



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية




بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل



ENGINEERING CHARACTERISTICS OF CENTRAL DELTA CLAY

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in Civil Engineering

In the field of Soil Mechanics and Foundations

BY

Eng. ASHRAF KAMAL NAZIR BEKHIT

Supervised by

Prof. Mohamed M. Bahloul

Bahloul
Prof. Eng. of Soil Mechanics
and Foundations
Faculty of Engineering
Tanta University

Prof. Osama M. El Shafee

El Shafee
Assistant Prof. of Soil Mechanics
and Foundations
Faculty of Engineering
Alexandria University

Dr. Mohamed A. Sakr

Lecturer of Soil Mechanics and
Foundations
Faculty of Engineering
Tanta University

Dr. Mohamed S. El-Shanawany

Lecturer of Soil Mechanics
and Foundations
Faculty of Engineering
Tanta University

M. El-Shanawany


2002

B. I. T. N. K.

EXAMINERS


Prof. Dr. Magda M. Abdel Rahman (external examiner)

Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.



Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. Mahmoud (external examiner)

Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Alexandria University.



Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Bahloul (supervisor)

Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Tanta University.



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank many people , without whom this research would never has been completed. I indebted to Professor Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Bahloul, Faculty of Engineering Tanta University, for his excellent supervision and valuable suggestions during every stage of this investigation. Also I wish to express my great gratitude to Pofessor Osama Mostafa El Shafee, Faculty of Engineering Alexandria University for his excellent advises and discussions during the whole stages in this investigation. Also I would like to thank Dr. Mohamed A. Sakr and Dr. Mohamed S. El-Shanawany , Faculty of Engineering Tanta University for their excellent advises and discussions during this research .

Finally I dedicated this thesis work the spirit of my mother, also I dedicated this work to my daughter, Mariam and my wife.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objective of This Study	1
1.3 Organization of Thesis	2
Chapter 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Introduction:	4
2.2 History of Nile Delta	4
2.3 Origin of the Nile Delta	6
2.4 Properties of Fine Grain Soil	8
2.4.1 Structure of Clay Minerals	8
2.4.2 Classification of Clay Minerals	9
2.4.2.1 Kaolinite group	9
2.4.2.1.1 Sub-group A	9
2.4.2.1.2 Sub-group B	10
2.4.2.2 Mica group	10
2.4.2.2.1 Illites Group	10
2.4.2.2.2 Montmorillonite Group	11
2.4.2.2.3 Vermiculites Group	11
2.4.2.3 Chlorite group	11
2.4.2.4 Mixed Layer ' <i>interstratified</i> ' Clay Minerals	11
2.5 Terzaghi Consolidation Theory	12
2.5.1 Coefficient of Consolidation	13
2.5.1.1 Log (time) Method (According to Casagrand)	13
2.5.1.2 Square –Root -Time Method (According to Taylor)	14
2.5.2 Secondary Compression	15
2.6 Factors Effectd on the Coefficient of Consolidation	16
2.6.1 Effect of Sample Thickness H (Drainage Path)	17

2.6.2 Effect of the Load Increment Ratio	17
2.6.3 Effect of Load Duration	17
2.7 Compression Index	17
2.8 Rheological Models	20
2.9 Non Linear Analysis of Stress and Strain in Soils	22
2.10 Hyperbolic Method for Consolidation Analysis	23
Chapter 3: EXPERIMENTAL WORK	31
3.1 Introduction	31
3.2 Borings	31
3.3 Soil Profiles and Stratification	31
3.4 Geotechnical Laboratory Study	32
3.4.1 The Tested layers	32
3.4.2 Laboratory Tests	32
3.4.3 Plasticity of studied samples	33
3.4.4 Activity of studied Clayey layer	33
3.4.5 One Dimensional Consolidation Testes Procedure	34
3.4.6 Grain Size Analysis	34
3.4.7 Shear Strength	35
3.4.8 Summary of Laboratory Tests Results	35
3.5 Clay Mineral and Analysis by X-Ray Diffraction	38
3.5.1 X-Ray Diffraction Analysis	38
3.5.1.1 Montmorillonite Minerals (Smectite)	39
3.5.1.2 Illite (Mica) Minerals	39
3.5.1.3 Kaolinite Minerals	39
3.6 Chemical Analysis	41

CHAPTER 4 : TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 57

4.1 Introduction	57
4.2 Stress Strain Relationship	57
4.3 Variations of Void Ratio with Vertical Stress	58
4.4 Relationship Between Atterberg Limits	61
4.5 Effect of the Clay Minerals on the Geotechnical Properties for Studied Soil	62
4.5.1 Effect of clay Minerals on Water Content of Soil	62
4.5.2 Effect of clay Minerals on the Atterberg Limits of clay	63
4.5.3 Effect of clay Minerals on the Initial Void Ratio	63
4.5.4 Effect of clay Minerals on the Compression Index and Swelling Index	64
4.6 Relationship Between the Compression Index, C_c and Vertical Effective pressure	65
4.7 Relationship Between the Compression Index, C_c and Soil Consistency (Atterberg Limits and Water content, W_c)	65
4.8 Variation of the Compression Index, C_c with Respect to the Unconfined Compressive Stress, q_u for the Studied Clay	67
4.9 Relationship Between the Initial Void Ratio, e_o of Studied Soil and Compression Index, C_c	68
4.10 Normalized Relationship Between the Initial Water content, W_c and the Compression Index, C_c	69
4.11 Variation in Coefficient of Consolidation under the Applied stress	69

CHAPTER 5 : PREDICTION OF 1-D STRAIN OF THE STUDIED OVER CONSOLIDATED CLAYEY LAYER 93

5.1 Introduction	93
------------------	----

5.2 Model definitions	94
5.3 Model Adjustment Using Test Results	94
5.4 Model Validity	95
5.5 Conclusions	97

CHAPTER 6: THEORETICAL STRESS - STRAIN BEHAVIOR FOR STUDIED CLAY

6.1 Introduction	113
6.2 Input of program	113
6.2.1 Elements	113
6.2.2 Nodes	114
6.2.3 Stress Points	114
6.3 Material model	114
6.3.1 Introduction	114
6.3.2 Different Models Available	115
6.3.2.1 Mohr-Coulmb model (MC):	115
6.3.2.2 Hardening-Soil model (HS):	115
6.3.2.3 Soft-Soil-Creep model (SSC):	115
6.4 General Definitions of Stress and Strain	116
6.4.1 Elastic Strain	118
6.4.2 The Hardening Soil Model	118
6.4.2.1 Approximation of hyperbola by the Hardening Soil Model	119
6.5 Design Chart for the Allowable Bearing Capacity for the Studied Over Consolidated Layer	122
6.6 Comparison Between the Computed Consolidation Settlement and Those Calculated using Different equation	123

CHAPTER 7: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Summary	139
7.2 Conclusions	140
7.3 Recommendations for Future Researches	143

List of Figures

Figure	Title	page
Figure (2.1)	Mechanical Model of Consolidation Theory, after Terzaghi (1939)	25
Figure (2.2)	Square Root Time method, After Taylor (1942) for Computing the Time elapsed at 90 % Consolidation.	26
Figure (2.3)	Logarithm of Time method, After Casagrand (1940) for Computing the Time elapsed at 50 % Consolidation	26
Figure (2.4)	Determination of the Coefficient of Secondary Consolidation.	27
Figure (2.5)	Determination of the Coefficient of Secondary Consolidation Using the Relationship Between Void Ratio with Time for any Load Increment.	27
Figure (2.6)	Rheological Models Used for Characterization of Soil Creep Behavior.	28
Figure (2.7)	Hyperbolic Stress - Strain Curve	29
Figure(2.8)	Transformed Hyperbolic Stress – Strain Curve	29
Figure (2.9)	Schematic Representation of Hyperbolic Plot	30
Figure (3.1)	Locations of the Studied Bore-holes	43
Figure (3.2)	Soil profile at location (S1)	43
Figure (3.3)	Soil profile at location (S2)	44

Figure	Title	page
Figure (3.4)	Soil profile at location (S3)	44
Figure (3.5)	Soil profile at location (S4)	45
Figure (3.6)	Soil profile at location (S5)	45
Figure (3.7)	Soil profile at location (S6)	46
Figure (3.8)	Soil profile at location (S7)	46
Figure (3.9)	Soil profile at location (S8)	47
Figure (3.10)	Soil profile at location (S9)	47
Figure (3.11)	Soil profile at location (S10)	48
Figure (3.12)	Soil profile at location (S11)	48
Figure (3.13)	Soil profile at location (S12)	49
Figure (3.14)	Plasticity Chart for the Studied area	49
Figure (3.15)	Activity Chart for the Studied Area	50
Figure (3.16)	The Rang of Grain Size for the Studied Area	50
Figure (3.17)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for Shallow Depth , 2.0 meters at Location S1	51
Figure (3.18)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for deep Depth , 6.0 meters at Location S1	52

Figure	Title	page
Figure (3.19)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for deep Depth , 6.0 meters at Location S3	53
Figure (3.20)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for deep Depth , 6.0 meters at Location S4	54
Figure (3.21)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for Shallow Depth , 2.0 meters at Location S5	55
Figure (3.22)	X-Ray Diffraction for Tested Sample, for deep Depth , 6.0 meters at Location S6	56
Figure (4.1)	Vertical Effective Stress Versus Vertical Strain for Bore-Holes S1,S2,S3 and S4	72
Figure (4.2)	Vertical Effective Stress Versus Vertical strain for Bore-Holes S5,S6,S7 and S8	73
Figure (4.3)	Vertical Effective Stress Versus Vertical Strain for Bore-holes S9,S10,S11and S12	74
Figure (4.4)	Void Ratio Versus Effective Stress for Tested Samples Obtained from Bore-holes S1,S2,S3 and S4	75
Figure (4.5)	Void Ratio Versus Effective Stress for Tested Samples Obtained from Bore-boles S5,S6,S7 and S8	76
Figure (4.6)	Void Ratio Versus Effective Stress for Tested Samples Obtained from Bore-holes S9, S10, S11 and S10	77
Figure (4.7)	Relationship Between Change in Void Ratio e versus Vertical	78