

# **The Effect of Platelet Rich Fibrin in Bardach Two Flaps Palatoplasty Technique for Primary Cleft Palate Repair; A Comparative Study**

*Thesis*

**Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery**

*By*

**Reem Mohammed Samir**

B.D.S. (Ain Shams University) 2006  
M.Sc. (Cairo University) 2013

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Dr. Marwa Abd El-wahab El-kassaby**

**Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams University**

**Dr. Amr Amin Ghanem**

**Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams University**

**Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine  
Ain Shams University**

**2017**

A decorative floral frame with a central yellow oval containing the text. The frame is adorned with green leaves and pink flowers.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ  
وَاتَّقُوا اللَّهَ وَيُعَلِّمُكُمُ اللَّهُ  
وَاللَّهُ بِكُلِّ شَيْءٍ عَلِيمٌ

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ  
سُورَةُ الْبَقَرَةِ - آيَةُ ٢٨٢



## Acknowledgement

First, I feel always indebted to **Allah**, the Most Kind and the Most Merciful.

I would like also to express my deep appreciation and gratitude **Prof. Dr. Marwa Abd El-wahab El-kassaby**, Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams University, for her unlimited help, great efforts and time she has devoted to accomplish this work. I really have the honor to complete this work under her supervision.

I am deeply grateful to **Dr. Amr Amin Ghanem**, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams University, for his unlimited help; the great efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.

I can't forget to thank with all appreciation **Dr. Vellore Kaman Gapinath**, who collaborated in nutritional calculation aided by (Nutrical version 11) software.

Finally, I wish to extend my thanks to my **Family** for their care and support.

 **Reem Mohammed Samir**

## **List of Contents**

<i><b>Subject</b></i>	<i><b>Page No.</b></i>
List of Abbreviations .....	i
List of Tables .....	iv
List of Figures .....	v
Introduction .....	1
Review of Literature.....	2
Aim of the Work .....	36
Patients and Methods .....	37
Results.....	65
Discussion .....	88
Summary and Conclusion .....	115
Recommendations.....	117
References.....	118
Appendices .....	I
Arabic Summary .....	—

---

## List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
<b>AEP</b>	: Alveolar extension palatoplasty
<b>BCLP</b>	: Bilateral Cleft lip and palate
<b>bFGF</b>	: Basic Fibroblastic Growth Factor
<b>CBC</b>	: Complete blood count
<b>CCC</b>	: Cleft Care Center
<b>CP</b>	: Cleft palate
<b>CTGF</b>	: Connective tissue growth factor
<b>DPOI</b>	: Daily postoperative oral intake
<b>ENT</b>	: Nose, and throat care
<b>FDP</b>	: Fibrinogen degradation products
<b>FLACC</b>	: Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, and Consolability
<b>GBR</b>	: Guided bone regeneration
<b>GTR</b>	: Guided tissue regeneration
<b>IGF</b>	: Insulin like growth factor
<b>IV</b>	: Intravenous
<b>Kcal</b>	: Kilocalories
<b>L-PRF</b>	: Leucocyte and PRF

<b>MSC</b>	: Mesenchymal stem cells
<b>NAT</b>	: Nucleic acid Amplification Testing
<b>NG group</b>	: Nasogastric tube group.
<b>NS</b>	: Non-significant (NS)
<b>OME</b>	: Otitis media with effusion
<b>PDGF</b>	: Platelet-derived growth factor
<b>PLLA</b>	: Poly- (L—lactic) acid
<b>P-PRF</b>	: Pure platelet-rich fibrin
<b>PRF</b>	: Platelet Rich Fibrin
<b>PRP</b>	: Platelets rich plasma
<b>RBCs</b>	: Red blood cells
<b>RCT</b>	: Randomized controlled clinical trial
<b>SCTG</b>	: Sub-epithelial connective tissue graft
<b>SPSS</b>	: Statistical Program for Social Science
<b>TGF- <math>\beta</math></b>	: Tumor growth factor-beta
<b>UCLP</b>	: Unilateral cleft palate
<b>VEGF</b>	: Vascular endothelial growth factor
<b>VWK</b>	: Veau-Wardill-Kilner
<b>WBCs</b>	: White blood cells

## List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>Table (1):</b>	Distribution and presentations of CP between groups .....	65
<b>Table (2):</b>	Age distribution between the study groups:.....	66
<b>Table (3):</b>	The cleft measurements' comparison between the study and control groups: .....	67
<b>Table (4):</b>	Detailed description of the preoperative findings in the study group cases: .....	68
<b>Table (5):</b>	Detailed description of the preoperative findings in the control group cases: .....	68
<b>Table (6):</b>	Intraoperative assessment variables detailed display and comparison of the groups' intraoperative outcomes: .....	69
<b>Table (7):</b>	Detailed display of the daily postoperative pain score during the first four postoperative days in the study group: .....	70
<b>Table (8):</b>	Estimation of Daily postoperative pain recovery by comparing the differences between postoperative pain score to the successor day in the study group: .....	72
<b>Table (9):</b>	Detailed display of the daily postoperative pain score during the first three postoperative days in control group: .....	74
<b>Table (10):</b>	Estimation of the daily postoperative pain recovery in the first three postoperative days by comparing postoperative pain score to the successor day, in the control group: .....	75
<b>Table (11):</b>	Comparison of daily postoperative pain scores in the first three postoperative days between groups: .....	76
<b>Table (12):</b>	Estimation of the daily efficiency of early postoperative oral function recovery by comparing the daily postoperative oral intake (Kcal) to preoperative level, in the study group:.....	78
<b>Table (13):</b>	Estimation of the daily efficiency of early postoperative oral function recovery by comparing the daily postoperative oral intake (Kcal) to preoperative level, in the control group: .....	79
<b>Table (14):</b>	The difference of the postoperative oral intake for 14 days between the study and control groups: .....	84

<b>Table (15):</b> Difference between preoperative oral intake record and mean of the daily postoperative diet for 14 days in the study group. ....	84
<b>Table (16):</b> Difference between preoperative modified diet record and mean of the daily postoperative diet for 14 days in control group:.....	85



## List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Direct intraoperative cleft width measurement. ....	43
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Intraoperative infants repositioning and Digman fitted in place. Intra and extra-oral scrubbing were done.....	44
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Dimensional change after injection of local anesthesia. Note the difference of cleft width at the junctional area after injection of local anesthesia (A,B) .....	45
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Reflection of palatal layer. ....	47
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Reflection of nasal mucosa at the palatine shelf edge.....	48
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Faulty oblique muscles' strips attachment to posterior palatal edge.	49
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Muscular dissection with detachment of muscle from posterior palatal edge, nasal mucosa and oral layer.....	50
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Centrifuged blood sample.....	51
<b>Figure (9):</b>	PRF layer after separating it from other layers. ....	52
<b>Figure (10):</b>	The compressed PRF membrane. ....	52
<b>Figure (11):</b>	PRF membrane placed oral to sutured nasal mucosa. ....	53
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Suturing PRF membrane to the nasal layer to secure PRF membrane in place.	54
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Repaired nasal mucosa with interrupted vicryl sutures.....	55

<b>Figure (14):</b> Anterior stop suture to secure the posteriorly repositioned muscle sling. This sutures the oral layer to nasal layer just anterior to reconstructed muscle sling. ....	56
<b>Figure (15):</b> Reconstructed palatal mucosa. Midline sutures and lateral sutures created a continuous palatal layer. Note the absence of bare bone laterally.....	56
<b>Figure (16):</b> Relative and Absolute cleft measurements. ....	60
<b>Figure (17):</b> Bar chart showing the progression of postoperative pain score relief in the study group.....	73
<b>Figure (18):</b> Bar chart showing the progression of postoperative pain relief in the control group. ....	75
<b>Figure (19):</b> Bar chart showing the differences of the daily postoperative pain score between both groups in the first three days. ....	76
<b>Figure (20):</b> Bar chart representing the difference between oral intake between the preoperative oral intake and the three postoperative days' oral intake, in the study group and control group.....	80
<b>Figure (21):</b> Bar chart representing the difference of postoperative oral intake in the first three days between the study and control groups. ....	81
<b>Figure (22):</b> Bar chart representing the differences of preoperative oral intake and the postoperative oral intake in the first week in the study group and the control group.....	82
<b>Figure (23):</b> Bar chart representing the difference of the postoperative oral intake in the first week between the study and control groups. ....	83

<b>Figure (24):</b> Graph showing the daily postoperative oral intake for two weeks in the study and control groups. ....	85
<b>Figure (25):</b> Stage one optimal wound healing at the sixth month postoperatively.....	86
<b>Figure (26):</b> Stage three wound healing exhibiting oral mucosa dehiscence. The picture was taken at the eighth week postoperatively.....	87
<b>Figure (27):</b> Bifid uvula observed at six months of surgical closure.....	87

## Abstract

**Background:** Wide cleft palates and relatively wide cases with deficient palatal tissues are significant contributors to postoperative palatal fistula. Trails to optimize healing in such cases were carried out. Use of absorbable membranes was carried out to act as a scaffold attracting cellular action and revascularization. **Aim of the Work:** To assess the effect of using Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF) to aid primary cleft palate repair in dimensionally challenging CPs, and to assess its effect on healing, postoperative pain, and early postoperative oral function recovery. **Patients and Methods:** The study was conducted after approval of the Research Ethical Committee at Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. **Patients selection:** Fourteen patients presenting with primary cleft palate (CP) larger than ten millimeters at the widest area, were selected from those attending the Cleft Care Center (CCC), Oral and Maxillofacial Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Sham University. All should fulfill these **Inclusion criteria:** **Cleft related criteria:** Unilateral, bilateral cleft lip and palate and isolated cleft palates. Patients must have hard palate cleft (Post-incisive foramen cleft), Gap width at the posterior edge of the hard palate is at least 10mm, Relatively deficient tissues, where ratio of palatal width to the sum of palatal tissue at the posterior edges of palatal shelves is at least 0,5, Primary cleft palate repair (no previous attempts of CP repair). **Results:** The present study showed highly significant regression in postoperative pain score upon using PRF as adjunct to the surgical repair. The daily postoperative pain score in each day of the successive 3 postoperative days in the study group showed significantly less pain as compared to the control group. **Conclusion:** Surgical technique remains the paramount for achieving anatomical closure in cleft lip and palate cases. AEP provides a dependable surgical technique for missing the possibilities of fistula formation in primary and secondary CP repair. PRF can be considered as a biologically useful adjunct in CP surgery. Nutrition is an aspect that is affected in infants following CP surgeries. Monitoring pain and nutrition using graded scores provide valuable information regarding the general condition of the patients with CP in the early postoperative phase. The ad vocation of PRF combined with AEP proved worthy of further research and investigation. Further investigations to assess the outcomes in CP surgeries are worthy requested. **Recommendations:** AEP is a technique that should receive more support for cleft center. This is attributed to its rule in minimizing the occurrence of palatal fistula. A paradigm shift towards more nutrition based analysis is strongly recommended in the field of CP management. Further studies with a large study sample are needed to evaluate the effect of PRF in the surgical management of challenging wide CP. Effect of PRF on postoperative pain had been surgically explained. Biological explanation is vitally required to describe the detailed effect of PRF on soft tissue healing. Using a graded numerical scales aids in quantifying and assessment of postoperative pain in infants. Close follow up following CP surgery is essential. This is not only to focus on the physical status, but rather to provide parental guidance and ensure postoperative nutritional support. The use of nasogastric tubes postoperatively in CP patients should be decreased on case to case basis.

---

**Key words:** Cleft palates, postoperative palatal fistula, Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF)

---

# Introduction

Palatogenesis takes place during weeks 8-12 in human intrauterine life. Palatal formation takes place by merging of both the frontonasal and maxillary prominences. Frontonasal prominence gives rise to the median palatine process. Whereas, the maxillary prominences gives rise to the lateral palatine processes. All three derived elements are initially widely separated. During the eighth week of gestation, they start fusion from anterior to posterior. By the end of the eight week, the palate is completely formed. <sup>(1,2)</sup>

The fusion of these processes may be interrupted at any point. Interruption of palatogenesis results in cleft palate, which appears as an oronasal opening of variable presentations. The earlier the interruption, the more anatomically significant the cleft defect. <sup>(2)</sup>

Our aim was to prevent the drawback, following primary closure of cleft palate (CP). especially in dimensionally challenging CP. Dimensionally challenging CP is a term that was widely used. That is why studies were concerned with setting specific criteria of dimensionally challenging CPs. Furthermore, studies were concerned with investigating the effects of dimensionally challenging CP on the surgical outcomes.

## **Review of Literature**

**T**he overall incidence of oral clefts (excluding bifid uvula) is estimated to be 1 in 750 live births, making clefts the second most common congenital defect after clubfoot. <sup>(3)</sup> About 30% of oral clefts are accompanied with syndromes and 70% are non-syndromic. <sup>(4)</sup> The subdivision into non-syndromic and syndromic is important. The incidence of CP among families in non-syndromic CL/P and CP rarely occur again with incidence rate of (2-6%) <sup>(5,6)</sup>. While syndromic cases have a strong association with specific genetic mutations with a higher inheritance risk (passed down through families). <sup>(4)</sup>

Cleft palate presentation differs, but it had been categorized in various classification systems. These classifications helped documentation, communicating, comparing, and analyzing results. The classification by Davis and Ritchie divides cleft lip and palate into 3 groups, according to the extent of the cleft, as follows: group I - Clefts anterior to the alveolus (unilateral, median, or bilateral cleft lip), group II - Postalveolar clefts (cleft palate alone, soft palate alone, soft palate and hard palate, or submucous cleft, and group III- Complete palatal cleft. <sup>(7)</sup>

The Veau classification system comprises 4 groups, which are as follows: group I – Defects of the soft palate only, Group II – Defects involving the hard palate and soft palate, group III – Defects involving the soft palate to the alveolus, usually involving the lip, group IV – Complete bilateral clefts. <sup>(5)</sup>

The International Confederation of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery classification system uses an embryonic framework to divide clefts into 3 groups, with further subdivisions to denote unilateral or bilateral cases, as follows: group I – Defects of the lip or alveolus, group II – Clefts of the secondary palate (hard palate, soft palate, or both), group III – Any combination of clefts involving the primary and secondary palates <sup>(6)</sup>.

The challenge in management of CP is the sequelae of the congenital anomaly as well as those of the surgical intervention itself. Cleft palate adversely affects the appearance, speech, hearing, feeding, and facial growth. <sup>(6)</sup> Surgical intervention can neutrally, positively, or even negatively affect these functions. For speech, the anomaly adversely affects the sound production. Cleft palate patients suffer from failure to produce high pressure and air escape due to lack of structural seal between oral and nasal cavities, along with the resultant hypernasality. In addition, lacking enough palatal surface area for articulation in special sounds also adds the suffering cleft palate patient. <sup>(8)</sup>

Hearing disabilities are co-factors in speech problems. Diminished hearing capabilities and even hearing loss are associated with cleft palate. CPs negatively affects hearing. The pathophysiology of this negative effect is attributed to the pertinent anatomy of the middle ear.

The middle ear is a cavity formed as an extension of nasopharynx by a connecting channel known as Eustachian tube. <sup>(8)</sup> The Eustachian tube is the ventilator of the middle ear, it acts to neutralize