PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SNAP BEAN

By

NIVEEN SALEH MOHAMED AHMED TURKY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Vegetable crops), Fac.Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, Y...

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Agricultural Sciences (Vegetable crops)

Department of Vegetable crops
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT

Y . . V

APPROVAL SHEET

PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SNAP BEAN

M.Sc. Thesis

By

NIVEEN SALEH MOHAMED AHMED TURKY

B.Sc. (Vegetable), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, Y...

| Approved by: | |
|--|---------|
| or. SAMIR KAMEL EL-TAEB EL-SEIFI | • • • • |
| rofessor of Vegetable Crops, Fac. Agric., Suez Canal University. | |
| or. AHMED HASSAN KHEREBA | |
| rofessor of Vegetable Crops, Fac. Agric., Cairo University. | |
| Or. SAID ABDALLA SHEHATA | |
| rofessor of Vegetable Crops, Fac. Agric., Cairo University. | |

Date: / /

SUPERVISION SHEET

PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SNAP BEAN

M.Sc.Thesis

By

NIVEEN SALEH MOHAMED AHMED TURKY B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Vegetable crops), Fac.Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt,

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. SAID ABDALLA SHEHATA

Professor of Vegetable Crops, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

Dr. HASSAN ALI HASSAN

Associate Professor of Vegetable Crops, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

Dr. SAMIRA MAHMOUD El-GIZY

Head researcher of Vegetable Crops - Horticulture Research Institute , Agric. Research Center Name of Candidate: Niveen Saleh Turky Degree: M.Sc

Title of Thesis: Physiological Studies on snap bean

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Said Abdalla Shehata

Dr. Hassan Ali Hassan

Prof.Dr. Samira Mahmoud El- Gizy

Department: Vegetable crops

Branch: Vegetable crops Approval: / /

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out during the summer seasons of Y... and Y... at Barrage Hort., Research Station. The field experiments were conducted to study the response of two snap bean cultivars i.e., Paulista and Tema planted at three sowing dates on \other June, \st July, and \other th July to amino acids) and shading with maize to protect of snap bean against higher temperature adverse effects toward maximizing its growth and productivity. The results showed plant growth and green pod yield per Fadden were increased with sowing on \sigma^th June compared with sowing on \sigma^st July and Yoth July, during both seasons of study, In this respect Tema cv. had more significant effect on total yield and exportable yield percent, green Pods quality, Photosynthetic pigment in leaves and Chemical composition of pods. In addition, the results of sprayed with Delfan or Ascopen during heat stress conditions recorded higher values of pigments content in leaves, total protein and carbohydrate content in pods. The resulted showed that the combination of sowing seeds on the \odots of June with foliar spray of Delfan diminished the bad effect of sowing.

Acknowledgement

First of all and for most, I would like to express my deepest thanks to Allah who helped and gave me time, patience and power to complete this work.

My deepest appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. S.A.SEHATA**Prof. of Vegetable crops. Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University,
for his supervision, positive criticism and reviewing this
manuscript.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Hassan A.H.** Associate Prof. of Vegetable crops, at the same faculty, for valuable guidance, continuous help and advice throughout the experiment work and preparation of the manuscript.

My heart full thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Samira M. E.** Prof. of Vegetable crops, Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, for the supervision, encouragement and her kind help during this study.

Thank are also extended to the technicians and co-workers of the Vegetable crops, Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, for help and support.

Finally, I would like to express my deep my thanks to my mother and my friends for their helpful support and encouragement during my study.

CONTENTS

| INTI | RODUCTION |
|--------|---|
| REV | IEW OF LITERATURE |
| MAT | ERIALS AND METHODS |
| RES | ULTS AND DISCUSSION |
| 1. V | egetative growth characteristics |
| a. | Effect of sowing dates |
| b | Effect of cultivars |
| c. | Effect of protecting treatments |
| d | Interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| e. | Interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments |
| f. | Interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments |
| g | Interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments |
| ۲. Ph | otosynthetic pigments |
| a. | Effect of sowing dates |
| | Effect of cultivars |
| c. | Effect of protecting treatments |
| d | Interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| e. | Interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments |
| f. | Interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments |
| g | Interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments |
| ۳. Tot | al yield and exportable yield |
| | Effect of sowing dates |
| | Effect of cultivars |
| c. | Effect of protecting treatments |
| | Interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | Interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments |

| f. Interaction between cultivars and prote | ecting |
|--|------------|
| treatments | |
| g. Interaction between sowing dates, cul | |
| protecting treatments | |
| 4. Green pod quality | |
| a. Effect of sowing dates | |
| b. Effect of cultivars | |
| c. Effect of protecting treatments | |
| d. Interaction between sowing dates and | cultivars |
| e. Interaction between sowing dates and | protecting |
| treatments | |
| f. Interaction between cultivars and prote | • |
| treatments | |
| g. Interaction between sowing dates, cul- | |
| protecting treatments | |
| •. Chemical consitiuents on pods | |
| a. Effect of sowing dates | |
| b. Effect of cultivars | |
| c. Effect of protecting treatments | |
| d. Interaction between sowing dates and | cultivars |
| e. Interaction between sowing dates and treatments | _ |
| f. Interaction between cultivars and protestments | • |
| g. Interaction between sowing dates, cul | |
| protecting treatments | |
| SUMMARY | |
| REFERENCES | |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | |
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

| No | Title |
|-----|---|
| ١. | Maximum and minimum and average temperature (C°) during Y · · · 2 and Y · · · ° season |
| ۲. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during Y · · · ź season |
| ٣. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during |
| ٤. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars on vegetative growth characteristics of plants during |
| ٥. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars on vegetative growth characteristics of plants during |
| ٦. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during Y · · · 5 season |
| ٧. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during ' · · · o season |
| ۸. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during Y · · · ٤ season |
| ٩. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during Y season |
| ١٠. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during Y · · · 2 season |
| ١١. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on vegetative growth characteristics during ۲۰۰۰ season |
| ۱۲. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on Photosynthetic pigments (mg/\'\documents gm f.w) of leaves during \(\cdot \cdot \frac{\xi}{2} \) season |

| ۱۳. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting |
|-----|--|
| | treatments on Photosynthetic pigments (mg/\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\. |
| | of leaves during Y season |
| ١٤. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | Photosynthetic pigments (mg/\.\.\ gm f.w) of leaves |
| | during Y · · · season |
| ١٥. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | Photosynthetic pigments (mg/\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\. |
| | during Y · · · o season |
| ١٦. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and |
| | protecting treatments on Photosynthetic pigments |
| | (mg/\·· gm f.w) of leaves during Y·· ≤ season |
| ١٧. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and |
| | protecting treatments on Photosynthetic pigments |
| | (mg/\ gm f.w) of leaves during \ season |
| ١٨. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting |
| | treatments on Photosynthetic pigments (mg/\ \cdot \ gm f.w) |
| | of leaves during Y · · · \(\xi \) season |
| ۱٩. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting |
| | treatments on Photosynthetic pigments (mg/) · · gm |
| | f.w) of leaves during Y season |
| ۲٠. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on Photosynthetic pigments |
| | (mg/\ gm f.w) of leaves during Y season |
| ۲١. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on Photosynthetic pigments |
| | (mg/\ · · gm f.w) of leaves of Tema and Paulista cv |
| | during Y · · o season |
| ۲۲. | |
| | treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent |
| | during Y · · · 2 season |
| ۲٣. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting |
| | treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent |
| | during Y · · oseason |
| ۲٤. | |
| • | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | on total yield and exportable yield percent during $\gamma \cdots \dot{\gamma}$ |
| | season |
| | |

| ۲٥. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars on total yield and exportable yield percent during Y |
|-----|---|
| ۲٦. | season Effect of interaction between sowing dates and |
| | protecting treatments total yield and exportable yield percent during Y · · · 5 season |
| ۲٧. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent during Yseason |
| ۲۸. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent during Y · · · 2 season |
| ۲٩. | |
| ٣٠. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent during Y · · · ɛ season |
| ٣١. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on total yield and exportable yield percent during Y · · · o season |
| ٣٢. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on green pods quality during Y · · · 2 season |
| ٣٣. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting treatments on green pods quality of Tema and Paulista cv during Y season |
| ٣٤. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars on green pods quality during Y · · · 5 season |
| ٣٥. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars on green pods quality during Y · · o season |
| ٣٦. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on green pods quality during Y · · · 2 season |
| ٣٧. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on green pods quality during Yo season |
| ٣٨. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments on green pods quality during 7 2 season |

| ٣٩. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting treatments on green pods quality during Y season |
|-----|---|
| ٤٠. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on green pods quality during |
| ٤١. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on green pods quality during |
| ٤٢. | Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting |
| | treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of |
| ٤٣. | green pods during Y · · · season Effect of sowing dates, cultivars and protecting |
| • | treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of |
| | green pods during Y··· season |
| ٤٤. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of green pods |
| | during Y · · · ¿ season |
| ٤٥. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and cultivars |
| | on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of green pods |
| , _ | during Y · · oseason |
| ٤٦. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and |
| | protecting treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber |
| ٤٧. | (%) of green pods during Y · · · · season |
| ۷. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates and protecting treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber |
| | (%) of green pods during Y · · o season |
| ٤٨. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting |
| • | treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of |
| | green pods during Y · · · ¿ season |
| ٤٩. | Effect of interaction between cultivars and protecting |
| | treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and fiber (%) of |
| | green pods during Y season |
| ٥٠. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and |
| | fiber (%) of green pods during Y · · · z season |
| ٥١. | Effect of interaction between sowing dates, cultivars |
| | and protecting treatments on Protein, carbohydrate and |
| | fiber (%) of green pods during Y · · · o season |

INTRODUCTION

Snap bean *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. is one of the most important member of leguminous crops grown in Egypt for local market and exportation, as well as, plays an important role for human nutrient as a cheap source of protein, carbohydrate, vitamins and minerals.

In Egypt, the cultivated area of this crop in 7 was estimated at $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Feddan, which produced about 7 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ tons of green pods with an average yield of $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ tons per feddan, according to Department of Agricultural statistics, Ministry of Agricultural and land Reclamation. The exported quantity of snap bean were 7 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ton / feddan and dry bean were 7 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ton/feddan in 7 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ according to Agricultural Exportable Unoin.

In Egypt, climatic conditions are suitable for Snap bean growth except in critical periods, i.e. June, July, and August. In such periods, plants are subjected to relatively high temperature. Green bean is a heat - sensitive crop decreased at high temperatures (Dickson and Boettger, 1945).

High temperatures during the reproductive growth stage result in a reduction in pod and seed set in green bean due to enhanced abscission of flower buds, flowers, and pods (Monterroso and Wien, 1991). The most favorable environment for pod set and retention consisted of high humidity, low night temperature, and high soil moisture (Fisher and Weaver, 1972).

A highly positive correlation was observed between pod set and pollen stability in flowers that were affected by heat stress about ' days before anthesis (Suzuki *et al.*, ' · · ').

The aim of the present work was study the effect of some protective techniques and sowing date on growth, yield and quality of Snap bean cultivars.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The effect of sowing dates, cultivars, shading of maize, Ascopen, Delfan, and on growth, yield and chemical composition of snap bean crop will be reviewed under the following heading.

\. Vegetative growth characteristics

a. Effect of sowing date

In India, Sharma (1979) compared three sowing dates of black gram (phaseolus mungo) i.e., 10th of June, 7.th of June and 10th of July, found that stem length and number of branches were increased in the second date. In U.S.A., Williams and Marshall (1977) indicated that soybean was sown on Y. April, Y. May or Y. June, they found that highest plant height was obtained with sowing on Y. May. In India, Sharma et al. (١٩٨٩) studied the effect of four sowing date i.e., \٣th July, Yrd July, Ynd August, and Yth August on snap bean. They noticed that the highest plant height, and branches number, was recorded with sowing date (\gamma^{th} July) compared with other sowing dates. Khalil (1991) studied the influences of three planting dates (July \st, July \cdot that and August \st) on cowpea. Found that the maximum values of growth rate under the conditions of later planting date (August \structure{st}). El-Attar (\frac{997}{97}) working on soybean studied the effect of six dates of planting, i.e., early- April, mid- April, early- May, mid-May, early June and mid- June found that later sowing date on half June significantly decreased plant height, branches number per plant. Hassan (199A) compared between of six sowing dates (Ath of March, YAth of March, Yth of April, Yth of May, YYth of May and Yth of June), the tallest plants were noticed when cowpea seeds were sown on

17th of June. In India, Sanini and Negi (199A) on phaseolus vulgaris pointed that plant height and branches number/ plant were significantly lower in T. May over than the 10 April, T. April, and 10 May. Tolba et al. (Y.Y) studied the effect of three planting dates started on Y. May with Y. days intervals on cowpea. They found that planting date May significantly increased plant height, dry weight per plant but significant increase in branches number per plant were observed in first season only compared with \\ May, and \\ June. In Egypt, Mahmoud and shahin (1991) studied the influence of five planting dates (started monthly at the first of April up to the first of August) on the vegetative growth of cowpea, they mentioned that sowing seeds in July, significantly increased plant height, number of branches followed by those of April and June respectively. Abd-Allah (Y··٦) on snap bean indicated that early sowing on \st of March reflected the highest values for plant length, branches per plant as well as fresh weight of plant compared with mid (\st of April) and late (\st of May) sowing dates.

b. Effect of cultivars

Mohamed ('۹۹۷) found no significant difference in plant height among the studies bean cultivars, i.e., Bronco, Conteder, Giza^r, Dialana, Tema, Morgan and Giza⁷ while, such cultivars showed difference number of the developed primary branches on their plants. El-Tohamy ('*···) tested five bean cultivars namely cvs. Giza^r, Giza⁷, Bronco, Felxo and Tema. It was found that cvs. Giza^r and Felxo were best in growth rate compared with other tested cultivars. Ewais ('*··r) indicated that significant difference among the tested cultivars (S', Diacol and Nebraska) in the plant height and number of branches per