



Preparation of Nanocomposite Polymer Latex

A Thesis
Submitted for the Degree of Master of Science
As Partial Fulfillment for Requirements of Master of Science
"Chemistry Department"

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Ahmed Ali Mahmoud Elkady

Under Supervision of

Dr / Gamal Abd El-Aziz Meligi Dr / Hussein Hussein El-Nahas

Assistant Professor of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University Assistant Professor of Radiation Chemistery, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Atomic Energy Authority

Dr / Adel Mohy-Eldin Gabr

Research & Development Executive Director, Pachin Co.

Cairo, Egypt

2015

APPROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Title of Thesis "Preparation of Nanocomposite Latex"

Name: Ahmed Ali Mahmoud Elkady

Thesis Supervisors

| Assis. Prof. Gamal Abd El-Aziz Meligi |
|---------------------------------------|
| Signature: |
| Assis. Prof. Hussein Hussein El-Nahas |
| Signature: |
| Dr. Adel Mohy-Eldin Gabr |
| Signature: |

Head of Chemistry Department

Prof. Dr. \ Hamed Ahmed Younes Derbala

DEDICATION

To my Parents

I have to thank Allah for choosing both of you to be my parents.

To my Dear Fiancée

Thank you for supporting me.

To my Family, and my Friends

Thank you for helping me.

<u>Acknowledgement</u>

Alhamdulillah, first and foremost, all praise to **Allah**, the **Almighty**, for giving me the courage, strength, and patience to complete this work.

The author wishes to express his deepest appreciation and respect to *Dr. Gamal Abd El-Aziz Meligiy*, Assistant Professor of organic chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain-Shams University, for his supervision, pleasant guidance and encouragement throughout this work.

The author wishes to express his Deepest thanks to *Dr. Hussein Hussein El-Nahas*, Assistant professor of radiation chemistry, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority, for his supervision and encouragement throughout this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Mohamed Yousef Elkady*, Professor of organic chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain-Shams University, for his support, pleasant guidance and encouragement throughout my life.

I am greatly indebted to my friend *Dr. Hamdy Sayed*, Research Assistance, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, for his encouragement and endless support throughout this work.

I would like to express my gratitude to *Dr. Ahmad Ismail Jomha*, Director R&D, TSS and Quality, Cristal Co. KSA, for his kind help.

I am deeply grateful to my friend *Mohammed Gomaa Hassan*, Chemist R&D, PACHIN Co. Egypt, for his kind help and support.

I extend my sincere thanks to all members of the Department of chemistry, faculty of science, Ain Shams University for their efforts, help and friendship throughout my work.

Ahmed Elkady

CONTENTS

| Aim of the work. | I |
|--|----|
| Abstract. | II |
| Summary. | IV |
| Chapter I | |
| 1. Introduction. | 1 |
| 1.1 Latex. | 1 |
| 1.2 Preparation of Latex. | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Free Radical Polymerization. | 3 |
| 1.2.1.1 Free Radical Polymerization Mechanism and | 6 |
| Kinetics. | |
| 1.2.2 Emulsion Polymerization. | 11 |
| 1.2.2.1 Major Recipe Constituents. | 13 |
| 1.2.2.2 Emulsion Polymerization Processes. | 22 |
| 1.2.2.3 Emulsion Polymerization Mechanism. | 26 |
| 1.2.2.4 Particle Nucleation. | 32 |
| 1.2.3 Miniemulsion Polymerization. | 35 |
| 1.2.3.1 Components of miniemulsion. | 38 |
| 1.2.3.2 Method of miniemulsion preparation. | 39 |
| 1.2.3.3 Polymerization mechanisms and kinetic of | 41 |
| Miniemulsion. | |
| 1.2.4 Encapsulation of inorganic nanoparticles by | 45 |
| miniemulsion polymerization. | |
| Chapter II | |
| 2. Experimental. | 52 |
| 2.1 Materials. | 52 |
| 2.1.1 Monomers. | 52 |
| 2.1.2 Surfactants. | 53 |
| 2.1.3 Co-stabilizer. | 54 |
| 2.1.4 Initiator. | 55 |
| 2.1.5 Buffer. | 55 |
| 2.1.6 Surface modifier. | 55 |
| 2.1.7 Nanofiller. | 56 |
| 2.1.8 Solvents. | 56 |
| 2.2 Preparation of TiO ₂ / Poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate) | 57 |
| nanocomposite latex. | |
| 2.2.1 Surface modification of Nano-TiO ₂ Particles. | 57 |
| 2.2.2 Preparation of nanocomposite latex. | 58 |
| 2.3 Characterization. | 60 |
| 2.3.1 Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM). | 60 |
| 2.3.2 Fourier Transform Infra Red Spectroscopy (FTIR). | 61 |
| 2.3.3 Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC). | 61 |
| 2.3.4 Thermal Properties. | 62 |
| 2.3.5 Pendulum Hardness. | 62 |
| 2.4 Performance Studies. | 63 |

| 2.4.1 Preparation of interior flat latex paint. | 63 |
|---|----------|
| 2.4.2 Performance Tests. | 65 |
| 2.4.2.1 Consistency of paints. | 65 |
| 2.4.2.2 Density. | 65 |
| 2.4.2.3 Solid Content. | 66 |
| 2.4.2.4 pH Test. | 66 |
| 2.4.2.5 Contrast Ratio Measurement. | 66 |
| 2.4.2.6 Whiteness and Yellowness. | 67 |
| 2.4.2.7 Scrub Resistance. | 67 |
| 2.7.2.7 Setuo Resistance. | 07 |
| CHAPTER (III) | |
| 3. Results and Discussion. | 68 |
| 3.1 Preparation of TiO ₂ / Poly (styrene-co-butyl acrylate) | 68 |
| nanocomposite latex. | 00 |
| 3.1.1 Surface Modification of TiO ₂ nanoparticles. | 68 |
| 3.1.1.1 FTIR analysis results. | 68 |
| 3.1.2 Preparation of nanocomposite latex. | 74 |
| 3.1.2.1 Morphological Study. | 74 |
| 3.1.2.2 FTIR analysis. | 77 |
| 3.1.2.3 Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC). | 83 |
| 3.1.2.4 Thermal Properties. | 83 |
| 3.1.2.5 Pendulum Hardness. | 88 |
| 3.1.2.6 Solid Content. | 89 |
| 3.1.2.7 Monomer Conversion. | 89 |
| 3.1.2.8 Coagulum. | 90 |
| 3.1.2.9 Density. | 90 |
| 3.1.2.10 Consistency Measurements. | 90 |
| 3.1.2.11 Refractive Index. | 90 91 |
| 3.1.3 Performance Studies. | 92 |
| | 92 |
| 3.1.3.1 Consistency of paints. | 92 |
| 3.1.3.2 Density. 3.1.3.3 Solid Content. | 92 |
| | 92 92 |
| 3.1.3.4 pH Test. | 92 |
| 3.1.3.5 Contrast Ratio Measurement. | |
| 3.1.3.6 Whiteness and Yellowness. | 93 |
| 3.1.3.7 Scrub Resistance. | 95 |
| Conclusions. | 98 |
| Chapter IV | |
| 4. References. | 100 |
| Arabic Summary. | i |
| madic Bullillary. | 1 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | | Page |
|--------------------------|--|----------|
| riguite 140. | CHAPTER (I) | |
| Fig. (1.1) Fig. (1.2) | The structural formula of polyisoprene. Surfactant classification according to the composition of their head: (a) anionic, (b) cationic, (c) nonionic and (d) zwitterionic. | 1 18 |
| Fig. (1.3) Fig. (1.4) | Represent emulsion polymerization processes. Schematic representation for the mechanism of emulsion polymerization: (a) Prior to initiation; (b) Polymerization stage; shortly after initiation; (c) Polymerization stage; all emulsifier micelles consumed; (d) Polymerization stage monomer droplet disappear; (e) End of polymerization. | 26 30 |
| Fig. (1.5) | Homogeneous, micellar, and droplet nucleation mechanisms. | 34 |
| Fig. (1.6) | The principle of miniemulsion polymerization. | 37 |
| Fig. (1.7) | Scheme for miniemulsion preparation method. | 40 |
| Fig. (1.8) | Schematic of the homogenization valve of a Manton-Gaulin homogenizer. | 41 |
| Fig. (1.10) | Calorimetric curve of a typical miniemulsion polymerization consisting of 20% styrene in water, 1.2% SDS (relative to styrene), and KPS as initiator. | 44 |
| Fig. (1.10) | Encapsulation of inorganic nanoparticles by miniemulsion polymerization. | 47 |
| | CHAPTER (II) | |
| Fig. (2.1) | Elcometer 3034 Persoz & König Pendulum Hardness tester. | 63 |
| Fig. (2.2) | Digital Krebs Viscometer 480. | 65 |
| Fig. (2.3) | Sheen 1501/100 pyknometer. | 65 |
| Fig. (2.4) | HANNA HI 221 microprocessor pH meter. | 66 |
| Fig. (2.5) | Datacolor400® Bench-Top Spectrophotometer. | 67 |
| Fig. (2.6) | Wet Abrasion Scrub Tester. | 67 |

CHAPTER (III)

| Fig. (3.1) | Proposed model for modification of TiO ₂ | 70 |
|--------------------|--|----------|
| F: (2.2.) | nanoparticles with oleic acid. | 71 |
| Fig. (3.2a) | FTIR spectrum of TiO ₂ . | 71 |
| Fig. (3.2b) | FTIR spectrum of oleic acid. | 72 |
| Fig. (3.2c) | FT-IR spectrum of oleic acid modified TiO ₂ | 73 |
| | nanoparticles. | |
| Fig. (3.3) | TEM micrographs of the blank latex "AK" (a) | 75 |
| | x15, 000 magnification (b) x25, 000 | |
| | magnification. | |
| Fig. (3.4) | TEM micrographs of the nanocomposite latex | 76 |
| 8 (- ·) | encapsulated 1% modified TiO ₂ "AK1" (a) | |
| | x10, 000 magnification (b) x25, 000 | |
| | magnification. | |
| Fig. (3.5) | TEM micrographs of the nanocomposite latex | 76 |
| Fig. (3.3) | encapsulated 2% modified TiO ₂ "AK2" | 70 |
| | - | |
| | (a) x15, 000 magnification (b) x30, 000 | |
| F: (2.6) | magnification. | 77 |
| Fig. (3.6) | TEM micrographs of the nanocomposite latex | 77 |
| | encapsulated 1% unmodified TiO ₂ "AK3" (a) | |
| | x15, 000 magnification (b) x30, 000 | |
| | magnification. | |
| Fig. (3.7) | FTIR spectrum of blank latex (AK). | 79 |
| Fig. (3.8) | FTIR spectrum of polymer encapsulated 1 wt% | 80 |
| | modified TiO_2 (AK1). | |
| Fig. (3.9) | FTIR spectrum of polymer encapsulated 2 wt% | 81 |
| | modified TiO_2 (AK2). | |
| Fig. (3.10) | FTIR spectrum of polymer encapsulated 1 wt% | 82 |
| 8 () | unmodified TiO ₂ (AK3). | |
| Fig. (3.11) | Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves | 86 |
| g : () | thermograms of neat polymer and its | |
| | nanocomposites. | |
| Fig. (3.12) | Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) | 87 |
| 116. (3.12) | thermograms of neat polymer and its | 07 |
| | nanocomposites. | |
| Fig. (3.13) | Paint drawdown of sample B versus sample A. | 94 |
| | | 94 94 |
| Fig. (3.14) | Paint drawdown of sample C versus sample A. | - |
| Fig. (3.15) | Paint drawdown of sample D versus sample A. | 95 |
| Fig. (3.16) | Scrub resistance of sample B versus Sample A. | 96 |
| Fig. (3.17) | Scrub resistance of sample C versus Sample A. | 96 |
| Fig. (3.18) | Scrub resistance of sample D versus Sample A. | 97 |
| | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | CHAPTER (I) | Page |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| Table (1.1) | Summary and comparison of the important properties of conventional emulsions, miniemulsions, and microemulsions. | 36 |
| | CHAPTER (II) | |
| Table (2.1) Table (2.2) | Nanocomposite latex recipes for 400 gm. Formula of water-based interior flat paint. | 59 64 |
| | CHAPTER (III) | |
| Table (3.1) | GPS results of samples. | 83 |
| Table (3.2) | Extracted data from thermogravimetric analysis TGA curves for the neat polymer and its nanocomposites. | 84 |
| Table (3.3) | T_g values from DSC thermograms. | 87 |
| Table (3.4) | Results of hardness of different latex samples. | 88 |
| Table (3.5) | Results of solid content, monomer conversion, coagulum, density, viscosity, and refractive index of different latex samples. | 91 |
| Table (3.6) | Results of different paint samples. | 97 |

LIST OF ABRIVATIONS

APHA American Public Health Association

BA Butyl Acrylate

CIE Commission internationale de l'éclairage

CMC Critical Micelle Concentration

DSC Differential Scanning Calorimetry

FTIR Fourier Transform Infra Red Spectroscopy

GPC Gel Permeation Chromatography

HD Hexadecane

HLB Hydrophilic Lipophilic Balance

KPS Potassium Persulfate

KU Krebs Unit

MEHQ Mequinol, or 4-Methoxyphenol

OA Oleic Acid
O/W Oil / Water

P(St-BA) Poly (Styrene-Co-Butyl acrylate)
PVC Pigment Volume Concentration

SDS Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate

St Styrene

TBC 4-tert-Butylcatechol

TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy

 T_g Glass Transition Temperature

TGA Thermogravimetric Analysis

THF Tetrahydrofuran

AIM OF THE WORK

The main objective of this work is to prepare TiO₂ / Poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate) nanocomposite latex via in situ miniemulsion polymerization technique.

To make the encapsulation process, the surfaces of TiO₂ nanoparticles will modified with a proper coupling agent to convert the nanoparticles into a more hydrophobic one.

The nanocomposite latexes will characterize by: Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Fourier Transform Infra Red Spectroscopy (FTIR), Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC), Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA), Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC), and Pendulum Hardness.

The nanocomposite latex will evaluate as binder in the waterborne paint comparing to neat latex and sample filled with unmodified TiO₂ nanoparticles that will prepare under the similar polymerization conditions.

ABSTRACT

Nanocomposite latexes of encapsulated TiO₂ nanoparticles with core-shell morphology were successfully prepared through in situ miniemulsion polymerization of styrene / butylacrylate monomers onto the modified surface of TiO₂ in a semi-continuous operation. Oleic acid was used to act as a coupling agent between TiO₂ surface and polymer. Neat latex and latex filled with unmodified TiO₂ nanoparticles were also prepared under the similar polymerization conditions as a control samples to have a worthwhile comparison.

Results of TEM revealed that; the TiO_2 nanoparticles are coated with the polymer nanolayer in a core-shell structure and uniform distribution of the nanoparticles in size of about 55 - 65 nm without any agglomerations, while latex filled with unmodified TiO_2 nanoparticles showed the nanoparticles placed on the surface of the polymer particles. Thermal analysis including DSC and TGA was used to investigate T_g and thermal stability of the samples. DSC analysis demonstrated that T_g of the nanocomposites was increased as the content of the modified TiO_2 nanoparticles was increased in compare with

the neat polymer and that filled with unmodified TiO₂ nanoparticles, while TGA results showed remarkably improved thermal stability due to the uniform dispersion of the non-agglomerated nanoparticles within the matrix of the polymer. Furthermore, the nanocomposite latexes showed improved hardness as the content of the encapsulated TiO₂ nanoparticles increased in compare with the neat polymer and that filled with unmodified TiO₂ nanoparticles indicating their superior mechanical properties.

The prepared nanocomposite latexes were evaluated as binder in the waterborne paint. The paint films of the nanocomposite latexes showed a good opacity and have superior scrub resistance comparing to the control samples prepared under the similar polymerization conditions.

Keywords:

Latex; Nanocomposite; TiO₂ nanoparticles; Oleic acid; Miniemulsion polymerization.

SUMMARY

In recent years, significant efforts have been devoted to elaboration of organic-inorganic nanocomposite particles due to their superior properties compared with conventional composite materials and their potential applications in the areas of plastics and rubber reinforcement, coatings, electronics, catalysis, and diagnostics.

One of the organic-inorganic hybrid nanomaterials is inorganic core - polymer shell hybrid microspheres where encapsulation of inorganic nanoparticles in polymer shell provides better mechanical properties, thermal stability and chemical resistance. The properties of nanocomposites are greatly influenced by both the dispersing degree of nanoparticles in the base polymers and the interfacial adhesion between the inorganic and organic components.

Miniemulsion polymerization is a powerful technique to encapsulate inorganic nanoparticles into a polymer shell. In this method, the submicron-size monomer droplets ranging from 50 to 500 nm are formed by shearing - using high shear generator devices such as a sonicator - premixed system containing water, monomer, surfactant, hydrophobe (costabilizer). Surfactant is used to

provide the droplets with colloidal stability against coalescence by collisions. A hydrophobic costabilizer retards the degradation by Ostwald ripening of the miniemulsion droplets and makes the subsequent propagation reaction occur primarily in sub-micrometer monomer droplets. Since each of those droplets can be regarded as an individual nanoreactor. Polymerization reaction results in latex particles of size similar to the initial size of the monomer droplets.

According to the mechanism of miniemulsion, the key problem involved in preparing inorganic core - organic shell hybrid microspheres is how to introduce the interaction between polymer and inorganic component. Selecting the proper agent to modify the surface properties of inorganic nanoparticles is very effective route.

In the present work Nanocomposite latexes of encapsulated TiO₂ nanoparticles with core-shell morphology were prepared through in situ miniemulsion polymerization of styrene / butylacrylate monomers onto the modified surface of TiO₂ in a semi-continuous operation. Oleic acid was used to act as a coupling agent between TiO₂ surface and polymer. The presence of oleic acid was confirmed by FTIR which reveal the