# Cytogenetic Study for Couples with Repeated Abortion

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD Degree in Medical genetics

 $B_{\lambda}$ 

#### Nagwa ELsayed Afify Gaboon

M.Sc. of Medical Genetics

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Supervised By

## Prof.Dr. Mohamed Abd Eladl Elsawy

Professor in Pediatric Department and Head of Genetic Unit Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

#### Prof.Dr.Ahmed Rami Mohamed

Professor of Obestetric and Gynacology

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

## Dr. Ehab Khayri Emam

Associate Professor of Pediatric

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

## **Dr.Solaf Mohamed Elsayed**

Associate Professor of Medical Genetics

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

#### Dr.Osama Kamal Zaki

Associate consultant - Medical Genetics
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2009



#### First of all, praise to ALLAH, God of all beings.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and respect to **Prof. Dr Mohamed Abd Eladl Elsawy Professor in Pediatrics Department and head of Genetic Unit, Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University** for his unlimited guidance and continuous encouragement throughout the work.

I am deeply indebted and grateful to **Prof. Dr Ahmed Rami** Mohamed, Prof. of Obstetric and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine -Ain Shams University and The head of Obstetric and Gynecology Hospital for his kind support, encouragement and fruitful criticism throughout the work.

I would like to express my gratitude and respect to **Dr.Ehab Khayri Emam Associate Prof. of Pediatric**, Ain Shams University for his kind support.

I am grateful to **Dr. Solaf Mohamed Elsayed Associate prof. of Genetics Ain Shams University** for giving a lot of her precious time and valuable guidance and kind help throughout the work.

My cardinal thanks and sincere gratitude to **Dr.Osama Kamal Zaki Associate consultant of Medical Genetics Ain Shams University**, for his kind help, valuable advice, and for his highly potency to design the practical strategy in this dissertation and identifying the results.

My sincere gratitude for **Dr. Doaa Sadik** for her effort with me and **Elshimaa Labib** and all my colleagues of **Medical Genetics Center** and **Unit, Ain Shams University** for their help and support.

|     | <b>List of Contents</b>                         | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 1-  | List of Tables                                  | i    |
| 2-  | List of figures                                 | iii  |
| 3-  | List of Abbreviations                           | vi   |
| 4-  | Introduction and Aim of the Work                | 1    |
| 5-  | Review of literature                            |      |
|     | Chapter 1: Abortion                             | 3    |
|     | Chapter 2: Chromosomal anomalies                | 38   |
|     | Chapter 3: Genetic factors of repeated abortion | 58   |
|     | Chapter 4: Management of recurrent abortion     | 86   |
| 6-  | Subjects and method                             | 102  |
| 7-  | Results   | 109  |
| 8-  | Discussion                                      | 145  |
| 9-  | English Summary                                 | 157  |
| 10- | Conclusion and Recommendations                  | 160  |
| 11- | References                                      | 162  |
| 12- | Arabic Summary                                  |      |

## **List of Tables**

| Table No.  | Title  | Page |
|--|--|------|
| Table (1)  | Terms used to describe pregnancy loss  | ٣    |
| Table (2)  | commonly reported causes of recurrent pregnancy loss   | 5    |
| Table (3)  | Types of chromosomal abnormalities   | 34   |
| Table (4)  | Distribution of individual trisomies among trisomic  | ٦١   |
| Table (5)  | percentage of prenatal loss of chromosomally abnormal fetuses.                                     | 71   |
| Table (6)  | Patient interview questions  | 90   |
| Table (7)  | Mean age and range in both males and females   | 108  |
| Table(8)   | result of karyotype in the studied group   | 109  |
| Table(9)   | Sex distribution of abnormal karyotype   | 110  |
| Table (10)                                       | shows structural chromosomal abnormalities   | 111  |
| Table (11)                                       | shows number of abortion in couples  | 128  |
| Table(12)  | Mean number of abortions in cases with normal and abnormal karyotype.                              | 130  |
| Table (13)                                       | comparison between translocation carriers and normal karyotpes as regards mean number of abortion: | 130  |
| Table(14) Mean age of affected partener          |  | 130  |
| Table (15)                                       | Distribution of maternal age and percentage of carriers.   | 131  |
| Table(16)  | Incidence of translocation carrier in females $\leq 35$ and $> 35$ years                           | 133  |
| Table(17)  | Distribution of paternal age and percentage of carriers  | 133  |
| Table(18)  | Incidence of translocation carrier in males $\leq 35y$ and $> 35$ years                            | 135  |
| Table (19) Consanguinity of the studied couples. |  | 136  |
| Table(20)  | Comparison between cases with and without translocation as regards consanguinity.                  | 137  |
| Table (21)                                       | characterstics of living children in studied group   | 138  |
| Table (22)                                       | Family history in translocation carrier and non carrier couples                                    | 139  |

| Table (23) | Comparison between translocation carriers and normal karyotype as regards abnormal family history . | 139 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table(24)  | comparison between carriers and non carriers as regards abnormal obstetric history                  | 140 |
| Table(25)  | comparison between carriers and non carriers as regards detailed abnormal obstetric history.        | 141 |
| Table (26) | Antibodies against toxoplasma, rubella and CMV  | 142 |
| Table(27)  | Anticardiolipin antibodies  | 142 |
| Table(28)  | Frequency of abnormal PT and PTT  | 142 |
| Table(29)  | semen analysis abnormalities in male partner of the studied group                                   | 143 |

## **List of Figures**

| No. | Title  | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 1   | Uterine septum   | 9    |
| 2   | Unicornuate uterus   | 9    |
| 3   | Didelphic uterus with vaginal septum.  | 10   |
| 4   | Bicornuate uterus  | 11   |
| 5   | Damage vascular endothelium  | 21   |
| 6   | Constricted blood vessels  | 22   |
| 7   | Antibodies acting on coagulation factors or placental endothelial cells  | ۲3   |
| 8   | Elevated levels of natural killer cells  | 29   |
| 9   | Karyotype from products of conception of spontaneous miscarriage showing triploidy   | 41   |
| 10  | Structural abnormalities   | 42   |
| 11  | A,Schematic diagram ( <i>left</i> ) and partial G-banded karyotype ( <i>right</i> ) of a reciprocal translocation between chromosome2and 8 B, Schematic diagram ( <i>left</i> ) and partial G-banded karyotype ( <i>right</i> ) of a Robertsonian translocation between chromosomes 13 and 14. | 44   |
| 12  | A Pericentric and B paracentric invertions   | 48   |
| 13  | Mechanism of production of recombinant unbalanced  | 52   |
| 13  | chromosomes from A. pericentric and B. paracentric inversion by crossing over in an inversion loop.  | 32   |
| 14  | Isochromosomes arise from abnormal centromere division and result in either duplication of the short arm and deletion of the long arm (ISO p), or duplication of the long arm and deletion of the short arm (Iso q)  | 55   |
| 15  | Ring chromosome  | 56   |
| 16  | The suggested evaluation and testing of couples with recurrent miscarriage   | 88   |
| 17  | A sample medical and family history intake form  | 89   |
| 18  | Mean age of females and males in studied group.  | 108  |
| 19  | Frequency of chromosomal abnormality in studied group  | 109  |
| 20  | Sex distribution of translocation carriers   | 110  |
| 21  | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 5)The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(3;8)(p25;p11)  | 112  |

| 22 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 5),The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(3;8)(p25;p11) | 113 |
|----|--|-----|
| 23 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case   | 114 |
|    | 41), The patient karyotype was: 46, XX, t(4;6)(p24;q25).   |     |
| 24 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case   | 115 |
|    | 41),The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(4;6)(p24;q25)   |     |
| 25 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case   | 116 |
|    | 45),<br>The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(9;17)(p24;q25.1)  |     |
| 26 |  | 117 |
| 26 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case 45),  | 117 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(9;17)(p24;q25.1)  |     |
| 27 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 48),  | 118 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(11;22)(q23;q13)   |     |
| 28 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 48),  | 119 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(11;22)(q23;q13)   |     |
| 29 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 53),  | 120 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(9;21)(q21;q22).   |     |
| 30 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier male (Case 53)   | 121 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XY,t(9;21)(q21;q22)  |     |
| 31 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case   | 122 |
|    | 81),   |     |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(7;21)(p11;p11).   |     |
| 32 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case   | 123 |
|    | 81),   |     |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(7;21)(p11;p11)  |     |
| 33 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female   | 124 |
|    | (Case117), The patient karyotype was:  |     |
|    | 46,XX,t(6;12)(q21;q23).  | 4   |
| 34 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female   | 125 |
|    | (Case117)  |     |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(6;12)(q21;q23)  | 10- |
| 35 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case 19)   | 126 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(13;14)(q10;q10)   |     |
| 36 | G- banding karyotyping; Translocation carrier female (Case19)  | 127 |
|    | The patient karyotype was: 46,XX,t(13;14)(q10;q10)   |     |
| 37 | Percenatage of couples in relation to number of abortion.  | 128 |
| 38 | Number of abortion in translocation carriers   | 129 |
|    |  |     |
|    |  |     |

| 39 | Number of abortion in translocation carriers                | 129 |
|----|---|-----|
| 10 |   | 100 |
| 40 | Distribution of females in different age group              | 132 |
| 41 | Distribution of Carrier females in different age group.     | 132 |
| 42 | Distribution of males in different age group.               | 134 |
| 43 | Distribution of carrier males in different age group        | 134 |
| 44 | Consanguinity in examined couples                           | 136 |
| 45 | Living children in studied group                            | 138 |
| 46 | Semen analysis abnormalities in male partner of the studied | 143 |
|    | group.  |     |

#### **List of Abbreviations**

A1c Glycosylated hemoglobin

AC Abnormal child

ACA Anticardiolipin antibody activity

ACOG American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

APLAs Antiphospholipid antibodies.

APLs Antiplatelets
DES Diethylstilbestrol
FM Fetal Malformation

ID Infant death

IPLWG Inherited pregnancy loss working group

IUFD Intrauterine fetal death LAC Lupus anticoagulant LDA low dose aspirin LPD Luteal phase defects

LMWH low molecular weight heparin

ND Neonatal death.

PCOS Polycystic ovaries

POC product of conceptus

PL Pregnancy loss

PRL prolactin

RA Repeated abortion

RCOG Royal Collage of Obestetricians and Gynaecologist

Rcp Reciprocal translocation
RM Recurent miscarriage
Rob Robertsonian translocation.
RPL Recurrent pregnancy loss
SAB Spontaneous abortion

SB Stillbirth

SLE Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Γh 1Γ- helper cellsΓSΓurner syndrome

TSH Thyroid stimulating hormone

UFH unfractionated heparin

## Introduction

Pregnancy loss is very common, both preclinically and clinically. Many embryo are never implant and are lost even before clinical recognition of pregnancy (*Wilcox et al., 1988*).

Abortion is defined as the termination of pregnancy before 20 weeks of gestation. Approximately 15% of clinically recognizable pregnancies end in spontaneous abortion (*Hogge*, 2003).

Miscarriages affect 15% of women, primarly in the first trimester, whilst most are sporadic and non recurrent, there is a subset comprising 2-5% of couples that suffers recurrent miscarriage (*Clark*, 2003).

Patients are usually classified as having recurrent spontaneous abortion after three or more losses, but sometimes two losses is used as the criterion (*Warburton*, 2000), as Some clinician favor changing the definition to two or more consecutive losses (*Regan*, 1991).

Most textbooks define recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) as three or more consecutive spontaneous abortions. This definition can be further subdivided into primary (all pregnancies lost) or secondary (after a successful pregnancy). In many clinical situations, the definition is altered to two or more consecutive spontaneous abortions (*Awad*, 2004). While the inherited pregnancy loss working group (IPLWG) defines recurrent miscarriage as three or more clinically recognized consecutive or non-consecutive pregnancy losses occurring prior to fetal viability (<24 weeks) (*Laurino et al.*, 2005).

Recurrent miscarriages have a range of possible causes including genetic, anatomic, endocrine, immune, infective,

thrombophilic, and unexplained (Kavalier, 2005).

In approximately 3-6 % of couples with recurrent pregnancy loss, one partner will have a genetically balanced structural chromosome rearrangement. Balanced translocations account for the largest percentage of these karyotypic abnormalities (*Franssen et al.*, 2005).

They can cause pregnancy loss because segregation during meiosis results in gametes with duplication or deficiency of chromosome segments. Other genetically balanced structural chromosome abnormalities, such as chromosome inversions, account for a small percentage of abnormal parental karyotypes among couples with recurrent pregnancy loss. The clinical consequences of such abnormal gametes include repeated abortions, still birth and giving birth to malformed children and severe mental handicap (*Carp et al.*, 2004).

Prenatal diagnosis is therefore must be offered to carrier couples in subsequent pregnancies. No consensus exist between current guidelines for the management of recurrent miscarriage on whether chromosome analysis should be offered after two or three miscarriage (Royal collage of obstetrician and Gynacologist, 2003).

#### Aim of the work:

The aim of this work is to study the frequency and type of chromosomal aberrations that contribute to the occurrence of repeated abortions in Egypt.

## Chapter 1:

#### **Abortion**

#### **Definitions:**

Spontaneous abortion (SAB) or miscarriage is defined as the involuntary termination of pregnancy before 20 weeks of gestation (dated from the last menstrual period) or spontaneous expulsion of fetus below a fetal weight of 500g (*Speroff and Fritz*, 2005).

Miscarriage can be further divided into embryonic losses which occure before the ninth gestational week and into fetal losses which occure at or after the 9<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> weeks of gestation (*lee and Siliver, 2000*), table (1) shows terms used to describe pregnancy loss (*Petrosa, 2006*).

Table (1): Terms used to describe pregnancy loss (Petrosa, 2006).

| Term                           | Definition  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Chemical pregnancy loss        | Loss of biochemically evident pregenancy  |
| Early pregnancy loss           | Abortion of the first trimester, loss of histologically recognized pregnancy, or a loss based on ultrasonographic findings. |
| SAB                            | Pregnancy loss before 20 weeks gestation, as based on last menstrual period   |
| Habitual or recurrent abortion | 3 or more consecutive SABs  |
| Stillbirth                     | Pregnancy loss after 20 weeks' gestation (neonatal loss is the death of a live born fetus)                                  |

Recurrent pregnancy loss is usually defined as three or more spontaneous pregnancy losses (not necessarily consecutive) (*lee et al.*, 2000).

Recurrent first trimesteric abortion is defined as the occurrence of 3 or more clinically recognized spontaneous losses before 12 weeks from the last menstrual period (*Wilcox et al.*, 1988).

#### Incidence

Pregnancy loss is the most commen complication of pregnancy and it is estimated that fetal viability is only achieved in 30% of all human conceptions. 50% of which are lost prior to first missed menses (*clark*, 2003).

Miscarriage affect 15% of women, primarily in the first trimester, whilst most are sporadic and none-recurrent, there is a subset comprising 2-5 % of couples that suffers recurrent miscarriage (*clark*, 2003).

Miscarriage risk increases with the number of previous pregnancy losses but rarely exceeds 40-50%. Risk for pregnancy loss also rises with increasing maternal age, moderately after 35 and more rapidly after age 40 (*Nybo Andersen et al.*, 2000).

## **Etiological factors**

Among all factors the only undisputed causes of recurrent

pregnancy loss are genetic factors, anatomic or immunologic (Speroff and Fritz, 2005).

Alloimmunopathology, inherited thrombophilias, endocrinopathies, infections and environmental exposure have been implicated but are not established causes of recurrent abortion (Speroff et al., 2005).

Even after a comprehensive evaluation recurrent pregnancy loss remains unexplained in well more than half of affected couples (*Speroff et al.*, 2005). Table (2) shows commonly reported causes of recurrent pregnancy loss.

Table (2): Commonly reported causes of recurrent pregnancy loss ( *Laurino et al.*, 2005)

#### Commonly reported causes of recurrent pregnancy loss

#### **Endocrine**

Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus

Luteal phase deficiency (remains in conclusive, limited to 1<sup>st</sup> trimester.

Polycystic ovarian syndrome.

#### **Environmental agents**

Prolonged exposure to alcohol, smoking, cocain.

#### **Immunologic**

Antiphospholipid syndrome

#### Maternal factors (acquired, inherited, structural)

Uterine anatomic malformations

Myomas

Cervical abnormalities

### Chromosomal and single gene disorders

Fetal chromosomal abnormalities

Parental balanced translocation

Alpha thalassemia major

Thrombophilia

X-linked mal lethal conditions

#### I- Non Genetic factors

#### 1-Anatomic factors

- a- Congenital malformation
- b- Uterine leiomyomas and polyps
- c- Intrauterine adhesions
- d- Incompetent internal cervical os

## 2- Systemic and localized endocrine abnormalities

- a- Thyroid disease
- b- Diabetes mellitus
- c- Hypersecretion of LH
- d- Polycystic ovaries
- e- High androgen level
- f- Hyperprolactinemia
- g- Luteal phase defect
- h- Primary endometrial defect

## 3- Immunological factors:

- a- Autoimmune mechanism
- b- Alloimmune mechanism

#### 4-Infectious causes

## 5- Lifestyle factors, environmental factors and miscellaneous factors