



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بلله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل

**STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF MID-
TO LATE SEASON DROUGHT AND
POTASSIUM FERTILIZATION ON
WATER RELATIONS AND YIELD OF
SUGAR BEET CROP AT
NORTH DELTA**

B1.337

By

El-Said Hammad Mohamed Mohamed Omar

B.Sc. (Soil Sci.), Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., 1986

M.Sc. (Soil Sci.), Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., 1992

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree

of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Soil Science

Department of Soil Science

Faculty of Agriculture.

Kafr El-Sheikh

Tanta University

1998

Approval Sheet

Thesis Title : STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF MID- TO LATE
SEASON DROUGHT AND POTASSIUM
FERTILIZATION ON WATER RELATIONS AND
YIELD OF SUGAR BEET CROP AT NORTH DELTA

Name : El-Said Hammad Mohamed Mohamed Omar

Approved by

Prof. Dr. Salah El-Deen Ahmed Faizy

Prof. of Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mostafa

Prof. of Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Ibrahim

Prof. and Head of Soil Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta, Tanta University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Koriem

Prof. of Soil Physics, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

Date: / /1998

Committee in charge

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Effect of drought stress on sugar beet.....	3
2.1.1. Water relations	3
2.1.2. Root and shoot yield.....	6
2.1.3. Root quality and sugar yield.....	11
2.2. Effect of potassium fertilization on sugar beet.....	15
2.2.1. Root and shoot yields	15
2.2.2. Root quality and sugar yield.....	20
2.2.3. Potassium content and uptake	23
2.3.4. Sodium content and uptake.....	26
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.1. Climatic conditions of the experimental area	30
3.2. Experimental design	31
3.3. Water management.....	32
3.3.1. Irrigation control	32
3.3.2. Soil moisture content	33
3.4. Fluctuation of water table.....	33
3.5. Cultural practices	33
3.6. Water relations.....	34
3.7. Yield and yield components.....	35
3.8. Plant analysis.....	36
3.9. Soil analysis.....	37
3.10. Statistical analysis.....	38
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	39
4.1. Effect of drought periods on some sugar beet water-relations	39
4.1.1. Distribution of soil moisture content	39
4.1.2. Soil moisture depletion patterns	44
4.1.3. Water consumptive use.....	45
4.1.4. Applied irrigation water	48
4.1.5. Fluctuation of water table	50
4.2. Effect of drought periods and potassium fertilization on water use efficiency of sugar beet.....	52
4.3. Effect of drought periods and potassium fertilization on the osmotic pressure of root juice.....	57
4.4. Effect of drought periods and potassium fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet.....	63
4.4.1. Root yield.....	63
4.4.2. Root dry matter yield.....	66
4.4.3. Shoot yield	78
4.4.4. Shoot dry matter yield.....	70
4.4.5. Root length.....	72
4.4.6. Root diameter.....	75

4.4.7.	Sucrose percentage.....	77
4.4.8.	Juice purity.....	81
4.4.9.	Gross sugar yield.....	83
4.4.10.	White extractable sugar yield.....	85
4.5.	Effect of drought periods and potassium fertilization on sugar beet mineral composition.....	93
4.5.1.	Sodium concentration in shoots.....	95
4.5.2.	Sodium concentration in roots.....	99
4.5.3.	Sodium uptake in shoots.....	104
4.5.4.	Sodium uptake by roots.....	106
4.5.5.	Potassium concentration in shoots.....	111
4.5.6.	Potassium concentration in roots.....	116
4.5.7.	K:Na ratio in roots.....	121
4.5.8.	Potassium uptake by shoots.....	123
4.5.9.	Potassium uptake by roots.....	125
4.5.10.	Nitrogen concentration in shoots.....	127
4.5.11.	Nitrogen concentration in roots.....	132
4.5.12.	Phosphorus concentration in shoots.....	136
4.5.13.	Phosphorus concentration in roots.....	140
4.6.	Effect of drought periods and potassium fertilization on some soil chemical characteristics.....	144
4.6.1.	Electrical conductivity.....	144
4.6.2.	Soil reaction.....	145
4.6.3.	Soluble cations.....	150
4.6.4.	Soluble anions.....	150
4.6.5.	Exchangeable sodium percents.....	151
4.6.6.	Soil available potassium.....	156
5.	SUMMARY.....	163
6.	REFERENCES.....	173
ARABIC SUMMARY		

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Majlounour M. Ibrahim Prof. of Soil Science and Head of Soil Dept., Faculty of Agric., Tanta University for suggesting the investigation, his sincere supervision, kind encouragement and help during the preparation of this thesis.

Deep appreciation and gratitude are due to Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Koriem, Prof. of Soil Science, Soil Department, Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University for his kind encouragement and help during my studies, constructive criticism and guidance during the preparation of this work.

Deep appreciation and gratitude are due to Prof. Dr. Mohamed R. Khalifa, Prof. of Soil Science, Soil Department, Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University for his help during my studies, sincere guidance and valuable criticism during the progress of this study.

The author also would like express his deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Farouk I. Zein, Prof. of Soil Science and Head of Soil Physics and Chemistry, Dept., Sakha Agric., Res. Station for his continuous encouragement and providing facilities to carry out this work.

Thanks are also due to all staff members of Department of Soil Sci., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University and Soil Physics and Chemistry Dept., Sakha Agric. Res. Station for their assistance and help during the course of this study.

Sincere thanks are due to My Nephew and My Wife for their great help and assistance.

Introduction

I. INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet is the main crop for sugar production in Nile Delta region. It has become one of the major winter field crops in Egypt due to its high income to the farmers. Sugar beet can be irrigated with one fourth of the water used by sugar cane which considered the other source for sugar production around the world.

Most researches concerning water use by sugar beet crop showed that, in irrigated areas, early light irrigations are needed to assure seed germination to establish and maintain a good stand with vigorous early growth. Soil water during mid-season should be maintained at a favourable level to allow sufficient top growth and maintain leaf turgidity so as not to restrict the photosynthetic process, (Jensen and Erie, 1971). However, sugar beet have been credited with a rather wide range of response to mid and late season drought stress. Carter *et al.* (1980) showed that use of mid to late season deficit water management could substantially reduce sugar beet production costs in irrigated areas and economically benefit the consumer, producer and manufacturer.

Potassium fertilization for sugar beet crop became indispensable particularly in northern Delta soils (Genaidy, 1988). Potassium play an important role to overcome the high concentration of sodium which has a deteriorating effect on root quality of sugar beet. The influence of K on sugar accumulation in sugar beet is a function of its role in several individual biochemical and biophysical processes. It directly and indirectly affects photosynthesis, movement and utilization of assimilates, water transport, and osmoregulation turgor, the combined effects of which are manifested in both crop yield and quality. Therefore, sugar beet, in

common with other carbohydrate-producing root crops, has a high K requirement. This requirement is characterized by rapid uptake of K mainly during the period of foliage development and expansion by highly mobile subsequent distribution between the foliage and the storage roots (Herlihy, 1989).

The current study was carried out to study the effect of drought period at mid-to late growing season of sugar beet crop on its yield, quality and water relations. Consequently, to detect when irrigation should be terminated before harvest. Also, studying the effect of potassium fertilization under such conditions on yield and quality of sugar beet in none saline and saline alkaline soils.

Review
of
Literature

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1. Effect of drought stress on sugar beet:

2.1.1. Water relations:

Winter (1980) studied the suitability of sugar beet for limited irrigation in a semiarid climate. Irrigation treatments were composed of three week interval which was used as an arbitrary standard to represent what is considered to be a good management practice. Two additional treatments using the basic three week interval were included for comparison. The obtained results showed that sugar beet has made efficient use of available water even while subjected to an extended periods of drought stress. Irrigation scheduling had a considerable influence on the efficiency of seasonal applied water for irrigation. Seasonal water use efficiency was the highest where water application was adequate to maintain a nearly full canopy with no periods of major water stress or excessive water. He also concluded that water is more efficiently used if stress periods can be distributed over the growing season, so that several periods of light stress occur rather than fewer periods of more severe stress.

Ghariani (1981) reported that as water stress develops, actual water use was declined in relation to the potential rate of use by an unstressed crop. The rate of the decline depends on how quickly and how far the demand (evapotranspiration) outstrips the supply (rain, irrigation and available soil water). The decline in water use would have been even more severe because the soil had not held a large amount of available water at the start of the growing season.