

THE EFFICIENCY OF THE COMPUTERIZED ARABIC DYSPHASIA THERAPEUTIC PROGRAMS

Thesis

**Submitted For the Partial Fulfillment For
M.D IN PHONIATRICS
PRESENTED BY**

AMAL SALAH ELDIN AMIN DARWISH
MB. BCh. and MSc. Phoniatics
Hearing & Speech Institute
SUPERVISED BY

PROF. DR. Maged Bahgat
Professor of ENT
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

PROF. Dr. Azza Adel Aziz
Professor of Phoniatics
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

PROF. Dr. Elham A. Shaheen
Professor of Phoniatics
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University
**FACULTY OF MEDICINE
CAIRO UNIVERSITY**

2015

***Key Words: Dysphasia therapy, Traditional and new methods in dysphasia therapy, treatment of dysphasia with computer software, effective therapy for dysphasia**

○Aphasia (uh-fay-zhuh) is a communication disorder that affect a persons ability to use and understand spoken or written words. It results from damage to the Rt. side of the brain . Aphasia usually occurs suddenly and often results from a stroke or head injury, but it can also develop slowly because of a brain tumor, an infection, or dementia (National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication disorders, 2004). This thesis done in order to evaluate the efficiency of the therapy with Arabic dysphasia computer software which conclude, that it is efficient as the old non computerized method , besides it saves the therapist and the patients time& it is more entertaining to the patients.

ومن قول الله تعالى:

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَمَا أُوتِيتُمْ مِنَ الْعِلْمِ إِلَّا قَلِيلًا

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الأسراء الآية (85)

Acknowledgment

Acknowledgement

First and for most , I feel indebted to Allah ,the merciful and compassionate, he always guides me all over my life

I would like to express my profound gratitude and immense appreciation to all my professors

Professor Dr. Maged Bahgat Professor of ENT Faculty of Medicine Cairo university for his great help, available advise and continuous encouragement.

Special thanks to Professor Dr. Azza Adel Aziz Professor of Poniatics, faculty of medicine Cairo university for her generous support and along long standing work.

Many thanks to Professor Dr ElhamA. Shaheen Professor of Phoniatics, faculty of medicine Cairo university for her great help and support

Without their support it was impossible for this work to be achieved in this form and it was honor to work under their guidance and supervision

Thank You

Amal Salah Eldin Amin Darwish



To My Family

My Mother & My Kids

TO MY DEAR FAMILY

**WHO SPARED NO
EFFORT**

TO HELP ME

THANK YOU

**WITH ALL THE LOVE
AND GRATITUDE**

Contents

	Page
<u>Introduction</u>	1
<u>Aim of the work</u>	4
<u>Review</u>	
<u>Chapter I</u>	
• Definitions of Dysphasia.....	5
• Physiology of the Language.....	11
• Language In the adult brain.....	11
• Sentence and Discourse Processing.....	21
• Classification of Dysphasia	25
<u>Chapter II: Dysphasia</u>	
• Incidence; Age, Sex, Race.....	31
• Mortality/Morbidity	32
• Causes.....	32
• Pathophysiology.....	45
• Clinical Picture.....	45
• Diagnosis.....	46
• Differential Diagnosis.....	51
<u>Chapter III: Dysphasia Management</u>	
• Evaluation	53
• Purposes of Language Assessment.....	67
• Appraisal Battery.....	67
• Tests of Dysphasia.....	68
• Dysphasia Therapy	71
• Dysphasia Therapy in the New Millennium.....	73
• Role of Pharmacological treatments in dysphasia...	74

Subjects and Method

● Methodology.....	<u>75</u>
- Selection Criteria.....	75
- Evaluation Schedule.....	76
- Therapeutic Plans.....,	77
- Family Role during the therapy period.	80
- Statistical analysis.....	81
● Results.....	<u>82</u>
● Results comments.....	104
● Discussion.....	108
● Conclusions	133
● Recommendations.....	135
● English Summary	136
● References.....	141
● Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

	Page
➤ <u>Figure 1:</u> Seven Components of the Wernicke Geshwind.....	7
➤ <u>Figure 2:</u> Brain Anatomy	10
➤ <u>Figure 3:</u> Brodmann’s areas.....	12
➤ <u>Figure 4:</u> Synopsis of Positron Emission Tomography studies of auditory language processing..... ..	14
➤ <u>Figure 5:</u> The different anatomical brain areas.....	26
➤ <u>Figure 6:</u> Computer Tomography (CT) Posterior cerebral artery (PCA) ischemic and hemorrhagic infarctions.....	32
➤ <u>Figure (7):</u> Sources of cardio embolic stroke.....	36
➤ <u>Figure (8)</u> MRI for primary progressive aphasia.....	39
➤ <u>Figure (9)</u> , Positron emission tomography (PET) for patient with primary progressive dysphasia.....	39
➤ <u>Figure 10A:</u> CT scan demonstrates a left posterior temporal hematoma in a woman on oral contraceptives.....	41
<u>Figure 10B:</u> Contrast-enhanced MRI showing lack of filling of the left transverse sinus.....	41
➤ <u>Figure11:</u> Dementia brain changes	51
➤ <u>Figure 12:</u> Dysphasia Language Test Results progress graph	83
➤ <u>Figure 13:</u> Sex ratio in two groups.....	84
➤ <u>Figure 14:</u> Age differences between two group.....	85

	Page
➤ Figure 15a: Comparative study between the two groups in progress in all language skills pre and post (applying the therapeutic program).....	87
➤ Figure 15 b Group 2 results) Comparison within Group 2 results before and after old non computerized dysphasia therapy showing progress in all language	87
➤ Figure 16: Arabic Dysphasia test progress within group 1 before and after applying computerized dysphasia therapy.	90
➤ Figure 17: Computer score progress results within group 1 before and after applying the Computerized dysphasia therapy.....	90
➤ Figure 18: Arabic Language dysphasia test results indicate progress within group 2, before and after applying the traditional dysphasia therapy..	92
➤ Figure 19: Comparative results between Arabic dysphasia test & Computer Dysphasia score (CDS) & the progress within group 1 before and after applying the computerized dysphasia therapy	94
➤ Figure 20: Comparative results between the different types of symptomatology in both Arabic dysphasia test & Computer program scoring) progress within group 1 after therapy with computerized software program)	96
➤ Figure 21: Arabic Language dysphasia test results for improvement of the group 2 patients, after applying traditional therapy regarding to the type of dysphasia symptoms.....	98
➤ Figure 22: Comparative results between Arabic dysphasia test & Computer dysphasia program scoring results progress within group1 before and after applying the (Computerized Dysphasia Therapy) according to the site of the lesion.....	100
➤ Figure 23A: The correlation between the age and the improvement after applying Computer therapy program.....	103
➤ Figure 23B: The correlation between the age and the improvement after applying old non omputerized thyerapy programs.....	103

List of Tables

	Page
➤ <u>Table 1:</u> Types of dysphasia by Chapey, and Roberta (2008)	48
➤ <u>Table 2:</u> Comparison between both sex in group 1 and Group 2	84
➤ <u>Table 3:</u> Comparison between the two groups in age.....	85
➤ <u>Table 4:</u> Comparative study between the two groups in progress in all language skills pre and post therapy	86
➤ <u>Table 5:</u> Comparison within group 1(Using Computer therapy) before and after applying therapy .Guided with (Fadly et al; 1976) and computer dysphasia score.....	89
➤ <u>Table 6:</u> Comparative study for group 2 before and after applying traditional therapy using Arabic Language Dysphasia test ...	92
➤ <u>Table 7:</u> Statistical comparison between Arabic language dysphasia test results and computer dysphasia score results within group 1before and after applying computer dysphasia therapy..	94
➤ <u>Table 8:</u> Comparison for group 1 (with Test 1 Arabic Dysphasia Test &Computer Dysphasia Scoring) results between different types of dysphasia symptoms pre and post computer therapy...	96
➤ <u>Table 9:</u> Comparison for group 2 (Arabic Dysphasia Test) between types of dysphasia symptoms pre &post traditional therapy...	98
➤ <u>Table 10:</u> Comparison for group 1 Guided with (test 1 Arabic Dysphasia Test & Computerized Dysphasia Scoring) as regard site and extend of the brain lesion	100
➤ <u>Table 11:</u> Correlation of age with different improvement parameters for group 1 and group 2.....	102

Introduction

Introduction

*Dysphasia is a neurological disorder caused by damage to the portions of the brain that are responsible for language. Primary signs of the disorder include difficulty in expressing oneself when speaking, trouble understanding speech, and difficulty with reading and writing. Dysphasia is not a disease, but a symptom of brain damage. Most commonly seen in adults who have suffered a stroke, dysphasia can also result from a brain tumor, infection, head injury, or dementia that damages the brain. It is estimated that about 1 million people in the United States today suffer from dysphasia. The type and severity of language dysfunction depends on the precise location and extent of the damaged brain tissue. (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2014).

Also it is an acquired disorder of language due to brain damage after its full development. It does not include developmental disorders of language or speech disorders that are limited to the motor apparatus of speech (dysarthria). The related disorders include dyslexia (disorder of reading), dysgraphia (disorders of writing), and dyspraxia (disorders of the skilled movement). Related Syndrome may coexist or exist independently (Jacob et al; 2003).

Dysphasia is a communication disorder that can affect a person's ability to use and understand spoken or written words. It results from damage to the side of the brain dominant for language. For most people, this is the left side. Dysphasia usually occurs suddenly and often results from a stroke or head injury, but it can also develop slowly because of a brain tumor, an infection, or dementia (National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders, 2004).

Types of Dysphasia

There are many different classification systems for dysphasia and many different types of dysphasia within each system. Some systems are based primarily on the location of the lesion, while others are based solely on the person's behavior. One system adopted by the National Dysphasia Association divides dysphasia into two broad categories: **fluent** and **non-fluent** dysphasia (National Dysphasia Association, 2009).

People with fluent dysphasia have problems understanding spoken and written language. This type is also known as sensory, posterior, or Wernicke's dysphasia.

- People with non-fluent dysphasia have difficulty communicating orally and in writing. This type of dysphasia is also called motor, anterior, or Broca's dysphasia. Within the non-fluent category is the most severe type, called global dysphasia. People with this type have difficulty both expressing and understanding written and oral communication.
- Broca's dysphasia, also known as non-fluent dysphasia or expressive dysphasia, is associated with left-hemisphere in Rt. handed patients strokes and is characterized by effortful, limited verbal output and may be accompanied by right-sided body weakness. Wernicke's dysphasia, also known as fluent dysphasia or receptive dysphasia, is associated with left-hemisphere strokes and is characterized by fluent but meaningless speech and may be accompanied by right-sided body weakness. Global dysphasia affects receptive and expressive language and is typically the most severe type of dysphasia. (Northern Arizona University; 2006).

Dysphasia could be manifested in many forms such as

- Expressive Dysphasia. (Motor dysphasia).
- Receptive Dysphasia. (Sensory dysphasia).
- Amnesic Dysphasia.
- Mixed Dysphasia. (Receptive, expressive).
- Global Dysphasia.

Generally, dysphasia can be divided into four broad categories:

(1) ***Expressive dysphasia*** involves difficulty in conveying thoughts through speech or writing. The patient knows what he wants to say, but cannot find the words he needs.

(2) ***Receptive dysphasia*** involves difficulty understanding spoken or written language. The patient hears the voice or sees the print but cannot make sense of the words.

(3) ***Anomic or amnesia dysphasia***, the least severe form of dysphasia, patients have difficulty in using the correct names for particular objects, people, places, or events.

(4) **Global dysphasia** results from severe and extensive damage to the language areas of the brain. Patients lose almost all language function, both comprehension and expression. They cannot speak or understand speech, nor can they read or write.

* Dysphasia is a catastrophic event that may occur to a large group in the neurology clinic. Dysphasia services offers different program options designed around the types of therapy to help those patients to overcome the critical circumstances facing them to communicate with the surroundings.

Usage of communicative rehabilitation programs, intensive in nature, is considered as a must to overcome the problem. Programs can be individualized based upon the selection of any of the following options:

***Evaluation** to assess current skills and recommendation of a therapy program.

***Individual therapy** enrollment to improve speech, receptive and expressive language disorders, reading, writing, and memory skills. It will help to empower each patient weakness points.

***Group therapy** enrollment to improve conversational skills with the others whether their own surrounding or the community.

***Computer training program** participation of the computers enriches both types of (individual and group) sessions.

***Home training program** home Training program as a part of the therapeutic program.

- Although there were a lot of therapeutic modalities are in use for dysphasic patients in the world, such as treatment in a communicative context, methods sometimes referred to as a “cognitive-contextual,” “functional,” “socio-linguistic,” “communicative” or “pragmatic” (Kempler; 2005, Chapey; 2008) but there is still a lack of concentration to adjust a suitable computerized Arabic rehabilitation programs for Arab dysphasic patients. So it was important to customize a **suitable computerized Arabic program**, and evaluate its efficiency in dysphasia rehabilitation program, compared to the other previously used modalities.