer ejector .....	64
Fig. 44 Effect of RR on the primary steam mass flowrate for various frej .....	66
Fig. 45 Effect of RR on the performance ratio for various frej .....	66

Fig. 46 Solar MED plant RR variation with motive steam .....	67
Fig. 47 Solar MED plant PR variation with RR.....	67
Fig. 48 Effect of Pms on the performance ratio for various frej .....	68
Fig. 49 Solar MED plant PR with respect to motive steam .....	69
Fig. 50 Effect of Pms on the primary steam mass flowrate for various frej .....	69
Fig. 51 Solar MED plant motive steam flow rate and pressure .....	70
Fig. 52 Variation of oil temperatures with generated steam according to steam pressure .....	70
Fig. 53 Back pressure variation with respect to primary pressure .....	72
Fig. 54 Primary throat area variation according to primary pressure.....	72
Fig. 55 Schematic of typical ejector structure.....	73
Fig. 56 Pressure comparison along the centerline of the steam ejector .....	74
Fig. 57 Pressure comparison along the centerline of the NCG's ejector .....	74
Fig. 58 Ma no. comparison along the centerline of the steam ejector.....	75
Fig. 59 Ma no. comparison along the centerline of the NCG's ejector.....	75
Fig. 60 Proposed criteria for the definition of the shock onset along the constant area section: Static pressure and Mach number along the centerline .....	76
Fig. 61 Contours of Mach number at centerline: (a) Designed throat area, 2 bar primary pressure, (b) Changed throat area, 2 bar, (c) Changed throat area, 2.5 bar, (d) Changed throat area, 3.5 bar, (e) Changed throat area, 4 bar .....	77
Fig. 62 Velocity contours showing reverse flow and separation .....	78
Fig. 63 Changing of effect internal pressure with time.....	79
Fig. 64 Optimum operating motive steam pressure .....	79
Fig. 65 Comparison between Solar MED plant and Lab test needed time for evacuation .....	80
Fig. 66 Mach-number and Static pressure along the centerline of NCG's ejector.....	81
Fig. 67 Main control window .....	82
Fig. 68 Pump control interface.....	82
Fig. 69 Solar collector inlet and outlet temperature for normal working day .....	83
Fig. 70 Steam generator temperatures.....	84
Fig. 71 General layout of the MED solar plant .....	89
Fig. 72 MED system detailed layout.....	90
Fig. 73 Steel Structure for one chamber consist of three effects.....	91
Fig. 74 MED unit two chamber six effects .....	92
Fig. 75 Sprayers distribution over MED evaporator .....	93
Fig. 76 Semi-finished two chambers.....	93
Fig. 77 MED unit installed in the site .....	94
Fig. 78 MED piping installation on site .....	95
Fig. 79 NCG's ejector .....	96
Fig. 80 Steam ejector primary nozzle.....	96
Fig. 81 Steam ejector mixing part .....	97
Fig. 82 Steam ejector assembly.....	97
Fig. 83 Air and steam ejectors connections.....	98
Fig. 84 Manufactured steam generator.....	99
Fig. 85 Steam generator inlet and outlet ports .....	99
Fig. 86 Steam generator brass coil .....	100
Fig. 87 condenser brass coil .....	101
Fig. 88 Assembled condenser.....	102
Fig. 89 Assembled condenser installed in the plant .....	102
Fig. 90 Preheater brass coils.....	103

Fig. 91 Preheater assembly and installation .....	104
Fig. 92 Parabola steel ribs .....	105
Fig. 93 Coating aluminum sheet with silver layer .....	106
Fig. 94 Installation of aluminum silver coated sheets .....	106
Fig. 95 Installation of the absorber tube .....	107
Fig. 96 Welded 12 m absorber tubes on ground .....	107
Fig. 97 Assembled 12 m absorber tubes .....	108
Fig. 98 Parabolic trough collector components .....	108
Fig. 99 Steel piping of thermal oil cycle before insulation .....	109
Fig. 100 Steel and copper piping welding .....	109
Fig. 101 Copper piping of water desalination cycle .....	110
Fig. 102 Part of insulation work .....	110
Fig. 103 Part of aluminum cladding work .....	111
Fig. 104 Expansion tank position .....	112
Fig. 105 Temperature gauge and boiler level switch control .....	115
Fig. 106 Pump system illustration .....	116
Fig. 107 Supports welding .....	118
Fig. 108 Cylinder head disassembly with special tool .....	119
Fig. 109 Hydraulic cylinder installation .....	119
Fig. 110 fixing the holders .....	120
Fig. 111 Parabolic trough collector tracking the sun .....	120
Fig. 112 Condenser leakage .....	121
Fig. 113 Rotating joint leakage test .....	122
Fig. 114 Sample section preheater leakage test .....	122
Fig. 115 Rotating joint installation .....	123
Fig. 116 Storage tank leakage test .....	123
Fig. 117 Tracking system main components .....	124
Fig. 118 Checking internal resistance of solenoid valve .....	125
Fig. 119 Solar and demand profiles .....	126
Fig. 120 Conceptual diagram of the system in PSA Project .....	127
Fig. 121 Overall solar MED plant layout with backup source .....	128
Fig. 122 Leakage test of galvanized steel tank equipped with 6 electrical heaters .....	128

# Nomenclature

A	Area	$m^2$
a	sonic velocity	m/s
Ar	Archimedes number of water outside tubes	---
$C_b$ ,	brine water salt concentration	ppm
$C_f$ ,	sprayed feed water salt concentration	ppm
$C_p$	specific heat of gas at constant pressure	$\text{kJ/kg.K}$
$C_v$	specific heat of gas at constant volume	$\text{kJ/kg.K}$
$d_i$	inner tube diameter	m
$d_o$	outer tube diameter	m
g	acceleration of gravity vector	$\text{m/s}^2$
$G_{in}$	inlet mass velocity of water inside tubes	m/s
$G_{out}$	outlet mass velocity of water inside tubes	m/s
$F_{env,n}$	Ratio of entrained vapor mass flow rate from the last effect to that of the last effect brine vapor	---
$h_b$	brine water enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$h_c$	outlet water enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$h_d$	distillate water enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$h_f$	sprayed feed water enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$h_g$	evaporated steam enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$h_i$	inside hear transfer coefficient	$\text{W/m}^2.\text{K}$
$h_v$	motive steam inlet enthalpy	$\text{kJ/kg}$
$H_{e,b}$	brine well height	m
K	thermal conductivity	$\text{W/m.K}$
$k_{fi}$	inside tube water thermal conductivity	$\text{W/m.K}$
$k_{fo}$	outside tube water thermal conductivity	$\text{W/m.K}$
M	Mach number	---
$\dot{m}_b$	brine water mass flow rate	$\text{kg/s}$
$\dot{m}_c$	water mass flow rate inside tubes	$\text{kg/s}$
$\dot{m}_{ev}$	evaporated steam mass flow rate outside tubes	$\text{kg/s}$
$\dot{m}_f$	inlet feed mass flow rate	$\text{kg/s}$
$\dot{m}_s$	inlet steam mass flow rate	$\text{kg/s}$
$N_{med}$	MED number of tubes per pass	---
$N_{uo}$	Nusselt number of water outside	---
$P_i$	pressure inside tubes	Pa
$P_{o,i}$	pressure outside tubes	Pa
$P_v$	vertical tubes pitch	m
$Pr_{f,i}$	Prandtl number of water inside tubes	---
$Pr_{f,o}$	Prandtl number of water outside tubes	---
$Q_{med}$	Heat transfer rate to evaporator tubes	W
R	Gas constant	$\text{kJ/kg.K}$
Ra	The ratio of the motive steam mass flow rate to that of the entrained vapor from the last effect	---
$Re_m$	Reynolds number inside tubes	---

$Re_o$	Reynolds number outside tubes	---
$S$	Tubes total surface area	$m^2$
$T_f$	sprayed feed water temperature	$^{\circ}C$
$T_i$	temperature inside tubes	$^{\circ}C$
$T_o$	temperature outside tubes	$^{\circ}C$
$V$	Stream velocity	$m/s$
$v_s$	inlet steam velocity	$m/s$
$X_{in}$	inlet steam quality	---
$X_{out}$	outlet steam quality	---
$Z$	Number of passes	---

## Greek letters

$\omega$	entrainment ratio	---
$\gamma$	$C_p/C_v$	---
$\theta$	Temperature difference	$^{\circ}C$
$\theta_{LMTD}$	Logarithmic mean temperature difference	$^{\circ}C$
$\mu_{f,i}$	dynamic viscosity inside tubes	$Pa.s$
$\mu_{f,o}$	dynamic viscosity outside tubes	$Pa.s$
$\rho_b$	brine density	$kg/m^3$
$\rho_{f,i}$	Fluid density inside tube	$kg/m^3$
$\rho_g$	Steam density	$kg/m^3$
$\tau$	residence time	sec

## Abbreviations

C.S.A	Constant Cross Section Area duct
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamic
EES	Engineering Equation Solver
GOR	Gain Output Ratio
HRN	High Reynolds Number approach
LT-HT-MED	Low Temperature Horizontal Tube Multi-Effect Desalination
MED	Multi Effect Desalination
MEE	Multi Effect Evaporation
MSF	Multi-Stage Flash
NCG's	Non-condensable Gases
PCF	Motive Steam Pressure Correlation Factor
PPt	Par Per Thousands
PSA	Plataforma Solar de Almeria
PR	Performance Ratio
RO	Reverse Osmosis
RR	Recovery Ratio
TAC	Total Annual Cost
TVC	Thermal Vapour Compressor
TBT	Top Brine Temperature
TCF	Entrained Vapour Temperature Correlation Factor
UPC	Unit Product Cost

# Abstract

Per the UN's Sustainable Development Resolution Report, 40% of the world's population already faces water stress and water scarcity. Water desalination is one of the solutions to overcome the global water shortage crisis and curb its emergence due to population growth and climate change. Multiple Effect Distillation (MED) is the oldest thermal water desalination process and it has typical plant capacities of 600 - 300,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day. Because MED units do not use membranes, the investments, system complication, and operating costs are usually less than those for reverse osmosis (RO) units.

The present work will be divided into two main parts numerical study and experimental work these two parts will cover the modeling & sizing of Solar MED main components which include MED 6 effects unit, steam generator and three ejectors. The three ejectors are used to create the required vacuum within the MED unit. Second point for the numerical part is CFD simulation for the flow inside the three ejectors, the simulation is carried on (ANSYS Fluent 18.2). The experimental part starts with manufacturing of Solar MED main components, then installation of Solar MED plant and finally evaluation of the Solar MED plant thermal performance.

The novelty and originality of the present work is related to the ejector thermodynamic modeling since the present work will introduce the polytropic efficiencies instead isentropic efficiency for the primary nozzle and diffuser sections, additional to that is assuming that the mixing between the primary stream and secondary stream isn't done at single cross section but it occurs over length and it occurs at constant pressure. The final point related to the thermodynamic model of the ejector is that the mixing efficiency isn't not chosen arbitrarily, it is calculated from operating conditions. For the MED unit, the stack geometry will be used instead of the conventional geometry. As the work will be validated by experimental setup, a crucial issue is the matching between solar thermal system and the MED unit through the ejectors.

It is considered that this experimental setup (Solar MED Plant) is the first pilot in the Middle East, Thus components manufacturing and installation of the system is considered a big challenge also the installation and operation of the whole system and overcoming all problems during commissioning and operation.

It was concluded that using polytropic efficiency in the ejector 1-D thermodynamic model gives good matching with to reality except the no show of the shock-wave train. Also assuming mixing process occurred in the ejector at constant pressure gives consistent results with the CFD simulation. A change of 2% in the throat area of the steam ejector will affect its performance and the required target back pressure, this conclusion was done by simulating the flow using (ANSYS Fluent 18.2).

Using Stack MED type is efficient during operation with less needed space, less vacuum leakage, less investment and maximizes the thermal performance with lower evaporation temperature to decrease the fouling formation rate.

Performance ratio and recovery ratio is used to evaluate the thermal performance of the operating Solar MED plant, The system has variation of performance ratio from 1.5 and up to 4.3, while the achieved recovery ratio is (33.7 – 47)%.

The Solar MED plant which can meet daily demand of distilled water of average  $10 \text{ m}^3$  was installed in Sheikh Zaid branch of the Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University. A parabolic trough solar collector with  $135 \text{ m}^2$  aperture area is used to heat thermal oil to around  $140 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to be stored in  $2.2 \text{ m}^3$  storage tank. Then steam generator is generating saturated steam at 2 bar for the three ejectors to create the needed vacuum for the 6 effects MED unit. Currently the plant is working with fully automated tracking system and control system to optimize its thermal performance. The 1<sup>st</sup> trial has been done by distilling 750 ppm water to reach product with 150 ppm. Over 95 % of the Solar MED system components were locally manufactured.

**Keywords:** Thermal vapor compression; Desalination; Multi-effect, Parabolic Trough, Entrainment Ratio