SPASTICITY MANAGEMENT AND NEUROPHYSIOLOGIC CHANGES IN CEREBRAL PALSY CHILDREN

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in Pediatrics

Presented By

Lamis Mohamed Ali Abd El-Aziz El-Shakankery

M.B, B.Ch, 2006 Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Under Supervision Of

Prof. Sahar M. A. Hassanein

Professor of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Walid Ahmed Abdel Ghany

Lecturer of Neurosurgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr.Shaymaa Maher Deifalla

Lecturer of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013





First and foremost, I thank **God** for helping and guiding me in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Sahar M. A. Hassanein,** Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, firstly for giving me the honor to be her student and for her great support and stimulating views.

I would like to thank **Dr. Walid Ahmed Abdel Ghany**, Lecturer of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, his active, persistent guidance and other whelming kindness have been of great help through this work.

Also I would like to extend my warmest gratitude to **Dr.Shaymaa Maher Deifalla**, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams
University, her hard and faithful efforts have helped me to do this work.

Also I would like to thank my **Family** who stood behind me to finish this work and for their great support.

@ Lamis Mohamed El-Shakankery

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of abbreviations	••••••
List of Tables	••••••
List of Figures	
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Review of Literature	
· Chapter (1): CerebralPalsy	4
· Chapter (2): Spasticity	26
Patients and Methods	39
Results	49
Discussion	65
Summary	77
Conclusions	
Recommendations	81
References	82
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

AAN American Academy of Neurology

ADF-MMST...... Ankle DorsiFlexor muscle manual strength test

ADLs..... Activities of Daily Living

AJDF-ROM...... Ankle Joint DorsiFlexion range of motionby hand

held goniometer

AS..... Ashworth Scale

CP..... Cerebral palsy

EMG Electromyography

GABA Gamma Aminobutyric Acid

GABAB Gamma Amino Buteric Acid B receptor

GMFCS...... Gross motor functional classification system for CP

H-reflex..... Hoffman reflex

MAS..... Modified Ashworth Scale

Mmax...... Supramaximal

NDT Bobath neurodevelopmental treatment

OFC Occipito frontal circumference

ROM..... Range of Motion

SD..... Standard deviatio

List of Tables

Table No.	Title Page No.	
Table (1):	Cerebral palsy risk factors:	5
Table (2):	Cerebal palsy subtypes	7
Table (3):	Another classification for cerebral palsy	7
Table (4):	Clinical classification of cerebral palsy (Box 2):	9
Table (5):	The Ashworth and Modified Ashworth scales	31
Table (6):	Treatment plan for patients with spasticity:	35
Table (7):	Descriptive Data for the studied children with cerebral palsy:	50
Table (8):	Comparison between group 1 and 2 studied children forclinical spasticity parameters, H/M_Ratio and H Reflex before therapy(t-test):	52
Table (9):	Comparison between group 1 and 2 studied children after the intervention for the clinical spasticity parameters, H/M_Ratio and H Reflex:	54
Table (10):	Comparison of the spasticity assessment tools before and after intervention within group 1:	58
Table (11):	Comparison of the spasticity assessment tools before and after intervention within group 2.	61
Table (12):	Paired differences between group(1)and group(2):	64

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title Page No.	
Fig. (1):	EMG used to measure the responses evoked by either stretching of the muscle.	34
Fig. (2):	Hand held goniometer	40
Fig. (3):	Nicolet apparatus, Viking Quest, version 8.2	45
Fig. (4):	Places of the electrodes and site of stimulation.	45
Fig. (5):	Example of H-reflex recording.	46
Fig. (6):	Example of H-reflex recording.	47
Fig. (7):	Spasticity assessment measures before and after intervention in group 1.	59
Fig. (8):	Spasticity assessment measures before and after intervention in group 2.	62

INTRODUCTION

erebral palsy (CP) is a static encephalopathy that may be defined as anonprogressive disorder of posture and movement resulting from a defect or lesion of the developing brain. It is a common disorder, with an estimated prevalence of two in 1000 population (*Dzienkowski et al.*,1996).

CP is caused by a group of developmental, genetic, metabolic, ischemic, infectious and other acquired etiologies that produce a common group of neurologic phenotypes (*Johnston Michael*, 2007).

The incidence of CP has varied in different series according to criteria of selection, time and community studied. A figure of between 1 and 3 cases per 1,000 live births has been quoted (Nettina, 2001; Reddihough and Collins, 2003).

Upper motor lesion produces musclespasticity which increases the resistance againstpassive movements. Spasticity disturbs walking and functional abilities of patients(*Feng and Mak*, 1997).

Spasticity is characterized by the increase in tendon reflex and tonic stretch reflex because of the hyper-excitability of the stretch reflex after upper motor neuron lesion (*Brunstrom*,2001).

Different methods are used to evaluate spasticity. These include subjective methods such as passive goniometric measurement and clinical ratio scales(modified Ashworth scale), and objective methods such as electrophysiologic tests(Hoffman(H)reflex and H/M ratios) (*Moore,1998*).

There is a general agreement that spasticity treatment is important(*Dones et al.*,2006).

Various treatments have been recommended to reduce spasticity, including surgical, medical and physiotherapy techniques(*Albright*, 2003).

Methods such as drug therapy, chemical nerve block or neurosurgical treatments may reduce spasticity but may cause muscle weakness or paralysis(*Carmick*, 1993).

The aims of physiotherapy techniques used for the treatment of spasticity are to favor sensorimotor recovery, which leads to optimal independence in daily life activities(*Bakke*,1995).

AIM OF THE WORK

To investigate the effect of antispasticity management on clinical and neurophysiologic measurements in cerebral palsy children with lower limb spasticity.

Chapter(1)

CEREBRAL PALSY

erebral palsy (CP) is the most common chronic disability of childhood today. It is ubiquitous and it occurs all around the world. In developed nations, the incidence is about 1 to 2 per 1000 births (*Nadire et al.*, *2011*).

Definition

Cerebral palsy (CP) describes a group of disorders of the development of movement and posture, causing activity limitations, which are attributed to non-progressive disturbances that occurred in the developing foetal or infant brain. The motor disorders of CP are often accompanied by disturbances of sensation, cognition, perception, behaviour and/or seizure disorders and by secondary musculoskeletal problems(*Rosenbaum et al.*,2011).

Epidemiology

The incidence of CP has varied in different series according to criteria of selection, time and community studied. A figure of between 1 and 3 cases per 1,000 live births has been quoted (*Reddihough and Collins*, 2003).

Risk Factors

A multitude of risk factors both environmental and genetic has been associated with the development of CP(Box 1).

Table (1): Cerebral palsy risk factors:

Prenatal risk factors:

Prenatal risk factors include hyperemesis gravidarum, pregnancy-induced hypertension, threatened fetal loss, placenta previa, abruptio placentae, teratogenic drugs, intrauterine bacterial and viral infections and maternal malnutrition.

Natal risk factors:

Natal risk factors include breech delivery, multiple gestation, asphyxia, low Apgar score and especially prematurity and low birth weight.

Postnatal risk factors:

Postnatal risk factors include head trauma, seizures, hyperbilirubinemia, intracranial infections, toxic encephalopathies and cerebral and intraventricular hemorrhages.

(Jacobsson and Hagberg, 2004).

Despite this extensive list, 17-60% of infants with CP have experienced no recognizable adverse event. Although risk factors analysis is not a very specific or sensitive predictor of CP, risk factors should not be ignored. It is important to take a careful history of prenatal, natal and postnatal events (*Jacobsson and Hagberg*, 2004).

Other risk factors associated with an increased risk of CP include patent ductusarteriosus, hypotension, blood transfusion, prolonged ventilation, pneumothorax, sepsis, hyponatremia and total parenteral nutrition. Seizures were associated with an increased risk of CP as were parenchymal damage and an appreciable ventricular dilatation (*Stelmach et al.*, 2005).

Pathology

The site of lesion and the type of disability varies with the gestational age. In preterm infants, the injury usually involves the white matter and the motor fibers of the lower extremities; thus injured preterm infants generally have a spastic diplegia, primarily of the legs, with normal or near-normal cognitive development because the gray matter of the cortex is not injured. This injury in term infants, usually from a hypoxic ischemic insult such as perinatal asphyxia, usually results in a parasagittal cortical lesions and leads to involvement of the upper extremities, face and tongue with impairment of speech (*Stelmach et al.*, 2005).

Classification

Table (2): Cerebal palsy subtypes.

Type	Subtype		
Spastic	<i>Diplegia:</i> 30% - 40% of spastic CP; 50% were born preterm		
	<i>Hemiplegia:</i> 20% - 30% of spastic CP; associated withstrokes, vascular malformations.		
	<i>Quadriplegia:</i> 10% - 15% of spastic CP; associated with severe asphyxia in all infants		
	Monoplegia/Triplegia		
Nonspastic	Dyskinetic: Damage to basal ganglia or thalamus (deep motor neurons)		
	Ataxic: Damage to neurons in cerebellum.		

(Pueyo et al., 2003).

Another classification:

Table (3): Another classification for cerebral palsy

Pyramidal	Extrapyramidal	Mixed forms	Other
Spastic diplegia	Dyskinetic		Hypotonic
Spastic tetraplegia	Athetosis		
Spastic hemiplegia	Ataxia		

(Panteliadis and Thessaloniki, 2009)

The CP is generally classified using a combination of physiologic and anatomic types. Under the physiologic categorization are the pyramidal, extrapyramidal or mixed types.

The pyramidal type generally indicates injury of the cortical system and commonly results in a spastic presentation. Such patients demonstrate hyperreflexia, with the typical "clasp knife" type of hypertonia. Because of the resultant spastic musculature, the growing child with pyramidal CP is prone to contractures (*Sankar and Mundkur*, 2005).

Extrapyramidal type injuries, such as those to the basal ganglia and cerebellum, may result in disorders of motion, such as athetosis and ataxia. The tone abnormality is the "lead pipe" rigidity rather than spasticity. In presence of excessive motion, such as athetosis, contractures are uncommon. However, some investigators believe that the great variability of motion patterns leads to less predictable surgical results (*Sankar and Mundkur*, 2005).

CP may be classified by clinical type of the motor handicap in term of physiologic, topographic, etiologic categories and functional capacity (table 4).