Assessment of Vestibular Functions in Cochlear Implant Children

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of MD in Audiology

Eman Mohamed Galal Mohamed

(M.Sc Audiology)

Under Supervision of

Prof.Dr. Nagwa Mohamed Abdel Monem Hazzaa

Professor of Audiology ENT department Ain-Shams University

Prof.Dr. Hassan Ahmed Hassan Wahba

Professor of Otorhinolaryngology ENT department Ain-Shams University

Prof. Dr. Adel Ibrahim Abdel Maksoud Nassar

Professor of Audiology ENT department Ain-Shams University

Dr. Lobna Hamed Khalil

Assistant Professor of Audiology ENT department Ain-Shams University

> Faultily of Medicine Ain shams University 2015



Acknowledgment

I would like to express my greatest gratitude and deep indebtedness to **Prof.Dr.Nagwa Hazzaa**, Professor of Audiology, Faculty

of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for her precious guidance, sincere supervision, and continuous encouragement.

I would also like to thank **Prof Dr Hassan Wahba**, Professor of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for his valuable advice and continuous encouragement.

Certainly I will never forget the meticulous revision of this work and fabulous constructive remarks of **Prof Dr. Adel Abdel Maksoud,** Professor of Audiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University.

Special thanks to **Dr Lobna Hamed**, Assistant Professor of Audiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University for her continuous guidance and support

All my appreciation is due to all my colleagues who offered me continuousencouragement. I am truly thankful and highly grateful to all children and their parents who participated in this work.

Last but not least, to my family to whom I dedicate this work, with their ultimate support, valuable efforts and encouragement.

Eman Galal

بسم اللة الرحمن الرحيم "قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا الا ما علمتنا انك انت العليم الحكيم "

صدق اللة العظيم

سورة البقرة - الاية (32)

List of Contents

Content	Page
List of Abbreviation	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iv
Introduction and Rationale	1-3
Aims of the Work	4
Review of the Literature:	
Chapter 1: Embryological and Physiological Development of Vestibular System	5 - 21
Chapter 2: Vestibular Functions in Children Hearing with Loss	22 - 48
Chapter 3:Effect of Cochlear Implantation (CI) on Vestibular Function	49 - 64
Methodology	65 - 91
Results	92 – 126
Discussion	127- 151
Conclusions	152
Recommendations	153
Summary	154 – 157
References	158 - 181

Appendix 182

Arabic Summary

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation Synonym

ABC Activities-specific Balance Confidence

ACC Spinal accessory nerve

ACS Air conducted sound

AR Asymmetry ratio

ADT Adaptation test

BCV Bone conducted vibrations

BOT Bruininks-Oseretsky Test

CDP Computerized Dynamic Posturography

COP Centre of Pressure

cVEMP Cervical Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potential

DHI Dizziness Handicap Inventory

EMG Electromyography

FGI Functional Gait Index

FNS Facial Nerve Stimulation

HTT Head Thrust Test

HST Head Shake Test

HSN Head Shake nystagmus

mCTSIB Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction for

Balance

msec Millisecond

MCT Motor Control test

MVST Medial vestibulospinal tract

oVEMP Ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potential

RWA Round window approach

OLS One leg stance

SNHL Sensorineural hearing loss

SOT Sensory Organization test

SCM Sternomastoid muscle

ST Scala tympani

uv Microvolt

VNC Vestibular nuclear complex

VNG Videonystagmography

VOR Vestibule-ocular reflex

List of Figures

Figure 1 Formation of the otic vesicles from thickened otic placodes

Figure 2 Development of membranous labyrinth

Figure 3 Scanning electron microscopic appearance connections between the inferior vestibular and the cochlear nerve bundles within the IAC. Pediatric optokinetic stimuli available in Interacoustics Figure 4 Figure 5 The examiner used a toy while gaze testing with the child on his lap. Head impulse test in a child (illustration) Figure 6 Vision optotypes Figure 7 Figure 8 The cVEMP pathway The vestibulo-ocular reflex pathway for oVEMPs. Figure 9 Vestibular fibrosis: vestibule is filled with fibrous tissue. Figure 10 Insertion of an electrode array into the ST through Figure 11 cochleostomy Balance Beam Figure 12 Figure 13 Bilateral oVEMP normal response Figure 14 Bilateral cVEMP normal response

CDP composite scores plotted versus no of cases.

Figure 15

Table 1	Summary of maturational milestones
Table 2	Showed BOT subtests and their raw and point scores
Table 3	Distribution and comparison between control and study groups as regards age & gender
Table 4	Distribution of different etiologies of hearing loss in the study group
Table 5	Distribution of preoperative complaints in the study group
Table 6	Distribution of the preoperative number of cases among the answers in each question
Table 7	Comparison between Right and Left ears in the control group as regards oVEMP response parameters
Table 8	Distribution of oVEMP responses among the study group preoperatively
Table 9	Comparison between control group and non- Implanted ear of the study group as regards oVEMP responses parameters
Table 10	Comparison between control group and Implanted ear of the study group as regards oVEMP responses parameters:
Table 11	Distribution of preoperative VOR office tests results in study group: HTT & HST
Table 12	Comparison between Right and Left ears in the control group as regards cVEMP response parameters
Table 13	Distribution of cVEMP response among the study group preoperatively
Table 14	Comparison between control group and non- Implanted ear of the study group as regards cVEMP responses parameters:
Table 15	Comparison between control group and Implanted ear of the study group as regards cVEMP responses parameters:

Table 16	Distribution of preoperative postural control and gait office tests results in study group
Table 17	Comparison between control and study groups as regards BOT and FGI tests
Table 18	Profile of cases with preoperative complaint of imbalance
Table19	Correlation between history of delayed walking and cVEMP bilateral responses
Table 20	Correlation between history of delayed walking and oVEMP bilateral responses
Table 21	Correlation between history of delayed walking and BOT scores
Table 22	Radiological findings in the 2 cases with radiological abnormalities
Table 23	Profile of the 2 cases with abnormal radiological studies
Table 24	Distribution of complaints among study group
Table 25	Distribution of oVEMP responses in the implanted ear pre and postoperatively 1 & 3-6 months follow up
Table 26	Comparison between preoperative and 1 month post-operative oVEMPs response parameters
Table 27	Comparison between 1 month and 3-6 month postoperative oVEMPs responses parameters
Table 28	Correlation between pre and 1 month postoperative oVEMPs responses
Table 29	Correlation between 1month postoperative and 3-6 months postoperative oVEMP responses
Table 30	Distribution of VOR office tests results in study group pre and postoperative
Table 31	Distribution of cVEMP unmodified/stable responses pre, 1 m and 3-6 months postoperative:
Table 32	Comparison between preoperative and 1 month post-operative

	cVEMPs response parameters in the cases with only preserved responses pre and postoperatively
Table 33	Comparison between 1month and 3-6 months post-operative cVEMPs response parameters in the cases with only preserved responses pre and postoperatively
Table 34	Comparison between preoperative and 3-6 months post- operative cVEMPs response parameters in the cases with only preserved responses pre and postoperatively
Table 35	Comparison between pre and 1 month post-implantation cVEMPs responses
Table 36	Comparison between 1 month post-implantation cVEMPs responses and 3-6 month post-implantation cVEMPs responses
Table 37	Distribution of postural control test results in study group pre and postoperatively:
Table 38	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative Fukuda, mCTSIB and one-leg stance (OLS) tests
Table 39	Quantitative analysis for BOT and FGI total scores
Table 40	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative BOT and FGI total scores
Table 41	Distribution of results of SOT in cases postoperatively
Table 42	Distribution of abnormal conditions in cases with abnormal SOT postoperatively
Table 43	Comparison between SOT scores in study and control groups in age group (5-6 years old)
Table 44	Comparison between SOT scores in study and control groups in age group (7-8 years old)
Table 45	Correlation between SOT scores & BOT in 3-6 months follow up (static tests) of the study group.
Table 46	Correlation between CDP findings & cVEMP responses 3-6 months postoperatively (bilaterally)

Table 47	Correlation between CDP findings & oVEMP responses 3-6 months postoperatively (bilaterally)
Table 48	Test findings of cases with abnormal CDP
Table 49	Test findings of cases with worsening/deterioration in cVEMP response 3-6 months postoperatively
Table 50	Summary of distribution of cases who showed deterioration in their test results at 3-6 months postoperatively
Table 51	Distribution of cases that had cVEMP response through the CI Device according to the time of follow up
Table 52	Distribution of the preoperative cVEMP response among cases with cVEMP through the device
Table 53	Comparison between the distribution of cVEMP response through the CI and cVEMP response without the CI in 1month postoperative follow up
Table 54	Comparison between the distribution of cVEMP response through the CI and cVEMP response without the CI in 3-6months postoperative follow up
Table 55	Comparison between cVEMPs through the CI and cVEMP without the CI as regards response parameters in 1 month postoperative follow up
Table 56	Comparison between cVEMPs through the CI and cVEMP without the CI as regards response parameters in 3-6 months postoperative follow up
Table 57	Correlation between cVEMP response through CI with Facial nerve stimulation (FNS) in 1 month postoperative follow up
Table 58	Correlation between cVEMP response through CI with Facial nerve stimulation (FNS) in 3-6 months postoperative follow up

Introduction

& Rationale

Introduction and Rationale

It is well known that both the cochlea and vestibule are closely related anatomically and embryologically. They share the continuous membranous labyrinth of the inner ear and function by means of almost similar receptor cells. Hence, it is reasonable to presume that many subjects with hearing impairment have concomitant vestibular abnormalities (Singh et al., 2012).

Over the last 20 years, cochlear implantation (CI) became a widely accepted procedure for severe and profound hearing loss. However, cochlear implantation is

not without risk to the nearby vestibular organs; semicircular canals and otolith organs. This raises the risk that existing vestibular function could be dramatically attenuated by the cochlear implant (Jacot et al., 2009).

Research studies thathave examined the vestibular function following cochlear implantation focused mostly on adults and offered a wide range of conclusions (Basta et al., 2005). The percentage of occurrence of postoperativevertigo was highly variable, but at least, one third of the patients were affected (Fina et al., 2003). The variancein these studies results may result from multiple factors as; retrospective study design, lack of both pre- and postoperative testing of patients, devicestypes, surgical procedures, and testing paradigms (Buchman et al., 2004). In addition, in the CI pediatric candidates who may have significant vestibular impairment before implantation as a result of underlying inner ear pathology, the perceived effect of CI on vestibular function is not precisely estimated.

Recently, there has been a growing awareness of vestibular dysfunction in children with hearing impairment. Published reports have shown that vestibular dysfunction is found in 20–70% of children with hearing loss of different etiologies. Vestibular dysfunction in children, especially the hearing impaired, is a diagnostic challenge for clinicians because of their limited communication abilities and the vestibular evaluation procedures are difficult to use with them (Singh et al., 2012). Meanwhile, vestibular testing is not part of the standard battery of tests before or after cochlear implant surgery (Jacot et al., 2009).

Although numerous authors havereported vestibular evaluation techniques and normsfor children, these studies have primarily focused on theapplication and adaptation of adult testsas, Videonystagmography (VNG),computerized dynamic