

**COMPARISON OF BOND STRENGTH OF ORTHODONTIC
MOLAR TUBES USING DIFFERENT ENAMEL
ETCHING TECHNIQUES AND
THEIR EFFECT ON ENAMEL
SURFACE:**

An in vitro study

Thesis

Submitted In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Dental Science
(Orthodontics)

By

Heba t-Allah Yehia Abd el Rahman

B.D.S (2008)

Ain-shams University

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

2013

Supervisors

Dr. SANAA ABOU ZEID SOLIMAN

**Professor of Orthodontics,
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University.**

Dr. HALA MOUNIR

**Professor of Orthodontics,
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University.**

Dr. AMAL ALAA EL BATOUTI

**Lecturer in the National Center for Research
and Radiation Technology
Atomic Energy Organization
Cairo, Egypt**

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

*اقْرَأْ بِاسْمِ رَبِّكَ الَّذِي خَلَقَ (1) خَلَقَ الْإِنْسَانَ مِنْ
عَلَقٍ (2) اقْرَأْ وَرَبُّكَ الْأَكْرَمُ (3) الَّذِي عَلَّمَ بِالْقَلَمِ
(4) عَلَّمَ الْإِنْسَانَ مَا لَمْ يَعْلَمْ*

صدق الله العظيم

(سورة العلق)

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved family, my dear husband who has always supported and encouraged me in every decision I made.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank ***Dr. Faten Eid***, Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her unconditional support and encouragement through the past years, without which this wouldn't have been possible.

Words fail to express my sincere gratitude to ***Dr. Sanaa Abo Zeid*** Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her valuable supervision, advices, continuous and exceptional effort and great cooperation that will always be deeply remembered and appreciated. It was a great honor to work under her supervision.

My appreciation goes to ***Dr. Hala Monuir*** Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her outstanding help, patience, overwhelmed care, support and guidance.

I would like to endorse my deep thanks to ***Dr. Amal El Batouty and Dr. Hazem Kazem*** for their great help and support.

My special thanks goes to ***Prof. Mervat Moussa and Dr. Dalia El Rouby*** for her care and help with interpreting the scanning electron microscope. And Last but not least, I am so grateful to ***Dr. Khaled Keera and staff members*** of the orthodontic department, Cairo University for their great help.

Contents

List of Contents:

1. List of figures.....	ii
2. List of tables	vi
3. Introduction.....	1
4. Review of literature.....	3
5. Aim of the study.....	23
6. Materials and methods.....	24
7. Results.....	41
8. Discussion.....	56
9. Summary and conclusions.....	64
10. References.....	67
11. Arabic summary.....	

List of figures:

No. of figure	Title	Page No.
1.	Standard edgewise bondable 1 st molar tubes.	24
2.	Bond brush, Transbond XT primer and composite.	25
3.	Ortho Solo stick primer and its bonding brush	25
4.	37% phosphoric acid etch syringe (ormco Co.)	26
5.	Waterlase low level laser machine.	26
6.	Sandblasting hand piece.	27
7.	Instron Universal shear bond strength device	28
8.	Scanning electron microscope machine.	28
9.	A schematic diagram showing sample grouping according to the type of etching and adhesion promoter used.	30
10.	First molar tooth mounted in acrylic block.	31
11.	Laser etching of molar buccal enamel using Er: Cr; YSGG	32
12.	Sandblasting etching of enamel surface.	33
13.	37% phosphoric acid etching of enamel surface.	33
14.	Bonding of molar tubes using Transbond XT primer.	34
15.	Bonding of molar tubes using Ortho Solo stick primer.	35
16.	Molar tubes bonded to 1 st molars	35
17.	Bond strength measurement with the specimen in place (universal testing machine)	36
18.	Fine coat apparatus	39
19.	Specimens placed in a sputtering device.	39

20.	Bar chart showing the mean shear bond strength with different etching techniques using Transbond XT primer.	42
21.	Bar chart showing the mean shear bond strength with different etching techniques using Ortho Solo stick primer.	43
22.	Bar chart representing the overall comparison between the shear bond strength values of the different subgroups.	44
23.	Bar chart showing descriptive statistics showing the mean of ARI for different etching groups using Transbond XT primer.	45
24.	Bar chart showing descriptive statistics showing the mean ARI for different etching techniques using Ortho solo stick primer.	46
25.	Bar chart representing overall comparison between ARI values of the different groups.	47
26.	SE micrograph of normal enamel surface of 1 st molar (original magnification x500) showing that the surface is rough with the opening of enamel prisms are sealed.	49
27.	Higher magnification of the same specimen (original magnification x1500) showing that surface defects are minimal and fissures are following the natural shapes of enamel prisms.	49
28.	SE micrograph of laser etched enamel of 1 st molar (spec. No 1, original Magnification x500) illustrating the honeycomb-like appearance.	50
29.	Higher magnification of fig 28 (original magnification x1500) revealing micro cracks and distinct prismatic boundaries that aid in resin penetration. (case no1)	50

30.	SE micrograph of laser etched enamel for 1 st molar (spec. No 2, original magnification x500) revealing distinct enamel prisms and well defined prismatic outline.	51
31.	Higher magnification of fig 30 (original magnification x1500) showing micro-cracks, uniform prismatic outline and rough surface resulting from interprismatic globular appearance.	51
32.	SE micrograph of sandblasting etched enamel for 1 st molar (spec. No 1, original magnification x500) showing confluence of prismatic and interprismatic structures and loss of the normal architecture of enamel.	52
33.	Higher magnification of fig 32 (original magnification x1500) showing irregular surface due to tissue removal and marked loss of prismatic structure.	52
34.	SE micrograph of sandblasting etched enamel for 1 st molar (spec. No 2, original magnification x500) demonstrated irregular rough surface and interprismatic globular structures.	53
35.	Higher magnification of fig 34 (original magnification x1500) showing irregular surface with alternating micro porosities and globular deposits suggesting loss of enamel prisms.	53
36.	SE micrograph of phosphoric acid etched enamel for 1 st molar, (spec. No 1, original magnification x500) revealed prominent surface destruction and loss of prismatic architecture.	54
37.	Higher magnification of fig 36 (original magnification x 1500) demonstrating disturbed surface topography, deep	54

	pores and globular structures. (case no 2)	
38.	SE micrograph of Phosphoric acid etched enamel for 1 st molar (spec. No 2, original magnification x500) showing focal loss of prismatic core material, while prism peripheries are relatively intact.	55
39.	Higher magnification of fig 38 showing the top of the keyhole is preserved, suggesting that the center and bottom of the keyhole have been dissolved.	55

List of tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Descriptive statistics for shear bond strength with different etching techniques using Transbond XT primer (subgroups LT,ST and PT)	41
2.	Descriptive statistics for shear bond strength with different etching techniques using Ortho solo stick bonding primer (sub groups LO,SO and PO)	42
3.	Comparison between shear bond strength values of the different subgroups	43
4.	Descriptive statistics of ARI for different enamel etching techniques using Transbond XT primer (subgroups LT, ST and PT).	45
5.	Descriptive statistics of ARI for different enamel etching techniques using Ortho solo stick primer (subgroups LO, SO and PO).	46
6.	Comparison between ARI values of the different subgroups.	47

Introduction