

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

(قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ)

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة - الآية (32)



Faculty of Education
Department of Mathematics

Proximity Relations and Some Related Structures

Thesis

submitted to Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for the award of Philosophy Doctoral's Degree for Teacher's Preparation in Science

(Pure Mathematics)

By

Amr Zakaria Mohamed Abdel Hamed

Assistant Lecturer at Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. A. Kandil

Professor of Pure Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Helwan University

Prof. Dr. O. A. El-Tantawy

Professor of Pure Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Zagazig University

Prof. Dr. S. A. El-Sheikh

Professor of Pure Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Ain Shams University

(2015)



Faculty of Education
Department of Mathematics

Candidate: Amr Zakaria Mohamed Abdel Hamed

Thesis Title: Proximity Relations and Some Related Structures

Degree: Philosophy Doctoral's Degree for Teacher's Preparation in Science

(Pure Mathematics)

Supervisors:

No.	Name	Profession	Signature
1.	Prof. Dr. A. Kandil	Professor of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Helwan University.	
2.	Prof. Dr. O. A. El-Tantawy	Professor of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University.	
3.	Prof. Dr. S. A. El-Sheikh	Professor of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.	

Acknowledgements

The endless thanks goes to Lord Almighty for all the blessings he has showered onto me, which has enabled me to write this last note in my research work. During the period of my research, as in the rest of my life, I have been blessed by Almighty with some extraordinary people who have spun a web of support around me. Words can never be enough in expressing how grateful I am to those incredible people in my life who made this thesis possible. I would like an attempt to Thank them for making my time during my research a period I will treasure.

I am deeply indebted to my research supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Ali Kandil Saad Ibrahim** for presenting me such an interesting thesis topic. Each meeting with him added invaluable aspects to the implementation and broadened my perspective. He has guided me with his invaluable suggestions, lightened up the way in my darkest times and encouraged me a lot in the academic life. From him I have learned to think critically, to select problems, to solve them and to present their solutions. I would like to thank him for furthering my education in many subjects like general topology, rough set, and set theory. It was more than I had ever hoped for in my research life. His drive for scientific excellence has pushed me to aspire for the same (but could never achieve it). It was a great pleasure for me to have a chance of working with him. He was the best choice I could have made for an advisor. Sometime we are just (or incredibly) lucky!!!

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Osama Abd El Hamid EL Tantawy** for his help and encouragement during the years of Ph.D. I am also grateful to him for his suggestions and contribution to preparation of this Ph.D. dissertation. He did his best for the success of this work through seminars, many discussions, precious comments and valuable reviews and remarks.

I am intensely indebted to **Prof. Dr. Sobhy Ahmed Aly El Sheikh** for his very useful guidance and counselling in overcoming various bottlenecks during the study and also for his comments on the draft of my dissertation. His co-operation and assistance in the overall presentation and all his precautionary measures made the methodology of this thesis comprehensive. The constant encouragement he provided was essential for the determination of the course of this work.

I am indebted to him for the time and attention he devoted to this dissertation.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Mohamed Yakout**, Lecturer of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University who helped me to found my background in the field of Topology.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Hassan Agwa**, Vice Dean of Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for his help and support during my studying.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Osman Mohamed Freg**, Head of Mathematics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, and all staff members for providing me all facilities required to the success of this work. I would like to offer my great thanks to all my friends who helped me through this thesis, especially all colleagues of **Prof. Kandil's** topological seminar for their interest and support.

I am very grateful to all the people I have met along the way and have contributed to the development of my research. In particular I would like to show my gratitude to **Prof. Nasser Saad** and **Prof. Maxime R. Burke**, professors of Mathematics Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Canada. I would also like to acknowledge **Prof. Páles Zsolt**, the Head of the Doctoral School of Mathematics and Computational Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary and **Prof. Árpád Száz**, professor of Mathematics, Department of Analysis, Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

I am indebted to my father **Zakaria**, my mother **Mona**, my brothers, **Osama**, **Mohamed**, my sisters, **Shimaa**, **Gehad**, **Nadia** for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study.

Finally, words fail me to express my appreciation to my wife, **Marwa**, for her unremitting encouragement, assistance, support, faith in me, and her enthusiasm as I neared my goal. My children, **Abd Allah**, **Yousef**, and **Retaj**, have continually provided the requisite breaks from Mathematics and the motivation to finish my degree with expediency. Also, They gave me much happiness and keep me hopping.

Amr Zakaria

Contents

Contents	i
Summary	iii
1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Some basic concepts of topological structures	1
1.2 Proximity spaces	4
1.3 Generalized proximity spaces	5
1.4 Ideals in general topology	7
1.5 Multiset topologies and rough multiset	9
1.5.1 Multiset topologies	9
1.5.2 Rough multisets	13
1.6 Soft topological spaces	17
1.7 Relations and relators	20
1.7.1 Relations	20
1.7.2 Relators	22
2 \mathcal{I}-Proximity Spaces	28
2.1 New structure of proximity spaces	29
2.2 \mathcal{I} -proximizable spaces	33
2.3 \mathcal{I} -proximal neighborhood structures	36
2.4 \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces induced by uniformity	39
2.5 Generalized \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces	43
2.6 Proximizability of generalized \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces	47
2.7 Conclusion	50

3	Proximity of Soft Sets	52
3.1	Compatibility of proximity of soft spaces	52
3.2	Generalized proximity of soft spaces	55
3.3	\mathcal{I} –basic proximity spaces	58
3.4	Soft \mathcal{I} –proximity spaces	61
3.5	Conclusions	64
4	Some Structures in Multiset Context	65
4.1	On multiset topologies	65
4.1.1	Note on "on multiset topologies"	69
4.2	Multiset proximity relations	70
4.3	Multiset topology induced by a multiset proximity . . .	73
4.4	Multiset proximal neighborhood structure	76
4.5	Multiset proximity mappings	81
4.6	Conclusion	84
5	Generalized Rough Multiset via Multiset Ideals	85
5.1	Note on rough multiset and its multiset topology . . .	86
5.2	Multiset ideals	87
5.3	Rough multiset via multiset ideals	89
5.4	New approaches of rough multiset approximations via multiset ideals	94
5.5	Conclusion	100
6	Mild Continuity Properties of Relations and Relators in Relator Spaces	102
6.1	Mild continuity	102
6.2	Proper, uniform and proximal mild continuities	109
6.3	Topological and paratopological mild continuities . . .	113
6.4	Conclusion	123
	Bibliography	125

Summary

The fundamental concept of Efremovič proximity space has been introduced by Efremovič [10]. In addition, Leader [27, 28] and Lodato [29, 30] have worked with weaker axioms than those of Efremovič proximity space enabling them to introduce an arbitrary topology on the underlying set. Furthermore, proximity relations are useful in solving problems based on human perception [40] that arise in areas such as image analysis [20]. The notion of ideal topological spaces was first studied by Kuratowski [26] and Vaidyanathaswamy [63, 64]. Compatibility of the topology with an ideal \mathcal{I} was first defined by Njastad [36]. In 1990, Jankovic and Hamlett [24] investigated further properties of ideal topological spaces.

In 1999, Molodtsov [33] proposed the novel concept of soft set theory, which provides a completely new approach for modeling vagueness and uncertainty. Soft set theory has a rich potential for applications in several directions, few of which were shown by Molodtsov in [33]. After Molodtsov's work, some different applications of soft sets were studied in Chen et al. [8]. Further theoretical aspects of soft sets were explored by Maji et al. [32]. Also the same authors [31] presented the definition of a fuzzy soft set. The algebraic nature of the soft set has been studied by several researchers. Aktas and Cagman [2] initiated soft groups, and Feng [12] defined soft semirings. Babitha and John [3] defined soft set relations and functions. Yang and Guo [67] introduced kernels and closures of soft set relations. Hazra et al. [23] introduced the notion of basic proximity of soft sets. Also, the same authors [22] proposed the notion of soft proximity.

In classical set theory, a set is a well-defined collection of distinct objects. If repeated occurrences of any object is allowed in a set, then a mathematical structure, that is known as multiset (mset [4] or bag

[66], for short). Thus, a mset differs from a set in the sense that each element has a multiplicity a natural number not necessarily one that indicates how many times it is a member of the mset. One of the most natural and simplest examples is the mset of prime factors of a positive integer n . The number 400 has the factorization $400 = 2^4 5^2$ which gives the mset $\{2, 2, 2, 2, 5, 5\}$. Also, the cubic equation $x^3 - 5x^2 + 3x + 9 = 0$ has roots 3, 3 and -1 which give the mset $\{3, 3, -1\}$.

Classical set theory is a basic concept to represent various situations in mathematical notation where repeated occurrences of elements are not allowed. But in various circumstances repetition of elements become mandatory to the system. For example, a graph with loops, there are many hydrogen atoms, many water molecules, many strands of identical DNA etc. This leads to effectively three possible relations between any two physical objects; they are different, they are the same but separate, or they are coinciding and identical. For example, ammonia NH_3 , with three hydrogen atoms, say H , H and H , and one nitrogen atom, say N . Clearly H and N are different. However H , H and H are the same but separate, while H and H are coinciding and identical. There are many other examples, for instance, carbon dioxide CO_2 , sulfuric acid H_2SO_4 , and water H_2O etc.

The overall aim of this thesis is to increase the stock of knowledge about proximity relations and some related structures. Specifically we aim to:

- Introduce new approaches of proximity relations based on ideal notion.
- Investigate new approaches of proximity relations based on soft set notion.
- Introduce examples of multiset topologies are not tackled before.
- Extending the notions of compact, proximity relations, proximal neighborhood and proximity mappings to the multiset context.
- Find way to reduce the boundary region of rough sets in the multiset context.
- Study the notion of mild continuity in relator spaces and its properties.

This Thesis includes six chapters as follow:

Chapter 1 has a collection of all basic definitions and notions for further study.

In **Chapter 2**, a new approach of proximity structure based on the ideal notion has been introduced. For $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi\}$, we have the Efremovič proximity structure [10] and for the other types of \mathcal{I} , we have many types of proximity structures. Some results on this new approach have obtained and one of the important results: every \ast -normal T_1 space is \mathcal{I} -proximizable space (Theorem 2.2.5). Moreover, $\delta_{\mathcal{I}}$ -neighborhood in an \mathcal{I} -proximity space has been introduced. This provides an alternative description to the study of \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces. Furthermore, the operator \ast on $P(X)$ with respect to an ideal and uniformity \mathfrak{U} on X has been introduced and various properties of it are investigated. The new generated uniformity via ideal is presented which generated a topology $\tau^*(\mathfrak{U})$ finer than the old one. In addition, $\tau^*(\mathfrak{U}) = \tau_{\delta_{\mathcal{I}}}$ is proved. The notion of generalized proximity has been introduced via the concept of ideal in the ordinary topology. In addition to that, the notions of \mathcal{I} -Leader, \mathcal{I} -Pervin, and \mathcal{I} -Lodato proximities have been introduced. It is shown that the relation between the topology generated via these proximities and the topology τ^* which generated via ideal.

It should be noted that some results of this chapter are published as follow:

- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *\mathcal{I} -proximity spaces*, Jökull Journal **63** (2013), 237-245.
- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *Generalized \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces*, Mathematical Sciences Letters **3** (2014), 173-178.
- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh, A. Zakaria, *On \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Information Science Letters. Accepted.

In **Chapter 3**, a new approaches of proximity and generalized proximity based on the soft set have been introduced. It is shown that every soft T_4 -space is compatible with a proximity relation on $P(X)^E$. In addition, every soft space is compatible with a Pervin proximity relation on $P(X)^E$. It is also shown that every soft R_o -space is compatible

with a Lodato proximity relation on $P(X)^E$. Furthermore, we introduced a new approach of soft proximity structure based on the ideal notion. For $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi\}$, we have the soft proximity structure [22] and for the other types of \mathcal{I} , we have many types of soft proximity structures.

It should be noted that some results of this chapter are published as follow:

- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *New structures of proximity spaces*, Information Sciences Letters **3** (2014), 85-89.
- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *Soft \mathcal{I} -proximity spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **9** (2015), 675-682.

Chapter 4, is an attempt to explore the theoretical aspects of msets by extending the notions of compact, proximity relation, proximal neighborhood and proximity mappings to the mset context. Examples of new mset topologies, open msets cover, compact mset and many identities involving the concept mset are introduced. A mset proximity relations and an integral examples of mset proximity are obtained. In addition, a mset topology induced by a mset proximity relation on a mset M and study its principal properties. The concept of mset δ -neighborhood in the mset proximity space which furnishes an alternative approach to the study of mset proximity spaces has been introduced. Furthermore, the mset proximity mappings and its properties are introduced.

It should be noted that some results of this chapter are submitted as follow:

- A. Zakaria, *Note on "on multiset topologies"*. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **10** (5) (2015), 13-14.
- A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *Some structures in multiset context*. Submitted.

Chapter 5 is an attempt to explore a new approach of rough mset to decrease the boundary region and increase the accuracy measure. We show that an alleged properties stated in [16] are invalid in general,

by giving a counter-examples. A new approach of ideals in the context of msets on the lattice of all submsets with the order relation as the mset inclusion has been introduced. Properties of mset ideals are studied. The R^* – upper and R_* – lower mset approximations via mset ideals have been mentioned. These definitions are different from Girish et al.’s definitions [16, 18] and more general. If $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi\}$, then our mset approximations coincide with Girish et al.’s mset approximations. So, Girish et al.’s mset approximations are special case of our mset approximations. Moreover, Properties of these mset approximations are studied. Furthermore, an mset topology via this new approach has been introduced. This mset topology is finer than Girish et al.’s one. Moreover, this new approach leads to decrease the boundary region and increase the accuracy measure. In addition, the boundary of a submset decreases as the mset ideal on a nonempty mset M increases. Finally, varied examples are introduced to show the significance of this new approach.

It should be noted that some results of this chapter are published as follow:

- S. J. John, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *Generalized rough multiset via multiset ideals*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems. Accepted.
- S. A. El-Sheikh and A. Zakaria, *Note on "rough multiset and its multiset topology"*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **10** (2015), 235-238.

In **Chapter 6**, Á. Száz and A. Zakaria continue the investigations initiated by Á. Száz [45, 50, 51, 53, 54, 58, 59] on the basic continuity properties of a single relation, and also of a pair of relations, on one relator (generalized uniform) space to another. Furthermore, the notion of mild continuity in relator spaces has been introduced. Moreover, several useful consequences of mild continuity have been mentioned. Finally, the properties of proper, uniform, proximal, topological and paratopological mild continuity have been presented.

It should be noted that some results of this chapter are published as follow:

- Á. Száz and A. Zakaria, *Mild continuity properties of relations*

and relators in relator spaces, Tech. Rep., Inst. Math., Univ. Debrecen 2015/1, 65 pp.

- Á. Száz and A. Zakaria, *Mild continuity properties of relations and relators in relator spaces*, Essays in Mathematics and its Applications: In Honor of Vladimir Arnold. To appear 2016.

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

The purpose of this chapter is to present a short survey of some needed definitions and theories of the material used in this thesis.

1.1 Some basic concepts of topological structures

Definition 1.1.1 [11] *Let X be a nonempty set. A class τ of subsets of X is called a topology on X if τ satisfies the following axioms:*

1. $X, \emptyset \in \tau$,
2. Arbitrary union of members of τ belongs to τ ,
3. The intersection of any two sets in τ belongs to τ .

The member of τ is called τ -open set, or simply open set, and the pair (X, τ) is called a topological space. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a closed set if its complement A^c is an open set.

Definition 1.1.2 [11] *Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two topologies on a set X . τ_1 is said to be finer than τ_2 or τ_2 is said to be coarser than τ_1 if every τ_2 -open set is τ_1 -open.*

Definition 1.1.3 [26] *Let $P(X)$ be the class of all subsets of X . If $h : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is a function satisfying*

1.1. SOME BASIC CONCEPTS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

1. $h(\emptyset) = \emptyset$,
2. $A \in P(X) \Rightarrow A \subseteq h(A)$,
3. $A, B \in P(X) \Rightarrow h(A \cup B) = h(A) \cup h(B)$, and
4. $A \in P(X) \Rightarrow h(h(A)) = h(A)$,

then h is called a Kuratowski's closure operator and the family

$$\tau_h = \{A \in P(X) : h(A^c) = A^c\}$$

is a topology on X .

Theorem 1.1.1 [26] Let $P(X)$ be the class of all subsets of X . If $K : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is a function satisfying

1. $K(\emptyset) = \emptyset$,
2. $K(A \cup B) = K(A) \cup K(B)$,
3. $K(K(A)) \subseteq K(A)$.

Then $cl : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined by $cl(A) = A \cup K(A)$ is a Kuratowski's closure operator on $P(X)$.

Definition 1.1.4 [11] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $\beta \subseteq \tau$. Then β is called a base for the topology τ if it satisfies one of the following conditions:

1. Every open set $G \in \tau$ is a union of members of β .
2. For any point p belonging to an open set G , there exists $B \in \beta$ with $p \in B \subseteq G$.

Theorem 1.1.2 [34] Let $\beta \subseteq P(X)$. β is a topological basis iff the following assertions are satisfied:

1. $\cup\{B : B \in \beta\} = X$
2. Given $B_1, B_2 \in \beta$ and $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, there exists $B \in \beta$ such that $x \in B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.