









جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بللله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون آية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15-20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of 15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



ثبكة المعلومات الجامعية





Information Netw. " Shams Children Shams of the Shame of the S شبكة المعلومات الجامعية @ ASUNET بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأص

AETIOLOGICAL BACKGROUND OF NON-ORGANIC VOICE DISORDERS

Thesis Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the Doctoral Degree in Phoniatrics

By

Rasha Mohemmed Abd El-Rahman Shoeib

M.B., B.Ch. Msc. Phoniatrics

Supervised by

PROF. DR. MOHAMMED NASSER KOTBY

Professor of Phoniatrics

PROF. DR. MOHAMMED HAMED GHANEM

Professor of Psychiatry

PROF, DR. SAFAA REFAAT EL-SADY

Professor of Phoniatrics

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2001

B1491



بسم الله الوحمن الرحيم

قالوا سبحانكلا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا، إنكأنت العليم الحكيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة-الآية:٣٢



Acknowledgment

First of all, thanks to Allah, whose help is the main factor in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Mohammed Nasser Kotby, Professor of Phoniatric Unit, Ain Shams University. His valuable remarks, vast knowledge and continuous meticulous supervision have been of great value to complete this work. I owe him a lot for his kindness, guidance and fruitful advice. Really he gave me the honor by being my supervisor.

Words stand short when coming to express my sincere thanks and great appreciation to Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hamed Ghanem, Professor of Psychiatry, Ain Shams University who gave me the privilege of being under his supervision in this work. His great help, unforgettable support, constant encouragement, constructive guidance and remarkable effort were of paramount importance for the initiation and progress of this work.

My sincere thanks, appreciations and indebt to Prof. Dr. Safaa Refaat El-Sady, Professor of Phoniatrics, Ain Shams University, for her unforgettable guidance, continuous support, meticulous supervision and kind sincere encouragement, she saved no time nor effort in helping me in evry fine detail of this work.

I would like to thank all my colleagues in the Unit of Phoniatrics, Ain Shams University for their help and support.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all patients participated in this study for their sincere kind cooperation.

Rasha Shoeib



List of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	6
Review of Literature	7
• Non-organic (Functional) Voice Disorders	7
Assessment of Vocal Function	64
Subjects and Methods	93
Results	120
Discussion	176
Conclusion and Recommendations	193
Summary	194
References	197
Arabic Summary	



List of Tables

Fables		Page
No.		
1	Classification of non-organic (functional	
	voice disorders	28
2	Age and sex distribution for group I	
	(no=100) and group II (n = 50)	94
3	Age and sex distribution of patients of group	
	I (n = 100)	96
4	Comparison between group I $(n = 100)$ and	
	group II $(n = 50)$ as regard the frequency of	
	occurrence of aetiologic factors reported by	
	the patients	123
5	Comparison between group I $(n = 100)$ and	
	group II $(n = 50)$ as regard aerodynamic	
	parameters	128
6	Comparison between patients with	
	hyperfunctional childhood dysphonia and	
	control group as regard aerodynamic	
	parameters	129
7	Comparison between patients with	
	incomplete mutation and control group as	
	regard aerodynamic parameters	130
8	Comparison between group of phonasthenia	
	and control group as regard aerodynamic	
	parameters	131

Tables		Page
No.		
9	Comparison between patients with	
	hyperfunctional dysphonia and control group	
	as regard aerodynamic parameters	132
10	Compaison between group I (n = 28) and	
	group II (n = 50) as regard acoustic	
	parameters	134
11	Comparison between patients with	
	hyperfunctional childhood dysphonia and	
	control group as regard acoustic	
	parameters	135
12	Comparison between patients with	
	incomplete mutation and control group as	
	regard acoustic parameters	135
13	Comparison between group of phonasthenia	
	and control group as regard acoustic	
	parameters	136
14	Comparison between patients with	
€ 31	hyperfunctional dysphonia and control group	
	as regard acoustic parameters	136
15	Comparison between group I and group II as	
# *	regard the Social Readjustment Rating Scale	142
16	Distribution of stressful life events among 66	
	patients of gorup I	142
17	Comparison between group I $(n = 100)$ and	
* /	group II (n = 50) as regard symptoms	
	checklist	143