



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Modeling of Polymer Matrix Composite Pipes using Finite Element Analysis

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

by

Ahmed Wagdy El-Desouki Abdel-Ghany

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2010

Supervised By

Prof. Samy J. Ebeid

Associate Prof. Iman M. Taha

Cairo - (2016)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Modeling of Polymer Matrix Composite Pipes using Finite Element Analysis

by

Ahmed Wagdy El-Desouki Abdel-Ghany

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2010

Supervising' Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Samy Jimmy Ebeid

Design and Production , Ain Shams University

.....

Assos. Prof. Iman Mohamed Taha

Design and Production , Ain Shams University

.....

Date: 01 June 2016



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Modeling of Polymer Matrix Composite Pipes using Finite Element Analysis

by

Ahmed Wagdy El-Desouki Abdel-Ghany

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2010

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. El-Sayed Youssef Suleiman El-Kady

Production Engineering Department,
Dean of Faculty of Engineering – Shoubra,
Benha University.

.....

Prof. Mohammed Hazem Abdel-Latef Ahmed

Design and Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Prof. Samy Jimmy Ebeid

Design and Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Date: 01 June 2016

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

.....

Ahmed W. Abdel-Ghany

Date: 01 June 2016

Acknowledgments

I would like to gratefully acknowledge every person who has helped me to complete this thesis.

First, I wish to express my profound gratitude and appreciation to my advisors *Prof. Samy J. Ebeid* and *Dr. Iman Taha*, for their remarkable patience, helpful comments and enthusiastic support to finalize this work.

I also would like to express my deepest thank to my family, specially my parents for their understanding, endless support and encouragement when it was most required. Thanks to my wife *Alaa Allah* for her love and constant support, for all the late nights and early mornings, and for being a best friend.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and colleagues for their support.

Ahmed W. Abdel-Ghany

Researcher Data

Name : Ahmed Wagdy El-Desouki Abdel-Ghany

Date of birth : 29/11/1987

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt

Last academic degree : Bachelor Degree

Field of specialization : Mechanical Engineering

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : July, 2010

Current job : Teaching Assistant, Design and
Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams
University, Cairo, Egypt.

Thesis Summary

Structural failure of Polymer Matrix composite pipes is investigated. The present work shows a numerical study for fiber reinforced polymer pipes produced by filament winding technique. The used combination of winding angles and type of reinforcement directly affect the strength of the pipe and consequently its performance. A progressive structural failure analysis is done for a four thin layered pipes oriented anti-symmetrically $[\pm\theta]_2$ subjected to internal pressure using Finite Element Analysis. Three different composite structures are investigated in this study: E-glass fiber/epoxy, carbon fiber/epoxy and aramid fiber/epoxy. The implemented methodology can be further applied to other structure types.

Three different criteria are selected for the analysis: Tsai-Hill, Tsai-WU and Hoffman criteria. Finite Element Analysis results are verified using published experimental results for E-glass/epoxy for the range of winding angles from $[\pm45^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm90^\circ]_2$ using ANSYS Composite PrepPost. Boundary conditions are defined for the model, and further analysis is done to select the optimum element size for reasonable computational cost. The last ply failure technique is applied to determine the structural failure of pipes. Finite Element model shows a good correlation for experimental burst pressure values with an average error of 6.8%, 2.9% and -2.6% using Hoffman, Tsai-Wu and Tsai-Hill criteria respectively.

Analysis is done for the full range of winding angles from $[\pm0^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm90^\circ]_2$ for the three different selected types of composites. The optimum winding angle for the three types of composites is realized at $[\pm55^\circ]_2$ and a lowest value of burst pressure at $[\pm0^\circ]_2$. Analysis results show that the maximum burst pressure values for the three materials as 10.9, 25.5 and 29.4 MPa for E-glass/epoxy, aramid/epoxy and carbon/epoxy respectively. At a winding lay up

of $[\pm 0^\circ]_2$ no significant effect is observed for changing the composite type where the three types show a burst pressure range from 0.6 to 1.3 MPa

Finally, recommended effective range of winding angles for E-glass fiber/epoxy are between $[\pm 15^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm 90^\circ]_2$ where the range of angles from $[\pm 0^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm 15^\circ]_2$ shows a drop in the burst pressure values compared with other winding angles. For carbon fiber/epoxy the recommended range exist between $[\pm 35^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm 90^\circ]_2$ and aramid fiber/epoxy between $[\pm 20^\circ]_2$ to $[\pm 90^\circ]_2$. The achieved Finite Element provides accurate predictions which allow the optimization of the composite pipe lay-up.

Key words: Burst pressure, Filament winding, Finite Element Analysis, Polymer matrix composites, Structural failure.

Table of Contents

Thesis Summary	i
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures.....	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
List of Symbols	xi
1. Introduction	1
2. State of the Art.....	3
2.1 An Overview on Polymer Composites.....	3
2.2 Filament Winding.....	5
2.2.1 <i>Overview</i>	5
2.2.2 <i>Winding Methodology</i>	7
2.2.3 <i>Parameters to control Winding angle</i>	9
2.3 Fibrous Reinforcements	10
2.3.1 <i>Glass Fiber</i>	11
2.3.2 <i>Carbon Fiber</i>	12
2.3.3 <i>Aramid Fiber</i>	12
2.4 Matrix	13
2.5 Pipes and Pressure Vessels.....	14
2.5.1 <i>Performance requirements for Pipes</i>	14
2.5.2 <i>Fiber reinforcement orientation codes</i>	15
2.5.3 <i>Residual stress</i>	16
2.6 Experimental Investigation for filament wound pipes	17
2.7 Objectives of the Present work.....	19
3. FEA for Layered Orthotropic Pipes	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Failure Theories.....	20
3.2.1 <i>Tsai Wu failure criterion</i>	21

3.2.2	<i>Tsai Hill failure criterion</i>	21
3.2.3	<i>Hoffman failure criterion</i>	22
3.3	Model Building.....	23
3.3.1	<i>Pipe Dimensions</i>	23
3.3.2	<i>Mesh Generation</i>	23
3.3.3	<i>Boundary Conditions</i>	24
3.3.4	<i>Material Definition</i>	25
3.4	Analysis Methods for Composites	28
3.5	Optimum Mesh Size	29
4.	Discussion and Comparative Analysis for the FEA Results	33
4.1	Introduction	33
4.2	FEA for E-glass Fiber/Epoxy pipes.....	33
4.2.1	<i>FEA and Experiment Results Comparison</i>	33
4.2.2	<i>FEA of full winding angle range:</i>	36
4.3	FEA for Carbon Fiber/Epoxy Pipes	37
4.4	FEA for Aramid fiber/epoxy pipes.....	40
4.5	Comparative Analysis for different FRPs	41
4.6	Optimum versus hoop winding angles	41
5.	Conclusion and Future Recommendations	46
5.1	Conclusion.....	46
5.2	Future Recommendations.....	47
	References.....	48
Annex I:		
	ANSYS deformation and ply failure for E-glass fiber/epoxy pipes	52
Annex II:		
	ANSYS deformation and ply failure for Carbon fiber/epoxy pipes	60
Annex III:		
	ANSYS deformation and ply failure for Aramid fiber/epoxy pipes.....	70

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Comparison between composite and monolithic materials....	4
Figure 2.2: Structure of composite material	4
Figure 2.3: Common form of Filament winding process	5
Figure 2.4: (a) Composite frame of Lockheed Martin Missiles produced using filament winding, (b) Filament wound pressure vessel with internal stainless steel liner	6
Figure 2.5: Continuous production process for hoop wound pipes	6
Figure 2.6: Winding angle definition in filament winding	7
Figure 2.7: Different winding forms	7
Figure 2.8: Basic winding patterns: (a) helical winding, (b) Hoop or circumferential winding, (c) polar or longitudinal winding.....	8
Figure 2.9: Developed envelope with fiber path.....	10
Figure 2.10: Different types of fiber reinforcements.....	11
Figure 2.11: Different types of Matrix materials.....	13
Figure 2.12: Different types of pipe failure (a) Leakage (b) Burst.....	15
Figure 2.13: Schematic view for the test setup of pipes subjected to internal pressure.	16
Figure 2.14: Codes used for stack-up (a) Symmetrical (b) Anti-Symmetrical.....	16
Figure 3.1: Shell geometry generated	23
Figure 3.2: Shell elements and generated mesh along the cylinder profile (a) Shell181 (b) Shell281	24
Figure 3.3: Loading and constrains for pipe	25
Figure 3.4: Reference Direction for fibers.....	26
Figure 3.5: Fiber orientation for (a) $[\pm 60^\circ]_2$ (b) $[\pm 90^\circ]_2$	27

Figure 3.6: Flow chart for the FEA using LPF	28
Figure 3.7: Generated mesh versus different element sizes.....	30
Figure 3.8: Percentage of error vs Number of elements for pure internal pressure of E-glass/Epoxy pipe.....	31
Figure 3.9: Final generated shell element for four layered pipe	31
Figure 4.1: Comparative analysis between experimental results and Tsai-Wu Failure Criterion for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ E-glass fibers/epoxy pipes	34
Figure 4.2: Comparative analysis between experimental results and Tsai-Hill Failure Criterion for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ E-glass fibers/epoxy pipes	35
Figure 4.3: Comparative analysis between experimental results and Hoffman Failure Criterion for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ E-glass fibers/epoxy pipes.....	35
Figure 4.4: FEA results for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ E-glass fiber/epoxy pipes.....	37
Figure 4.5: FEA results for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ Carbon fiber/epoxy pipes.....	39
Figure 4.6: FEA results for $[\pm\theta^\circ]_2$ Aramid fiber/epoxy pipes	41
Figure 4.7: Comparing numerical burst pressure for different composites	42
Figure 4.8: Burst pressure for optimum winding angle $[\pm55]_2$	42
Figure 4.9: Comparing winding angle with numerical equivalent stresses at failure for different composite materials.....	43
Figure 4.10: Number of layers for 55° and 90° winding angles at the same burst pressure for the E-glass fiber/epoxy pipes using different criteria	44
Figure 4.11: Number of layers for 55° and 90° winding angles at the same burst pressure for the Carbon fiber/epoxy pipes using different criteria	44

Figure 4.12: Number of layers for 55° and 90° winding angles at the same burst pressure for the Aramid fiber/epoxy pipes using different criteria	45
---	----

Figure I. 1: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes.....	53
Figure I. 2: Last ply failure for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes.....	54
Figure I. 3: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes.....	55
Figure I. 4: Last ply failure for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes.....	56
Figure I. 5: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes.....	57
Figure I. 6: Last ply failure for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes.....	58
Figure I. 7: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes.....	59
Figure I. 8: Last ply failure for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes.....	60
Figure I. 9: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes.....	61
Figure I. 10: Last ply failure for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes.....	62
Figure I. 11: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 75]_2$ pipes.....	63
Figure I. 12: Last ply failure for $[\pm 75]_2$	64
Figure I. 13: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes.....	65
Figure I. 14: Last ply failure for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes.....	66

Figure II. 1: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes	69
Figure II. 2: Last ply failure for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes	70
Figure II. 3: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes	71
Figure II. 4: Last ply failure for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes	72
Figure II. 5: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes	73
Figure II. 6: Last ply failure for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes	74
Figure II. 7: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes	75
Figure II. 8: Last ply failure for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes	76

Figure II. 9: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes	77
Figure II. 10: Last ply failure for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes	78
Figure II. 11: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 75]_2$ pipes	79
Figure II. 12: Last ply failure for $[\pm 75]_2$ pipes	80
Figure II. 13: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes	81
Figure II. 14: Last ply failure for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes	82
Figure III. 1: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes.....	85
Figure III. 2: Last ply failure for $[\pm 0]_2$ pipes	86
Figure III. 3: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes.....	87
Figure III. 4: Last ply failure for $[\pm 30]_2$ pipes	88
Figure III. 5: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes.....	89
Figure III. 6: Last ply failure for $[\pm 45]_2$ pipes	90
Figure III. 7: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes.....	91
Figure III. 8: Last ply failure for $[\pm 55]_2$ pipes	92
Figure III. 9: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes.....	93
Figure III. 10: Last ply failure for $[\pm 60]_2$ pipes	94
Figure III. 11: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 75]_2$ pipes.....	95
Figure III. 12: Last ply failure for $[\pm 75]_2$ pipes	96
Figure III. 13: Total deformation in mm for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes.....	97
Figure III. 14: Last ply failure for $[\pm 90]_2$ pipes	98

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Dimensions of the pipe	23
Table 3.2: E-glass fiber/epoxy Properties	26
Table 3.3: Variation of results with element size.....	30
Table 3.4: Mesh properties and statistics	32
Table 4.1: FEA results for E-glass fiber/epoxy.....	34
Table 4.2: Error percentage of different used criteria.....	36
Table 4.3: FEA results for the non-experimentally proven range	36
Table 4.4: Carbon fiber/epoxy and Aramid fiber/epoxy Properties.....	38
Table 4.5: FEA results of different criteria for Carbon fiber/epoxy	39
Table 4.6: FEA results of different criteria for Aramid fiber/epoxy.....	40