



INVESTIGATION OF THE DIFFERENT METHODS FOR HEAT STORAGE AS PART OF A NOVEL SUSTAINABLE GREENHOUSE APPROACH

By

Esraa Mohamed Rashad Nasr ElMitainy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

INVESTIGATION OF THE DIFFERENT METHODS FOR HEAT STORAGE AS PART OF A NOVEL SUSTAINABLE GREENHOUSE APPROACH

By
Esraa Mohamed Rashad Nasr ElMitainy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Ahmad Fayaz Naccar

University

1 101. Dr. Iviai Ivioliailleu Kaillai	Dr. Aillieu Payez Nassai
Eddeen	
Professor	Associate Professor
Chemical Engineering Department	Chemical Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo	Faculty of Engineering, Cairo

Prof Dr Mai Mohamad Kamal

University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

INVESTIGATION OF THE DIFFERENT METHODS FOR HEAT STORAGE AS PART OF A NOVEL SUSTAINABLE GREENHOUSE APPROACH

By Esraa Mohamed Rashad Nasr ElMitainy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee	
Prof. Dr. Mai Mohamed Kamal Eddeen Fouad, Thesis Main Advisor	
Prof. Dr. Tarek Mohamed Moustafa, Internal Examiner	
Tron Bir Turon mionamou masuura, muomar Biaminior	
Prof. Dr. Martin Buchholz, External Examiner	
Professor at the Technical University of Berlin	

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

Engineer: Esraa Mohamed Rashad Nasr ElMitainy

Date of Birth: 14/03/1988 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: esraa.elmitainy@gmail.com

Phone: +202 37601518

Address: 7 Abu Bakr Al Sediq St., Giza, Egypt

Registration Date: 1/10/2010

Awarding Date: / /

Degree: Masters of Science **Department:** Chemical Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mai Mohamed Kamal Eddeen Fouad

Dr. Ahmed Fayez Nassar

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Mai Mohamed Kamal Eddeen (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Tarek Mohamed Moustafa (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Martin Buchholz (External Examiner)

Professor at the Technical University of Berlin

Title of Thesis:

Investigation of the Different Methods for Heat Storage as Part of a Novel Sustainable Greenhouse Approach

Key Words:

Heat Storage; Greenhouse; Soil; Phase Change Material

Summary:

This thesis investigates two heat storage methods to be used as a part of a greenhouse system that aims to achieve water desalination and climate control inside the greenhouse enclosure. The first method tested is the utilization of the greenhouse ground as a heat storage medium, in the course of this work two typical Egyptian soils, clay and sand based, were investigated using different pipe materials as heat transfer mediums. While the second method is an experimental study to use a phase change material which is sodium carbonate hydrated salt after stabilization with charcoal particles to prevent salt precipitation. The obtained study results showed that although the sand based soil stored a larger amount of heat than the clay based soil, it was still not enough for the targeted greenhouse application. And the phase change material mixture showed signs of salt sedimentation with repeated heating and cooling.



Acknowledgments

The Project under which the work in this study has been carried out is financially supported under the Egyptian German Research Fund (GERF II), and implemented through the collaboration between Cairo University and the Technical University of Berlin. Financial support of the Egyptian Science and Technology Development Fund (STDF) and the German Federal Ministry of Education (BMBF) is highly acknowledged.

I would like to first thank my supervisor Dr. Mai Fouad for her guidance and support during the preparation of this thesis, and for always being there when she is most needed.

All the appreciation and gratitude goes to Dr. Ahmed Fayez, my supervisor, for all his guidance and advice during all the work stages of this thesis which added a lot to my experience and gave me the support I needed, and also all the participation and physical effort he exerted during the work is highly appreciated.

Also, for the Greenhouse project team at Cairo University and Technical University of Berlin, especially Prof. Dr. Ashraf Ghanem, Dr. Mohamed Nour, Prof. Dr. Martin Buchholz for whom I would like to express my gratitude for their continuous support and advice regarding the study progress.

Special thanks goes to Eng. Mohamed Abdel Fattah for taking the time for setting the needed software, also to Dr. Reiner Buchholz for his advice and Eng. Mathieu Provost for the discussions and sharing his experience. Also, Eng. Mohamed Abd El-Aal and Eng. Mohamed Hisham's help with the experimental work is highly acknowledged.

Also I would like to thank the Chemical Engineering Department Professors, Teaching Assistants and staff for bearing with me through the practical work, particularly the 4th year Chemical Engineering laboratory team and Eng. Reda Zein for her help and patience.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support, encouragement and understanding, with special appreciation of all my father's advice and guiding notes.

Dedication

To my Mother, wishing she was here. To my Father, for getting me here.

Table of Contents

Acknowled	gments	ii
Dedication		iii
Table of Co	ontents	iv
List of Tabl	les	vii
List of Figu	ıres	viii
Nomenclati	ure	xvii
Abstract		xviii
Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
1.1	Water and Energy use in Agriculture	1
1.2	Novel Greenhouse Approach	2
Chapter 2:	Literature Review	3
2.1	Greenhouse Climate Control Systems	3
2.1.1	Heating Systems	3
2.1.2	Cooling Systems	5
2.1.3	Water desalination and climate control systems	7
2.2	Soil as a Heat Storage material	10
2.3	Phase Change Materials	12
Chapter 3:	Greenhouse Project Overview	17
3.1	Liquid desiccant definition and properties	17
3.2	Greenhouse Operating System	18
3.2.1	Greenhouse design and location	18
3.2.2	Day-time Cycle	20
3.2.3	Night-time Cycle (Regeneration Cycle)	22
3.3	Statement of the Problem	24
Chapter 4:	Testing Soil as a Heat Storage Medium and Results	25
4.1	Pipes Layout in the Greenhouse Ground	25
4.2	Model Set-up and Design	26
4.2.1	COMSOL Model	26
4.2.2	Model Geometry	27
4.2.3	Governing Equations and boundary conditions	29
4.3	Modeled Parameters	32
4.3.1	Soil Type	32
4.3.2	Pipe Material	33
4.3.3	Liquid Velocity	33
4.3.4	Pipe length	33

4.4	Materials Properties	35
4.4.1	Liquid Phase (Desiccant)	35
4.4.2	Soil Properties	35
4.4.3	Pipe Materials Properties	37
4.5	Desiccant velocity and flow rate	39
4.6	Study Results	40
4.6.1	Effect of Soil Type	40
4.6.2	Effect of Pipe Material	47
4.6.3	Effect of Desiccant velocity	61
4.6.4	Pipe Length Needed for Target Temperature	73
Chapter 5 : 1	Experiment of Phase Change Material and Results	81
5.1	PCM choice method and properties	81
5.1.1	PCM Choice Criteria	81
5.1.2	Sodium carbonate properties	81
5.2	Stage 1: Beaker Scale Experiments	84
5.2.1	Experimental set-up	84
5.2.2	Results and observations	85
5.3	Stage 2: Small Scale Experiment	95
5.3.1	Experimental design and set-up	95
5.3.2	Results and observations	97
5.4	Stage 3: Pilot Heat Exchanger	106
5.4.1	Experiment Set-up	108
5.4.2	Experimental results	112
5.4.3	System dismantling	149
Chapter 6 : A	Analysis of the Results	153
6.1	Performance of Soil as Heat Storage Medium	153
6.2	Performance of PCM with Suspension Material	154
Chapter 7 : 0	Conclusions and Recommendations	157
7.1	Conclusions	157
7.2	Recommendations	158
References.		159
Appendix A	: Soil Model Additional Results	165
A.1.	Effect of Soil Type	165
A.1.1.	Using Copper Pipe	165
A.1.2.	Using HDPE Pipe	169
A.1.3.	Using PVC Pipe	171
A.2.	Effect of desiccant velocity	172

A.2.1.	Delta Soil	173
A.2.2.	Nubaria Soil	191
Appendix B	:Additional PCM Experiment Results	209
B.1.	Stage 3: Pilot Heat Exchanger	209
B.1.1.	Results at 40 °C water temperature	209
B.1.2.	Results at 60 °C water temperature	211
B.1.3.	Results at 52 °C water temperature	215
B.1.4.	Results at 60 – 20 °C water temperature	221

List of Tables

Table 2.1: List of Desired Properties in PCMs for Heat Storage [43–45]
Table 3.13: Concentrations of the most abundant ions in bitterns [66]
Table 3.2: Results obtained at different desiccant to air mass flow ratios [67]
Table 4.1: Schedule 40 pipes with the external, internal diameters and wall thickness defined
Table 4.2: Desiccant (MgCl ₂ Solution) thermophysical properties [68]
Table 4.3: Analysis results for the Delta and Nubaria soil samples
Table 4.4: Dry density and moisture content values for the Delta and Nubaria Soil Samples
Table 4.5: The individual Heat Capacity and normalized mass fraction of each component for
the two soils
Table 4.6: Calculated Thermophysical Properties for the Delta and Nubaria Soils 37
Table 4.7: Copper thermophysical data at a temperature of 300 K [74]
Table 4.8: HDPE thermophysical properties at 0 °C to 49 °C temperature [75]38
Table 4.9: PVC thermophysical properties [16, 17]
Table 4.10: Total desiccant flow rate calculated based on the average flow velocity 39
Table 4.11: Reynolds number calculation and Laminar flow check
Table 4.12: Total amount of Heat transferred from one desiccant pipe to the soil over 10
hours
Table 4.13: Total amount of Heat transferred from the desiccant piping system to the soil
over 10 hours
Table 4.14: Calculated Pipe length to reach target temperature using different pipe materials
in Delta Soil
Table 4.15: Calculated Pipe length to reach target temperature using different pipe materials
in Nubaria Soil
Table 5.1: Solubility of Na ₂ CO ₃ .10H ₂ O in water at different temperatures [80] 82
Table 5.2: Heat Transfer properties of sodium carbonate decahydrate [81]
Table 5.3: Temperature and concentration points where more than one hydrate is formed and
the formed hydrates at each point [79]
Table 5.4: The different Charcoal sizes used in the experiment
Table 5.5: Mass fractions of the different PCM mixture components
Table 5.6: Summary of the results obtained from the experiment
Table 5.7: 40 °C Run 1 Conditions
Table 5.8: 40 °C Run 2 Conditions
Table 5.9: 60 °C Run 3 Conditions
Table 5.10: 60 °C Run 4 Conditions
Table 5.11: 60 °C Run 5 Conditions
Table 5.12: 52 °C Run 6 Conditions
Table 5.13: 52 °C Run 7 Conditions
Table 5.14: 52 °C Run 8 Conditions
Table 5.15: 60 - 20 °C Run 9 Conditions
Table 5.16: 60 - 20 °C Run 10 Conditions
Table 5.17: Summary of the Findings calculated from Pilot Heat Exchanger stage 148

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Greenhouse diagram comparing air heater to plastic pipes [5]	. 4	
Figure 2.2: Heating using a flat plate collector system [6]		
Figure 2.3: Schematic diagram for a forced ventilation system for rose crops [13]	. 6	
Figure 2.4: Isometric view of a greenhouse equipped with a cooling pad with a		and
trickling water system	. 7	
Figure 2.5: Typical Desiccant Dehumidification and regeneration cycle [28]		
Figure 2.6: Schematic diagram of the system suggested by Davies to improve eva	porat	tive
cooling performance [29]	.9	
Figure 2.7: Measured ERH vs. total mass fraction for bittern solutions together v	with	the
corresponding curve for pure magnesium chloride solution [31]	10	
Figure 2.8: A schematic diagram representing a Ground air collector [36]	11	
Figure 2.9: Use of ground air tunnel for greenhouse heating or cooling [42]		
Figure 2.10: The different types of PCMs and latent heat of fusion per unit mass/vo		e in
the temperature range $(0 - 120 ^{\circ}\text{C})[54]$	15	
Figure 3.1: Solar Radiation intensity in kWh/m ² on Africa and the Middle East [65]		
Figure 3.2: ERH% of MgCl ₂ in relation with its mass concentrations [30], [68]		
Figure 3.3: A Google Earth map showing the Greenhouse prototype location		
Figure 3.4: A schematic drawing showing the different parts of the Greenhouse System		
Figure 3.5: A schematic drawing of the day-cycle of the suggested greenhouse systematic drawing of the day-cycle of the suggested greenhouse systematic drawing of the day-cycle of the suggested greenhouse systematic drawing of the day-cycle of the suggested greenhouse systematic drawing drawin		vith
the operating conditions		
Figure 3.6: A schematic diagram for the desiccant regeneration cycle (night-cyc		vith
conditions)		
Figure 3.7: Psychrometric chart showing the saturated air path line (from A to B) du		the
nigh cycle		
Figure 4.1: The proposed pipes arrangement in the greenhouse soil (Top view)		
Figure 4.2: A side view of the pipes arrangement inside the soil (not to scale)		. 1.1
Figure 4.3: COMSOL Model Parameters definition in term of space dimension (1)		aei
Physics (2) and type (3)		പ്
(all dimensions are in meter)		SOII
Figure 4.5: Liquid desiccant flow area (marked in blue) and the defined inlet tempera		and
flow direction		anu
Figure 4.6: The defined Heat outflow boundary condition from the system soil		
Figure 4.7: Schematic diagram showing the different parameters that were changed		ino
the study		mg
Figure 4.8: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in D		soil
using copper pipe after 1 hour		3011
Figure 4.9: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in D		soil
using copper pipe after 5 hours		
Figure 4.10: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in D		soil
using copper pipe after 10 hours		
Figure 4.11: Simulation result for Heat Progression in Delta soil with time at the d		cant
entrance (a), mid-section (b) and exit (c) using a Copper pipe		
Figure 4.12: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in Nub		soil
using copper pipe after 1 hour	1 3	
Figure 4.13: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in Nub	aria s	soil
using copper pipe after 5 hours		

Figure 4.14: Overall Surface temperature scheme for the heat transfer process in Nubaria soil
using copper pipe after 10 hours
Figure 4.15: Simulation result for Heat Progression in Nubaria soil with time at the desiccant
entrance (a), mid-section (b) and exit (c) using a Copper pipe45
Figure 4.16: Overall Surface temperature in Delta Soil (1) and Nubaria Soil (2) after (a) 1, (b)
5, and (c) 10 hours
Figure 4.17: Heat Transfer effect on Delta Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at the desiccant entrance (10 m)
Figure 4.18: Heat Transfer effect on Delta Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at desiccant pipe mid- section (5 m)
Figure 4.19: Heat Transfer effect on Delta Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at desiccant pipe outlet (0 m)
Figure 4.20: Desiccant temperature change with pipe length at sample simulation times when
using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c) PVC pipes in Delta Soil
Figure 4.21: Heat Transfer effect on Nubaria Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at the desiccant entrance (10 m)
Figure 4.22: Heat Transfer effect on Nubaria Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at desiccant pipe mid- section (5 m)
Figure 4.23: Heat Transfer effect on Nubaria Soil when using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c)
PVC pipes at desiccant pipe outlet (0 m)
Figure 4.24: Desiccant temperature change with pipe length at sample simulation times when
using (a) Copper, (b) HDPE and (c) PVC pipes in Nubaria Soil
Figure 4.25: Calculated amount of heat transferred from desiccant to Delta soil using (a)
Copper, (b) HDPE and (c) PVC pipes
Figure 4.26: Temperature profile in Delta soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 1 cm/s at (a) liquid entrance (10 m) and (b) mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.27: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 1 cm/s when using
Copper pipe 63
Figure 4.28: Temperature profile in Delta soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 11 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.29: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 11 cm/s when
using Copper pipe
Figure 4.30: Temperature profile in Delta soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 20 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.31: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 20 cm/s when
using Copper pipe
velocity of 30 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
using (a) Copper pipe
Figure 4.34: Temperature profile in Delta soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 40 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.35: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 40 cm/s when
using Copper pipe
Figure 4.36: Temperature profile in Nubaria soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 1 cm/s at (a) liquid entrance (10 m) and (b) mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.37: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 1 cm/s when using
Copper pipe
Figure 4.38: Temperature profile in Nubaria soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 11 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)

Figure 4.39: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 11 cm/s when
using Copper pipe70
Figure 4.40: Temperature profile in Nubaria soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 20 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.41: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 20 cm/s when
using Copper pipe71
Figure 4.42: Temperature profile in Nubaria soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 30 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)71
Figure 4.43: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 30 cm/s when
using Copper pipe72
Figure 4.44: Temperature profile in Nubaria soil using Copper pipe at a desiccant average
velocity of 40 cm/s at mid-section (5 m)
Figure 4.45: Liquid desiccant temperature profile at an average velocity of 40 cm/s when
using Copper pipe73
Figure 4.46: System geometry and temperature profile when using a Copper pipe in Delta
Soil
Figure 4.47: Desiccant temperature profile relative to Copper pipe length buried in Delta Soil
74
Figure 4.48: System geometry and temperature profile when using a HDPE pipe in Delta Soil
75
Figure 4.49: Desiccant temperature profile relative to HDPE pipe length buried in Delta Soil
75
Figure 4.50: System geometry and temperature profile when using a PVC pipe in Delta Soil
76
Figure 4.51: Desiccant temperature profile relative to PVC pipe length buried in Delta Soil
77
Figure 4.52: System geometry and temperature profile when using a Copper pipe in Nubaria
Soil
Figure 4.53: Desiccant temperature profile relative to Copper pipe length buried in Nubaria
Soil
Figure 4.54: System geometry and temperature profile when using a HDPE pipe in Nubaria
Soil79
Figure 4.55: Desiccant temperature profile relative to HDPE pipe length buried in Nubaria
Soil
Figure 4.56: System geometry and temperature profile when using a PVC pipe in Nubaria
Soil
Figure 4.57: Desiccant temperature profile relative to PVC pipe length buried in Nubaria Soil
80
Figure 5.1: Solubility of sodium carbonate in water and the behavior of different hydrates
with temperature [79]
Figure 5.2: The experimental setting for the beaker scale thermometer
Figure 5.3: Na ₂ SO ₄ .10H ₂ O showing solid particles at the bottom of the beaker 85
Figure 5.4: PCM and Carbon (1:1 ratio) before solidification
Figure 5.5: PCM and Carbon after solidification (no visible change)
Figure 5.6: PCM and Carbon (ratio 2:1) before solidification, showing a free liquid layer 87
Figure 5.7: Salt solid particles can be seen from the bottom of the beaker87
Figure 5.8: PCM and Carbon mixture after solidification (in air temperature)
Figure 5.9: PCM and Carbon (1:1 ratio) before solidification
Figure 5.10: PCM and Carbon (1:1 ratio) after solidification
1 iguic 5.10. i Civi and Caluun (1.1 ianu) anti sundhicanun

Figure 5.11: Salt to Water ratio is increased to 1:13.5 (by mole), showing free liquid even
with cooling90
Figure 5.12: PCM and Coal (ratio 2.7:1) yielding a paste like mixture
Figure 5.13: PCM and Coal after solidification, no visible change
Figure 5.14: PCM and Coal (ratio 3.6:1) initial observation
Figure 5.15: PCM and Coal nearly 90% is solid
Figure 5.16: The remaining free PCM after 20 minutes
Figure 5.17: PCM:Coal ratio is 1.7:1 (Initial Observation)
Figure 5.18: Bottom of the beaker after solidification showing some salt crystals 94
Figure 5.19: The experimental set of trials used to reduce the number of parameters 95
Figure 5.20: The Final experimental setting for stage 2 set of experiments96
Figure 5.21: Water around the copper tube, stirrer and thermometer positions96
Figure 5.22: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .10 H ₂ O PCM at 1.5 times the charcoal amount
Figure 5.23: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .10 H ₂ O PCM at 3 times the charcoal amount
Figure 5.24: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .14 H ₂ O PCM at 1.5 times the charcoal amount
Figure 5.25: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .14 H ₂ O PCM at 3 times the charcoal amount
Figure 5.26: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .10 H ₂ O PCM at 1.5 times the carbon amount
Figure 5.27: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .10 H ₂ O PCM at 3 times the carbon amount
Figure 5.28: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .14 H ₂ O PCM at 1.5 times the carbon amount
Figure 5.29: The temperature change and heat absorbed or released during the (a) Heating
and (b) cooling of Na ₂ CO ₃ .14 H ₂ O PCM at 3 times the carbon amount
Figure 5.30: Top view of the greenhouse layout and the associated PCM storage area to the
West
Figure 5.31: Experimental Box Design and hole for passing a pipe
Figure 5.32: PCM Complete Experimental setting
Figure 5.33: Sensors positions inside the PCM box
Figure 5.34: PCM - Charcoal mixture after mixing and before filling a layer of the box110
Figure 5.35: The sensors after being placed in the box with the PCM mixture 111
Figure 5.36: The experimental box after being completely filled and insulated 111
Figure 5.37: Complete Experimental Setting including: PCM box, water tank and
measurement sensors
Figure 5.38: Temperature Sensors numbers and positions
Figure 5.39: Run 1: Sensors 1 to 5 results (below the pipe at 10 cm in x-direction), with the
heat flow diagram
Figure 5.40: Run 1: Sensors 6 to 10 results (above the pipe at 10 cm in x-direction), with the
heat flow diagram
Figure 5.41: Run 1: Sensors 11 and 12 results (above the pipe at 50 cm in x-direction), with
the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.42: Run 1: Sensors 13 and 14 results (above the pipe at 90 cm in x-direction), with
the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.43: Run 1: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram

Figure 5.44: Run 1: Sensors 4 and 7 results (3 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.45: Run 1: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.46: Run 1: Sensors 2 and 9 results (12 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.47: Run 1: Sensors 1 and 10 results (18 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.48: Run 1: Sensors 11 and 13 results (3 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.49: Run 1: Sensors 12 and 14 results (7 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.50: Run 2: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.51: Run 2: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.52: Run 2: Sensors 11 and 13 results (3 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.53: Run 2: Sensors 12 and 14 results (7 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.54: Run 3: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.55: Run 3: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.56: Run 3: Sensors 11 and 13 results (3 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.57: Run 3: Sensors 12 and 14 results (7 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.58: Run 4: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.59: PCM box wall after 5.5 hours of heating with no visible change 128
Figure 5.60: Run 4: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.61: Run 4: Sensors 11 and 13 results (3 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.62: Run 4: Sensors 12 and 14 results (7 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.63: Run 5: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.64: Salt hydrate drops apparent from the side of the box at 50 cm
Figure 5.65: Run 5: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.66: Run 5: Sensors 11 and 13 results (3 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.67: Run 5: Sensors 12 and 14 results (7 cm above the pipe at 50 and 90 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.68: Run 6: Sensors 5 and 6 results (1 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction), with the heat flow diagram
Figure 5.69: Run 6: Sensors 3 and 8 results (7 cm above and below the pipe at 10 cm in x-
direction) with the heat flow diagram