

**Otoferlin Gene Mutation
in
Non Syndromic Auditory Neuropathy patients**

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment
In

Master degree in Audiology
By

Ghada Moharram Mohamed Khalil
(M.B., B.Ch.)

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nadia M. Kamal

Professor of Audiology
ENT department
Faculty of medicine
Ain-Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Sawy

Professor of Genetics
Pediatric department
Faculty of medicine
Ain-Shams University

Prof. Dr. Iman El-Danasoury,

Professor of Audiology
ENT department
Faculty of medicine
Ain-Shams University

Ain-Shams University

2010

الاختلال الوراثي في جين أوتوفيرلين في مرض الاعتلال العصبي السمعي الغير مرتبط بمتلازمة

رسالة توطئة للحصول على
درجة الماجستير في السمعيات

مقدمة من
الطبيبة: غادة محرم محمد خليل

تحت إشراف

أ.د / محمد الصاوي

أستاذ الوراثة
قسم الأطفال
جامعة عين شمس

أ.د / نادية محمد كمال

أستاذ السمعيات
قسم الأنف والأذن والحنجرة
جامعة عين شمس

أ.د / ايمن صادق الدناصوري

أستاذ السمعيات
قسم الأنف والأذن والحنجرة
جامعة عين شمس

جامعة عين شمس

2010

Acknowledgment

It is really difficult to select the meaningful words to express my gratitude and thanks to all who contributed in this work,

I deeply thank Prof. Dr. Nadia Kamal, professor of Audiology, ENT Department, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for her sincere supervision, unlimited support and step by step assistance.

I also thank Prof. Dr. Iman Sadek, professor of Audiology ENT Department, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for her valuable supervision, generous support and accurate revision.

I also thank Prof. Dr. Mohammad El-Sawy, professor of Genetics, Pediatric Department, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for his great interest, valuable remarks & precious supervision.

I am greatly indebted to all the doctors of the Medical Genetics center, Ain Shams University, who participated in this study. I thank them deeply for their efforts in DNA extraction.

My special thanks to Prof. Dr. Paolo Gasparini and his assistant Dr. Cristina Zadro, Researcher Latemer and Department of Reproductive & developmental Sciences, University of Trieste, Italy, who carried out the difficult job of DNA analysis.

My gratitude and thanks for Prof. Dr. Osama Abd-El-Ghany, Vice Dean for graduates studies and research, for his effort and fruitful help during carrying out this work,

I heartily thank all the members of the Audiology unit, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for their cooperation and continuous support.

I also thank all the patients for being helpful and co-operative.

Last, but not least, I express my deep gratitude to my family for their effort, encouragement and support.

Ghada Moharram

Index of Contents

	Page
I. Introduction and rationale.....	1
II. Aims of the work.....	3
III. Review of literature	
Chapter (1): Auditory Neuropathy.....	4
Background of the term “Auditory neuropathy”.....	5
Definition.....	6
Prevalence.....	7
Pathology and Pathophysiology.....	7,10
Possible etiology	13
Clinical presentation.....	15
Classification.....	25
Differential diagnosis.....	26
Management strategies.....	27
Chapter (2): Genetics of Auditory Neuropathy.....	30
Genetic forms and genes involved in auditory neuropathy.....	31
Otoferlin (OTOF) Gene.....	35
General principles.....	36
Structure.....	38
Mapping	40
Function.....	40
Molecular genetics pathogenesis.....	43
Clinical profile.....	46
Differential Diagnosis.....	48
Molecular diagnosis of OTOF related hearing loss.....	49
Genetic Counseling.....	50
IV. Methodology.....	52
V. Results.....	61
VI. Discussion.....	90
VII. Conclusions.....	103
VIII. Recommendations.....	105
IX. Summary.....	107
X. References.....	111
Glossary.....	132
Appendix.....	142
Arabic Summary	148

List of tables

Table No.	Title	Page
1,2	The expected results of physiological tests and functional measures when the pathology of the auditory pathway is confined to specific anatomic levels. (<i>Rapin & Gravel 2003</i>)	26 ^s
3	Age and gender distribution in the study and control groups	62
4	Distribution of patients according to the probability of heredofamilial etiology of hearing loss	63
5	Distribution of familial cases according to consanguinity	63
6	Breakdown of the children according to the age of onset and duration of hearing loss	64
7	Distribution of the children according to the course of hearing loss	64
8	Distribution of the children patients according to the possible risk factors	65
9	Distribution of the patients according to the verbal language development	66
10	Distribution of the patients according to the mode of communication	66
11	Distribution of the patients according to the rehabilitation device use and rehabilitation therapy.	66
12	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) and range of duration of hearing aid use and rehabilitation therapy	67
13	Average and median of pure tone thresholds in dBHL at different frequencies and Speech Detection test at free field for children	67

	group.	
14	Breakdown of children group according to the degree of hearing loss	68
15	Breakdown of children group according to the configuration of hearing loss	68
16	Average and median of binaural aided thresholds in dB HL at different frequencies and Speech Detection test at free field for children group.	69
17	Breakdown of children group according to the number of peaks and duration of cochlear microphonics	70
18	Breakdown of children group according to the threshold of cochlear microphonics	71
19	Breakdown of children group according to the results of (TOAE's)	72
20	Breakdown of the adults according to the age of onset and duration of hearing loss	73
21	Distribution of the adults according to the course of hearing loss	73
22	Average and median of pure tone thresholds in dB HL at different frequencies for adult group	74
23	Breakdown of adults group according to the degree of hearing loss	75
24	Breakdown of adults group according to the configuration of hearing loss	76
25	Mean(X), Standard deviation (SD) of Speech Reception Thresholds "SRT" in dBHL, Word Discrimination Score "WDS%" with and without visual cues "VC"	76

26	Breakdown of adult group according to the number of peaks and duration of cochlear microphonics	77
27	Breakdown of adults group according to the threshold of cochlear microphonics	78
28	Breakdown of adults group according to the results of (TOAE's)	78
29	Distribution of patients according to the results of OTOF gene analysis	79
30	OTOF gene mutations in the OTOF positive category	80
31	Summary of OTOF gene mutations in the OTOF positive category	81
32	Summary of OTOF gene Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the OTOF negative category	83
33	Genotype-phenotype of OTOF gene positive category	84
34	Cochlear microphonics and TOAE's of OTOF gene positive category	85
35	Degree of hearing loss in both OTOF +ve & OTOF -ve categories	86
36	Risk factors associated with AN patients & results of OTOF gene mutations (children group)	87
37	Results of auditory assessment of AN patients & results of OTOF gene mutations (children group)	88
38	Results of auditory assessment of AN patients (adults group)	89

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
1	A computer model of the discharges of ten auditory nerve fibers synaptically related to a single inner hair cell.	9
2	Four electrocochleography (ECochG) waveforms	20
3	Examples of the two different types of electrocochleography waveforms in AN subjects	22
4	Structure of a gene	37
5	Protein synthesis	38
6	Schematic representation of the human otoferlin long and short forms.	39
7	mapping of OTOF and its surrounding genes	40
8	The ribbon synapse	41
9	Ribbon diagrams of the structures of the C2-domain	43
10	Median pure tone thresholds of children group at different frequencies and aided threshold for children with hearing aids	69
11	Median pure tone thresholds of Adults group at different frequencies	75

I. Introduction and Rationale

Auditory neuropathy (AN) is a hearing disorder characterized by preservation of outer hair cell function as indicated by the presence of oto-acoustic emissions (OAE) and/or cochlear microphonics (CM), with absent auditory brainstem responses (ABRs). Individuals with AN can have various degrees of hearing loss, with generally disproportionately poor speech understanding (Starr et al., 1996& 2000; Berlin et al., 1999, Wang et al., 2003).

Starr et al., (2000) & Varga et al., (2006) pointed out that in auditory neuropathy the lesion lies at the level of the inner hair cells (IHC), the IHC synapse to the afferent nerve fibers, or the auditory nerve itself.

The estimated incidence of auditory neuropathy within at- risk population is 0.23% or 11% of children with sensorineural hearing loss (Rance et al., 1999).

Approximately 50% of AN patients have no defined etiology (Starr et al., 2000). However, a genetic basis for neuropathy had been identified (Varga et al., 2003).

The relation between auditory neuropathy and mutations of OTOF gene were established for the first time in 2003 by Varga et al. who identified four different mutations on such gene to be responsible for auditory neuropathy of recessive heredity in four Spaniard families.

OTOF gene encodes otoferlin, a membrane-anchored calcium-binding protein that plays a role in the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles at the auditory inner hair cell ribbon synapse (Varga et al., 2003).

A multicenter study in 2008 on the prevalence and spectrum of deafness-causing mutations in the OTOF gene revealed that OTOF mutations are a major cause of inherited auditory neuropathy (Rodríguez-Ballesteros et al., 2008).

Moreover mutation of the OTOF gene correlates with a phenotype of prelingual, profound non-syndromic recessive auditory neuropathy (NSRAN) without any other detectable peripheral neuropathies (Varga et al., 2003; Rodríguez-Ballesteros et al.; 2003; Tekin et al., 2005).

Identification of the underlying gene(s) for AN is one of the key challenges for understanding the molecular basis of different AN phenotypes. This helps define the mechanism of hearing and the specific role of IHCs and OHCs in this phenomenon. Also it may have important implications for diagnosis, newborn screening and prognosis. It improves our ability to provide appropriate communication skills and tools in a timely manner to those affected.

To date, mutations in OTOF gene among auditory neuropathy Egyptian patients have not been investigated. This work is hoping to explore the role of OTOF gene in auditory neuropathy patients among Egyptian population.

II. Aims of the work

- 1- To identify the characteristic mutations in the otoferlin gene associated with non syndromic auditory neuropathy in Egyptian population if any.
- 2- To find the relation between audiological profile and otoferlin gene mutation if found.

Chapter (1)
Auditory Neuropathy

It was almost 30 years ago that audiologists began to hear about patients with absent auditory brain stem responses (ABRs), but normal or near normal audiograms! In the early 1980s, Davis and Hirsh (1979), Worthington and Peters (1980), Lenhardt (1981) and Kraus et al. (1984) were among the first to publish case accounts of people with impaired speech comprehension out of proportion to the pure tone threshold deficits and absent ABR despite of the measurable hearing.

Fortunately, there has been an increasing interest in understanding this pattern of results and discovering underlying anatomical and physiological processes. Even though detailed studies of the pathology, etiology even the time course of clinical and auditory test abnormalities in individual patients with this clinical syndrome are still incomplete.

Background of the term “Auditory neuropathy”:

The term auditory neuropathy was first used by Starr et al. (1996) they suggested that this type of hearing impairment is due to a disorder of auditory nerve function and may have, as one of its causes, a neuropathy of the auditory nerve, occurring either in isolation or as part of a generalized neuropathic process and thus, coined the term ‘auditory neuropathy’

Scientists have described patients with similar clinical findings, but with a wide variety of terms, such as “Low Frequency Sensorineural Hearing Loss Syndrome” (Soliman, 1987), “Neural synchrony disorder” (Starr et al., 1991), “Auditory Nerve Disease” (Kaga et al., 1996) and “Paradoxical hearing loss” (Davis & Hirsh, 1979).

Berlin et al. (2001) suggested the term auditory dys-synchrony instead of auditory neuropathy, as the cochlear nerve was not always injured, and therefore

the old term would be semantically incorrect. Even with this recommendation, however, both terms are still used in papers that describe those patients. As a result, auditory neuropathy/dyssynchrony (AN/AD) has emerged as a new term (Kaga et al., 2002; Santarelli & Arslan, 2002; Berlin et al., 2003a).

Definition of auditory neuropathy/dys-synchrony (AN/AD):

Auditory neuropathy/dys-synchrony (AN/AD) is a hearing disorder affecting auditory nerve function in the presence of preserved cochlear outer hair cell activity (Starr et al. 1996).

Sininger and Oba (2001) proposed that diagnosis of AN/AD ought to be based on all of the following criteria:

- (1) Evidence of poor auditory neural function such as: Abnormal auditory brainstem response (ABR) in the form of delayed latencies and/or below normal amplitudes and/or abnormal waveform morphology (Rance, 2005). And elevated or absent other auditory brainstem reflexes such as stapedial reflexes and otoacoustic emissions suppression by noise (which is mediated by the olivocochlear bundle).
- (2) Evidence of normal OHC function, such as normal OAE or cochlear microphonics on electrocochleography (ECochG). However, OAEs can disappear over time, whilst cochlear microphonics may remain present (Deltenre et al., 1999).
- (3) Evidence of poor hearing with characteristic temporal and speech perception deficits which are disproportionate to the audiometric thresholds (Zeng et al., 2001).

Finally, Sininger and Oba (2001) proposed that exclusion of other potential causes ought to be made by appropriate investigations, such as a brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to establish the structural integrity of the auditory nerve. A recent study identified hypoplastic or absent 8th nerve on brain MRIs in just under 20% of children with clinical characteristics of AN/AD (Buchman et al., 2006). This was more likely to be true if AN/AD was unilateral and/or the associated hearing loss was profound (Bamiou, 2009).

Prevalence of auditory neuropathy/dys-synchrony (AN/AD):

According to Colm et al. (2002) the prevalence of auditory neuropathy among patients with sensorineural hearing loss ranged from 0.5% up to 15% and many investigators lie within such range. Prevalence is estimated to range between 0.23% and 0.94% in infants “at risk” for hearing impairment (Foerst et al., 2006; Rance et al., 1999). The prevalence of auditory neuropathy among Egyptian infants and young children diagnosed to have severe to profound hearing loss is 13.4% (Sanyelbhaa et al., 2009).

Pathology of AN/AD:

Although the underlying lesion(s) and the pathophysiologic mechanisms in AN/AD are key-points in understanding and treating the disease, the related evidence is still unclear and, in some cases confusing (Vlastarakos et al., 2008).

The pathology of AN has not yet been defined but is likely to be similar to temporal bone studies of individuals with a ‘sensorineural deafness’ and hereditary peripheral neuropathy published about 30 years ago (Spoendlin, 1974; Hallpike et al., 1980). Those studies showed selective loss of auditory nerve and ganglion cells in the presence of preserved inner and outer hair cells. The authors noted their