



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل



Zagazig University
Benha Branch
Faculty of Science
Chemistry Department

**NUCLEIC ACIDS BASED TYPING AS A TOOL IN NOSOCOMIAL
BACTERIAL INFECTION SURVEILLANCE: COMPARISON WITH
ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN TYPING**

A Thesis

**Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Master Degree of Science in Chemistry**

By

ASAAD ABD EL-SALAM MOHAMED GABER
B.Sc in Chemistry, 1992

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Helmy El-Ashry
Prof. of Organic Chemistry (D.Sc.)
Head of Chemistry Department
Faculty of Science
Alexandria University.

Prof. Dr. Samy Abd-El Ghany Essawy
Prof. of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Zagazig University
Benha Branch.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Helmy Arief
Prof. of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Zagazig University
Benha Branch.

Dr. Mohamed Adel Ibrahim Bakr
Consultant of Nephrology
Urology & Nephrology Center
Mansoura University.

(2001)

B o c c

**Thesis Title : Nucleic Acids Based Typing As A Tool In
Nosocomial Bacterial Infection Surveillance:
Comparison With Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern
Typing**

THESIS ADVISORS

THESIS APPROVED

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Helmy El-Ashry

Prof. Dr. Samy Abd-El Ghany Essawy

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Helmy Arief.....

Dr. Mohamed Adel Ibrahim Bakr

Head of Chemistry Department

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Fattah Abdalla Abdel-Fattah

Report of Examiners

Author's Name : Asaad Abd- El Salam Mohamed Gaber

**Thesis Title : Nucleic Acids Based Typing As A Tool In
Nosocomial Bacterial Infection Surveillance:
Comparison With Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern
Typing**

Examiners :

no.	Name	Position
1	Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Helmy El-Ashry (D.Sc.)	Prof. of organic chemistry, Head of Chemistry Department- Faculty of Science- Alexandria University
2	Prof. Dr. Maher Abd-El Aziz El-Hashash (D.Sc.)	Prof. of organic chemistry, Faculty of Science- Ein Shams University
3	Prof. Dr. Abd-El Alim Hasan Abd-El Alim	Prof. of organic chemistry, Faculty of Science- Minufya University
4	Prof. Dr. Samy Abd-El Ghany Essawy	Prof. of organic chemistry, Faculty of Science- Zagazig University- Banha Branch

Date of Discussion : 12/9/2001

Grade : Master Degree of Science- Organic Chemistry

Signatures :

no.	Name	Signature
1		
2		
3		
4		

TO MY FATHER

TO MY MOTHER

TO MY WIFE

TO MY KIDS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

===

I would like to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Helmy El-Ashry, D.Sc.**, Prof. and Head of Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, **Prof. Dr. Samy Abd-El Ghany Essawy**, Prof. of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Benha Branch, and **Dr. Mohamed Adel Bakr**, Consultant of Nephrology, Urology & Nephrology Center, Mansoura University, for suggesting the problem investigated, their valuable criticism and continuous encouragement.

I also wish to express my thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Helmy Arief**, Prof. of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Benha Branch, for his sincere efforts and continuous encouragement.

I deeply thank **Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Ghoneim**, Prof. and Chairman of Urology and Nephrology Center, Mansoura University, for the providing generous laboratory facilities, and his kind support.

I grateful and indebted to **Dr. Essam El-Sawy** and **Dr. Omar Mohamed**, Urology & Nephrology Center, Mansoura University, for their continuous encouragement, unlimited help, excellent assistance and generous support throughout this work.

I am deeply thank **my colleagues in the Microbiology Laboratory**, Urology and Nephrology Center, Mansoura University, for their encouragement.

I wish to express my deep thanks to the team of Medical Secretary, Urology and Nephrology Center, especially **Mrs. Ola Ali** for very skillful and nice typing.

LIST OF CONTENTS

===

SUMMARY	1
CHAPTER I: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
I.1. Nosocomial Infections.....	4
I.1.1. A brief history	4
I.1.2. The importance of nosocomial infections	5
I.1.3. Types and causes of nosocomial infections.....	5
I.2. Nucleic Acids	6
I.2.1. Nucleic acids structure	6
I.2.1.1. The classes of bases in nucleotides.....	6
I.2.1.2. Phosphodiester link of nucleotides.....	9
I.2.1.3. Double helical structure of DNA.....	9
I.2.2. Nucleases.....	11
I.2.2.1. Restriction endonucleases.....	13
I.2.3. Agarose gel electrophoresis	15
I.2.4. Buffer solutions	16
I.3. Biochemical Identification Tests of Bacteria	19
I.3.1. Utilization of carbohydrates	19
I.3.2. Citrate utilization	20
I.3.3. Urease Production	20
I.3.4. Decarboxylases	20
I.3.5. Tryptophan deaminase	21
I.4. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing	22
I.4.1. Diffusion test	22
I.4.2. Dilution test	22
I.5. Nucleic Acids Based Typing Methods.....	24
I.5.1. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis	24
I.5.2. Chromosomal DNA restriction analysis	25
I.5.3. Plasmid analysis	26
I.5.4. DNA sequencing	27
AIM OF THE WORK	29
CHAPTER II: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	30
CHAPTER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	51
CONCLUSION	57
CHAPTER IV: REFERENCES.....	58
ARABIC SUMMARY	

SUMMARY

SUMMARY

=====

Hospital bacterial infections (nosocomial infections) are important cause of morbidity and mortality in both developing and developed countries. Epidemiological typing of strains is important in an attempt to trace the source of contamination or to prevent patient to patient dissemination of strains. Epidemiological typing methods include biochemical typing (biotyping), serotyping, bacteriophage typing, antibiotic susceptibility typing (antibiogram), and bacteriocin typing.

Molecular typing techniques are widely used for studying the epidemiology of human, animal, and plant infections. These molecular typing techniques include DNA restriction endonuclease analysis, ribotyping, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

Bacteriophage typing is laborious, of limited utility and available through only a few reference laboratories. Serotyping and bacteriocin typing can not discriminate between strains. Ribotyping is slow, more labor-intensive and involves working with probes. PFGE is a rather time-consuming.

In this study, we reported the restriction enzyme digestion (using *Hind III* enzyme) as a typing method and assess this method to detect genetic diversity in *Escherichia coli* (*E.coli*) (41 samples) in comparison with biochemical typing (biotyping) and antibiotic susceptibility typing (antibiogram).

Biochemical typing methods can be performed by different techniques; in the conventional techniques the enzymatic activity of bacteria can be detected by the changes in the pH using pH color indicator. The pH color indicator can not detect the pH changes accurately. In this study we used a novel technique based on the fluorescence of a pH sensitive fluorophore.

The antibiotic typing methods can be done by disc diffusion technique, the most common technique, and by dilution techniques (broth and agar dilution). The disc diffusion does not give the antibiotic concentration which inhibits the bacterial growth and the dilution techniques have time consuming technical steps.

In this study we used the E Test which is a novel method for measuring the minimum inhibitory concentration of antibiotics against bacteria. The E test comprise of thin impervious plastic carrier (5x50 mm) with a continuous exponential gradient of antibiotics immobilized on one side and a reading interpretive scale on the other. The antibiotic gradient can cover a broad concentration range corresponding to approximately 20 antibiotic dilutions.

Five different biochemical profiles were identified. These are biotype 1 (17%), biotype 2 (30%) which was the most common, biotype 3 (22%), biotype 4 (17%), and biotype 5 (14%). All of the bacterial samples enzymatically hydrolyzed the sugars Maltose, Arabinose, Trehalose, Fructose, Sorbitol, Mannitol, Glucose, and Lactose; while there was no enzymatic activity against Malonate, Urea, Inositol, Esculin, Tryptophan, Citrate, Arabitol, and Cellobiose.

Four different antibiotic susceptibility patterns were generated among the 41 bacterial samples of *E.coli* tested depending upon their susceptibilities to 7 different antibiotics. These antibiotics were Nitrofurantoin, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole, Norfloxacin, Ampicillin, Piperacillin, Cefotaxime, and Gentamicin.

The growth of the twenty bacterial samples present in pattern 1 was inhibited by Nitrofurantoin, Cefotaxime, Gentamicin, and Norfloxacin; and their growth was not inhibited by Ampicillin, Piperacillin, and Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole.

Pattern 2 contained eight bacterial samples which their growth was inhibited by Nitrofurantoin, Cefotaxime, and Gentamicin; and their growth was not inhibited by Norfloxacin, Ampicillin, Piperacillin, and Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole.

Also eight bacterial samples were present in pattern 3 in which their growth was inhibited by Norfloxacin, Cefotaxime, and Gentamicin.

The growth of the five bacterial samples present in pattern 4 was inhibited only by Cefotaxime and Gentamicin .

The antibiotic resistance patterns were pooled, and it was found that 31% of the bacterial samples their growth was not inhibited by Nitrofurantoin, 100 % not inhibited by Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole, Ampicillin; and Piperacillin, 31% not inhibited by Norfloxacin, and 0% not inhibited by Cefotaxime and Gentamicin.

Seven DNA patterns were observed in restriction enzyme digestion. Seven bacterial samples were present in pattern 1 with two DNA fragments (bands) at 872 and 700 base pairs (bp). Pattern 2 included 7 bacterial samples with two bands at 1200 and 900 bp. Pattern 3 contained three bacterial samples with six bands at 1600, 1500, 1400, 1200, 600, and 400 bp. Pattern 4 included five bacterial samples with six bands at 1500, 1400, 980, 860, 730, and 360 bp. Twelve bacterial samples were present in pattern 5, with six bands at 1300, 1100, 980, 800, 750, and 360 bp. Four bands, at 1200, 900, 800, and 360 bp were observed in pattern 6 which included four bacterial samples. Only three bacterial samples were included in pattern 7 with three bands at 1100, 1000, and 700 bp. There is a difference in intensity of bands within the same pattern or between the different patterns.

The use of restriction enzyme digestion was successful in detecting genetic differences in *E.coli* that could not be observed in biochemical and antibiotic susceptibility tests used to differentiate these strains.