

Evaluation of the use of Autologous Vein Graft for tendon sheath defects in Primary Flexor Tendon repair in zone II

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*

By

Ahmed Mohamed Khazbak

M.B.B.CH., M.Sc.

Under supervision of

Prof. Ayman Abu Elmakarem Shaker

Prof. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Ain Shams University

Prof. Nihal Ibrahim El Shishtawy

Prof. of Physical medicine, Rheumatism and Rehabilitation, Ain
Shams University

Prof. Basim Mohamed Zaki

Ass. Prof. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Ain Shams University

Dr. Nada Abdel Sattar Mahmoud

Ass. Prof. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Ain Shams University



Faculty of Medicine

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

2016

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and for most, I feel indebted to **ALLAH** the most merciful, for helping me to achieve this work. We owe Him His great care, support and guidance in every step of our lives.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Professor Dr. Ayman Abu Elmakarem Shaker**, Professor of Plastic surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his instruction and precious guidance, continuous encouragement and great advices to continue this work.

I would like to express my supreme gratitude to **Professor Dr. Nihal Ibrahim El Shishtawy**, Professor of Physical medicine, Rheumatism and Rehabilitation, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for her coordinational help, and kind support.

I would like to express my special deepest thanks to **Professor Dr. Basim Mohamed Zaki**, Professor of Plastic surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous effort and valuable advices.

I would like to express my profound congratulation to **Dr. Nada Abdel Sattar Mahmoud**, Assist. Professor of Plastic surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for her continuous effort and valuable advices.

My great congratulation to **Professor Dr. Abdel Aziz Hanafy**, Professor of Plastic Surgery, Ain Shams University who helped me so much in starting the project.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Khaled Attia, Dr. Yasser Abo El Atta and Dr. Kahlawi Mohamed** who helped me through my clinical cases.

Last but not the least; I am very grateful and really indebted to my family who offered me great love, help, and encouragement.

Ahmed M. Khazbak, 2016

Dedication

To the soul of my father.

To those who have always been there for me;

My mother & sister for their support and dedication.

My wife, for her love and constant encouragement.

And my son, Mohamed.

Thanks for your valuable support

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
▪ List of Figures	V
▪ List of Tables	X
▪ List of Abbreviations	XII
▪ Keywords	XIV
▪ Introduction and aim of the work.	2
▪ Review of Literature:	
1. Embryology and anatomy of the flexor tendons.	7
2. Flexor tendon sheath and tendon nutrition.	14
3. Biomechanics of the flexor tendons.	25
4. Flexor tendon injuries and management.	32
5. Healing of flexor tendon.	56
6. Complications of flexor tendons repair.	65
7. Hand Rehabilitation.	76
▪ Patients and Methods.	92
▪ Results and statistical analysis.	107
▪ Discussion.	124
▪ Summary and Conclusion.	136
▪ References.	139
▪ Arabic Summary.	164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Fig. 1: The mesenteric configuration of vincular system.	8
Fig. 2: Decussation of the FDS within the flexor sheath.	11
Fig. 3: Flexor tendon anatomy at the wrist.	12
Fig. 4: The components of the digital flexor sheath.	15
Fig. 5: Sheath bulging and flattening during flexion-extension.	17
Fig. 6: Membranous synovial sheath of the hand.	18
Fig. 7: Divisions of the flexor tendons into five zones	19
Fig. 8: Pulleys and subdivisions of the digital flexor tendons.	20
Fig. 9: Insertions and relative positions of the flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS) and flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) tendons and vincula.	21
Fig. 10: Approximate flexor tendon excursion.	26
Fig. 11: Moment arm seen by a joint from a tendon.	26
Fig. 12: The function of the finger flexor tendon pulley system.	28
Fig. 13: The moment arm of the flexor tendon pinch forces.	30
Fig. 14: Physical examination of the hand	37
Fig. 15: Testing the flexor digitorum superficialis and flexor digitorum profundus tendons.	37
Fig. 16: Bruner zigzag and midaxial incision.	41
Fig. 17: Sketches of core repair techniques.	43
Fig. 18: Locking or Grasping suture technique.	44
Fig. 19: Peripheral (epitendinous) tendon suture techniques.	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 20: Profundus pullout through fingertip.	46
Fig 21: Leddy's classification of FDP avulsion injuries.	46
Fig. 22: Flexor tendon injury in children.	54
Fig. 23: Histologic sections in the tendon.	56
Fig. 24: Stages of tendon healing.	57
Fig. 25: Flexor tendon healing pathology.	62
Fig. 26: Factors that affect the outcomes of the flexor tendon repairs in the hand.	65
Fig.27: Joint contracture release.	68
Fig. 28: bowstringing of the flexor tendons.	70
Fig. 29: Differential gliding exercises.	77
Fig. 30: Kleinert splint with palmar pulley.	79
Fig. 31: The controlled passive motion method originally, Duran and Houser.	80
Fig. 32: Controlled active motion protocol.	81
Fig. 33: Assessing range of motion using the goniometer.	84
Fig. 34: Assessment of pulp to palm with the ruler.	86
Fig. 35: The Jamar dynamometer is for assessing grip strength.	87
Fig. 36: Assessing hand grip strength with the sphygmomanometer.	88
Fig. 37: Different pinch.	89
Fig. 38: Photograph showing the pneumatic tourniquet machine used.	93
Fig. 39: Photograph showing the hand on arm side table with the pneumatic tourniquet.	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 40: Photograph showing Bruner Zigzag incisions with additional palmar incision.	95
Fig. 41: Photograph showing the additional palmar incision for delivery of retracted flexor tendons in the palm.	96
Fig. 42: Photograph showing: 4-0 & 6-0 polypropylene sutures used in the tendon repair.	97
Fig. 43: Photograph showing: harvesting medial forearm vein graft	97
Fig. 44: Photograph showing: Suturing of patch vein graft at the proximal A2 pulley.	98
Fig. 45: Photograph showing: A: Repair of tendon sheath defect using vein patch graft. B: Tendon sheath defect left untreated.	99
Fig. 46: Photograph showing: post-op splint.	100
Fig. 47: Photograph showing: post-op splint with dynamic rubber band exercises passed under palmar pulley.	100
Fig. 48: Photograph showing: post-op thermoplastic splint with more extension of the angels.	101
Fig. 49: Photograph showing Measuring Active Flexion R.O.M using short arm goniometer.	102
Fig. 50: Photograph showing Measuring fingernail to palm distance using ruler.	102
Fig. 51: Photograph Showing measuring grip strength using Jamar Dynamometer.	104
Fig. 52: Photograph Showing measuring triple pinch strength using pinchmeter.	104
Fig. 53: Figure Showing percentage of affected fingers in each affected hand.	109

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 54: Figure showing comparison between both groups as regard Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment at 8 weeks.	110
Fig. 55: Figure showing comparison between both groups as regard Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment at 6 months.	111
Fig. 56: Photograph of Final result after 6 months showing Pulp to palm distance and ROM.	112
Fig. 57: Photograph of cut FDP and FDS tendons Zone II of LT middle finger & result after vein patch graft.	113
Fig. 58: figure showing percentage of change in Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment after 8 weeks versus 6 months among both groups.	114
Fig. 59: Photograph showing pulp to palm distance at 8 weeks and finally at 6 months.	115
Fig. 60: Figure Showing percentage of change in grip strength after 8 weeks versus 6 months among both groups.	117
Fig. 61: Figure Showing percentage of change in pinch strength after 8 weeks versus 6 months among both groups.	118
Fig. 62: Figure Showing recorded complications in both groups. Final result after 6 months showing Pulp to palm distance < 1.0 cm.	120
Fig. 63: Photograph showing vein graft donor site scar at proximal forearm.	120
Fig. 64: Photograph showing PIP joint contracture.	121
Fig. 65: Photograph showing limited active flexion ROM due to peritendinous adhesions.	122

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 1: Historical contributions to flexor tendon repair.	14
Table 2: Some major steps toward current treatments of sheath and pulleys over the past 25 years.	51
Table 3: Histologic Grading System for Tendon Healing.	60
Table 4: The TAM method of assessment of the ASSH.	83
Table 5: The Strickland formula.	85
Table 6: The second Buck-Gramcko method of assessment (fingers – zone II).	85
Table 7: The second Buck-Gramcko method of assessment (fingers – zone II).	102
Table 8: Comparison between both groups as regard general data.	107
Table 9: Comparison between both groups as regard dominance and affected hand.	108
Table 10: Comparison between values in both groups as regard Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment.	109
Table 11: Comparison between both groups as regard Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment at 8 weeks.	110
Table 12: Comparison between both groups as regard Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment at 6 months.	111
Table 13: Comparison between Buck-Gramcko scale of assessment after 8 weeks versus 6 months among both groups.	114
Table 14: Comparison between both groups as regard grip strength.	116
Table 15: Comparison between grip strength between 8 weeks versus 6 months results.	116

LIST OF TABLES

Table 16: Comparison between both groups as regard pinch strength.	117
Table 17: Comparison between both groups as regard percentage of change at 8 weeks versus 6 months as regard pinch strength.	118
Table 18: Cross table of complications in both groups.	120

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A1	First annular pulley
A2	Second annular pulley
A3	Third annular pulley
A4	Forth annular pulley
A5	Fifth annular pulley
ASSH	American Society for Surgery of the Hand
C1	First cruciform pulley
C2	Second cruciform pulley
C3	Third cruciform pulley
cm	Centimeter
CT	Computed tomography
DIP	Distal interphalangeal joint
E-PTFE	Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene
e.g.	exempli gratia (for example)
FDS	Flexor digitorum superficialis
FDP	Flexor digitorum profundus
FPL	Flexor pollicis longus
i.e.	istoes (that is to say)
IAPD	Intra-annular pulley distance
IP	Interphalangeal joint
Lt	Left
MA	Moment arm
MCP	Metacarpo phalangeal joint
mm	Millimeter
No.	Numéro (number)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PL	Palmaris longus
PIP	Proximal interphalangeal joint
PA	Palmar aponeurosis
ROM	Range of motion
Rt	Right
SD	Standard deviation
TAM	Total active motion
VLS	The vinculum longum superficialis
VLP	The vinculum longum profundus
VBS	The vinculum brevis superficialis
VBP	The vinculum brevis profundus

KEYWORDS

Hand, Anatomy, Flexor Tendon, Zone II, Tenon Sheath, Biomechanics, Tendon Repair, Tendon rehabilitation.

INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK

The functional biomechanics of the flexor tendons depend on a number of factors including an intact pulley system, synovial fluid, supple joints, and tendon excursion. An intact pulley system prevents flexor tendon bowstringing. The synovial fluid not only provides nutrients to the tendons but also is a constant source of lubrication, permitting frictionless gliding between the tendons. Adhesions among the tendons and other tissues restrict excursion (*Paul et al., 2004*).

The zone (II) of the flexor tendons lies within the digital fibro-osseous tunnel and it's difficult to be repaired because the healing tendon tends to adhere to its fibro-osseous tunnel. It has been termed "**no man's land**" by Bunnell (1948) because of the poor outcome in range of motion following tendon repair (*Chan et al., 2006*). Repair ruptures, adhesion formations, and stiffness of finger joints remain frustrating problems in flexor tendon repairs and rehabilitation. Four approaches are suggested to improve the outcome of the repairs, which include stronger surgical repairs, appropriate pulleys or sheath management, optimization of rehabilitation regimens, and modern biological approaches (*Tang, 2005*).

Nowadays, successful restoration of function following repair of lacerated flexor tendons in the digital sheath is one of the most difficult problems. The tethering effect of adhesions between the healing tendon and the surrounding structures blocks the gliding motion of the tendon. In comparison with wounds elsewhere in the body, a healing tendon repair should not only provide adequate tensile strength but also ensure a pliable scar around it for gliding purposes (*Menon et al., 1985*).

Adhesion formation after intrasynovial tendon injury represents a major clinical problem. Disruption of the synovial sheath at the time of the injury or surgery allows granulation tissue from surrounding tissue to invade the repair site resulting in adhesion formation (*Sharma and Maffulli, 2005*). It's logic to reestablish the continuity of the tendon sheath to improve tendon nourishment and prevent restrictive adhesion