

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

❦ لَا يَكْفُ اللَّهُ نَفْسًا إِلَّا وُسْعَهَا لَهَا مَا كَسَبَتْ وَعَلَيْهَا
مَا اكْتَسَبَتْ رَبَّنَا لَا تُؤَاخِذْنَا إِنْ نَسِينَا أَوْ أَخْطَأْنَا رَبَّنَا وَلَا
تَحْمِلْ عَلَيْنَا إَصْرًا كَمَا حَمَلْتَهُ عَلَى الَّذِينَ مِنْ قَبْلِنَا رَبَّنَا
وَلَا تُحَمِّلْنَا مَا لَا طَاقَةَ لَنَا بِهِ وَاعْفُ عَنَّا وَاعْفِرْ لَنَا
وَارْحَمْنَا أَنْتَ مَوْلَانَا فَانصُرْنَا عَلَى الْقَوْمِ الْكَافِرِينَ ❦

صدق الله العظيم

***Effect of Different Joining Techniques on
the Tensile Strength, Marginal Accuracy
and Corrosion Behavior of Two Fixed
Prosthodontic Casting Alloys***

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University, in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Doctor Degree in Fixed Prosthodontics.

By

Sahar Mohamed Mokhtar Mohamed

B. D. S., M.S.D. (Cairo)

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

2010

Supervisors

Dr. Ihab El-Sayed Mosleh

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Eman Mohamed Anwar Hussein

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Mohamed Abd El-harith Mohamed

Professor of Laser science
National Institute of Laser Enhanced Science (N.I.L.E.S.)
Cairo University

TO THE MEMORY OF MY FATHER

WHO I MISS SO MUCH

TO MY MOTHER

WHOM I LOVE SO MUCH

TO MY SISTER'S FAMILY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*I would like to express my deep appreciation and due thanks to **Dr. Ihab El-Sayed Mosleh** Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his scrupulous supervision, valuable advice, and gratifying scientific help which were of inestimable value to the accomplishment of this work.*

*I am extremely grateful to **Dr. Eman Mohamed Anwar Hussein** Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo, University, for her keen interest, continuous supervision, generous advice and for the time she freely gave me throughout this study.*

*I would like to thank **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-harith** Professor of Laser science, National Institute of Laser Enhanced Science, Cairo University, for the great effort he did during the laser work of this study.*

*I wish to express my deep thanks and great appreciation to **Dr. Madiha Shoaib** Professor of Corrosion and Surface Protection, Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute, for the great effort, kind guidance and remarkable advice during the corrosion analysis of this work.*

*I would like to express my deep thanks and enormous gratitude to **Dr. Sherif Abdellah** Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Al–Azhar University, for the great effort and remarkable guidance in the statistical analysis of this work.*

*I would like to especially thank every one of **My Friends** who helped and supported me to make this work possible.*

*Finally, my sincere appreciation and generous gratitude go to my **Mother**, for her supportive care, help, patience, encouragement and many sacrifices.*

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction-----	1
Review of Literature-----	4
Aim of the Study-----	43
Materials and Methods-----	44
Results-----	86
Discussion-----	126
Summary-----	146
Conclusion-----	151
References-----	153
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page No
1.	The chemical composition of the two investigated casting alloys. -----	45
2.	The chemical composition of the two used solder alloys.	45
3.	Grouping and samples distribution. -----	47
4.	Tensile strength values (MPa) of the investigated nickel–chromium alloy samples under different tested conditions. -----	89
5.	Tensile strength values (MPa) of the investigated cobalt–chromium alloy samples under different tested conditions. -----	91
6.	Tensile strength mean values of both nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloys. -----	92
7.	Marginal gap mean values (μm) of the investigated nickel–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	96
8.	Marginal gap mean values (μm) of the investigated cobalt–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	97

Table No	Title	Page No
9.	Marginal gap mean values of both nickel–chromium and cobalt–Chromium alloys. -----	98
10.	Marginal gap values (μm) of the tested nickel–chromium alloy samples for the anterior and posterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	100
11.	Marginal gap values (μm) of the tested cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the anterior and posterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	101
12.	Marginal gap values of both tested nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the anterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	103
13.	Marginal gap values of both tested nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the posterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	103
14.	Marginal gap values (μm) of the tested nickel–chromium alloy samples for the lateral and medial aspects of the three techniques. -----	105
15.	Marginal gap values (μm) of the tested cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the lateral and medial aspects of the three techniques. -----	107
16.	Marginal gap values of both nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the lateral aspects of the three techniques. -----	108

Table No	Title	Page No
17.	Marginal gap values of both nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloy samples for the medial aspects of the three techniques. -----	108
18.	Corrosion rate mean values (mpy) of the nickel–chromium samples under different investigated conditions. -----	110
19.	Corrosion rate mean values (mpy) of the cobalt–chromium samples under different investigated conditions. -----	112
20.	Electrochemical parameters mean values of the nickel–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	115
21.	Electrochemical parameters mean values of the cobalt–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	117
22.	Corrosion behavior results of both nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium alloys. -----	118

List of Figures

Figure No	Title	Page No
1.	Diagrammatic representation of the full-length sample.	49
2.	Diagrammatic representation of the half-length sample.	49
3.	Photograph showing the full-length mold former and outer assembling ring. -----	50
4.	Photograph showing the half-length mold former and outer assembling ring. -----	51
5.	Photograph showing the dumbbell shaped mold and the corresponding wax patterns. -----	52
6.	Diagrammatic representation of the three-unit fixed partial denture experimental model with its' bar pontic attachment. -----	53
7.	Photograph showing the stainless steel die with the V- shaped offset. -----	54
8.	Photographs showing the die and its' counter die of the simulated three-unit fixed partial denture model. -----	55

Figure No	Title	Page No
9.	Photograph showing the relation between the two retainers and their bar pontic. -----	56
10.	Photograph showing the resultant wax pattern coping of the simulated three–unit fixed partial denture. -----	58
11.	Photograph showing spruing design of the half and full – length dumbbell shaped wax pattern samples. -----	59
12.	Photograph showing spruing design of the sectioned and complete three–unit fixed partial denture wax pattern samples. -----	59
13.	Photograph showing the finished samples. -----	62
14.	Photograph showing the infrared soldering device. -----	63
15.	Diagrammatic representation of the optical system of the infrared soldering device. -----	64
16.	Diagrammatic representation of the precision relation indexing jig of the dumbbell shaped samples. -----	66
17.	Photograph showing the precision relation indexing jig and the leaf gauge. -----	66

Figure No	Title	Page No
18.	Photograph showing fixation of the half-length dumbbell shaped samples in the precision relation indexing jig.	68
19.	Photograph showing the aligned dumbbell shaped sample.	68
20.	Photographs showing the aligned two-piece fixed partial denture simulated model. -----	69
21.	Photograph showing the soldering investment blocks with their samples. -----	70
22.	Photograph showing the pulsed (Nd: YAG) laser welding machine. -----	72
23.	Photograph showing the processing head of the laser welding machine. -----	73
24.	Photographs showing the precision welding jigs. -----	75
25.	Photograph showing the computer controlled testing machine (Lloyd Instruments). -----	77
26.	Photograph showing the tensile loaded sample. -----	77
27.	Photograph showing the scanning electron microscope.	78

Figure No	Title	Page No
28.	The three–unit fixed partial denture sample seated on its' die and secured by the aid of the static loading jig. -----	79
29.	Three–unit FPD sample under the Stereomicroscope. -----	80
30.	Diagrammatic representation of the eight predetermined sites for vertical marginal gap measurements for each abutment. -----	81
31.	Photograph of AUTOLAB PGSTAT 30. -----	82
32.	Histogram showing the mean tensile strength values (MPa) of the investigated nickel–chromium samples under different investigated conditions. -----	90
33.	Histogram showing the mean tensile strength values (MPa) of the investigated cobalt–chromium samples under different investigated conditions. -----	91
34.	Histogram showing the tensile strength means of both tested nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium samples under different tested conditions. -----	92
35.	Representative marginal opening of one–piece casting specimens. -----	94

Figure No	Title	Page No
36.	Representative marginal opening of infrared soldered specimens. -----	94
37.	Representative marginal opening of laser welded specimens. -----	94
38.	Histogram of the marginal gap values (μm) of the tested nickel–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	96
39.	Histogram of the marginal gap values (μm) of the tested cobalt–chromium alloy samples under different tested techniques. -----	98
40.	Histogram showing the marginal gap of both tested nickel–chromium and cobalt–chromium samples under different investigating joining techniques. -----	99
41.	Histogram of the marginal gap values (μm) of the tested nickel–chromium alloy samples for the anterior and posterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	100
42.	Histogram of the marginal gap values (μm) of the tested cobalt –chromium alloy samples for the anterior and posterior retainers of the three techniques. -----	102