## IMPROVEMENT OF PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS EFFICIENCY USE FOR CERTAIN SOILS OF EGYPT

By

#### MAHMOUD IBRAHIM ABD EL FATAH NOSAIR

B.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2002) M.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2010)

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Degree of

in
Agricultural Sciences
(Soil Science)

Department of Soil Science Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### **Approval sheet**

# IMPROVEMENT OF PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS EFFICIENCY USE FOR CERTAIN SOILS OF EGYPT

By

#### MAHMOUD IBRAHIM ABD EL FATAH NOSAIR

B.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2002) M.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2010)

| This thesis for P.hD. degree has been approved by:                   |
|--|
| Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Helmy Khater                                       |
| Researcher Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, National Research Center. |
| Dr. Ahmed Abdelfattah Ahmed Ibrahim                                  |
| Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams    |
| University.  |
| Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Mostafa                                    |
| Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams    |
| University.  |
| Dr. Abdel-Samad Salem Ismail Hegazy                                  |
| Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams    |
| University.  |

**Date of examination:** / 2017

# IMPROVEMENT OF PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS EFFICIENCY USE FOR CERTAIN SOILS OF EGYPT

By

#### MAHMOUD IBRAHIM ABD EL FATAH NOSAIR

B.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2002) M.Sc.Agric.Sc. (Soil science), Ain Shamus Univ. (2010)

#### **Under supervision of:**

#### Dr. Abdel-Samad Salem Ismail Hegazy

Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

#### Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Mostafa

Prof. Emeritus of Soil Science, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

#### Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rahman Ahmed Zaid

Head of Laboratories and Research Quality Sector of Abu Zaabal Fertilizers and Chemicals Company.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Mahmoud Ibrahim Nosair: Improvement of Phosphate Fertilizers Efficiency Use for Certain Soils of Egypt. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Soil Science. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017

Phosphorus is one of 17 nutrients essential for plant growth. Its functions cannot be performed by any other nutrient, and an adequate supply of P is required for optimum growth and reproduction. The present investigation was carried out to study the effect of application of different granular single supper phosphate (GSSP) fertilizers treatments on availability of phosphorus in different soils. To fulfill the aims of this study, Firstly, an incubation experiment was done to study the effect of some organic materials (vinasse and humic acid) in combination with super-phosphate on the availability of phosphorus in both clay and sandy soils. The best treatments were GSSP coated with vinasse (100%) and GSSP coated with humic acid (20%) for both clay and sandy soils. Secondly, two field experiments in clay and sandy soils were carried out to study the effect of these different treatments of supper phosphate fertilizers (the best treatments of incubation experiment) on phosphorus uptake by wheat plants. The results showed that the best treatments for clay soil GSSP coated with vinasse at 300 kg/fed for dry matter yield and GSSP coated with vinasse at 100, 200 kg/fed for nutrient content of wheat plant at tillering growth stage. The best treatment was GSSP at a rate of 300 kg/fed for both dry matter and weight of spikes while addition of GSSP at a rate of 100kg/fed for nutrient content of wheat plant at flowering growth stage. Application GSSP coated with vinasse at a rate of 200 kg/fed led to significantly increase straw and grain yields as well as nutrient uptake by wheat plants. While biological yield was significantly increased as a result of added GSSP coated with humic acid at a rate of 300 kg/fed. The best treatments for phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) were GSSP coated with vinasse at applied a rate of 200 kg/fed for straw yield and GSSP coated with humic acid at added a rate of 100 kg/fed for grain yield. Results also revealed that the best treatments for sandy soil were GSSP at applied a rate of 300 kg/fed for dry matter and GSSP coated with vinasse at applied a rate of 200 and 300 kg/fed for nutrient content at tillering growth stage. Whereas, application of GSSP coated with both of humic acid and vinasse at the rate of 200 kg/fed were favorited for dry matter and weight of spikes, respectively, while addition GSSP at the rate of 200 kg/fed was the best one for nutrient content at flowering growth stage. The best treatments for straw and grain yields, as well as nutrient uptake were GSSP coated with vinasse at the rate of 200 kg/fed was the best one for biological yield. In addition, the best treatment for PUE was GSSP coated with humic acid at the rate of 100 kg/fed for straw and grain yields.

**Key words:** Wheat, Supper phosphate, Vinasse, Humic acid.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

All thanks to ALLAH who gave me an ability to overcome all difficulties faced the accomplishing of this investigation.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Dr. Abdel-Samad Salem Ismail Hegazy**, **Professor** of Soil Science **and Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Mostafa**, **Professor** of Soil Science, Soils Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem, drawing the plane of the work, active supervision, helpful guidance and continuous criticism in preparing and writing up this manuscript.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rahman Ahmed Zaid** Head of Laboratories and Research Quality Sector of Abu Zaabal Fertilizers and Chemicals Company, for Supervision and guidance and assistance in the implementation of the Action Plan. The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude to **Dr. Ahmed Ismail Ahmed Abd El-All Researcher** at the Institute of Soil, Water and Environment Research Center of Agricultural Research, for Supervision and guidance and assistance in the implementation of the Action Plan.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Dr. Sherif Elgabaley** chairman of Abu Zaabal Fertilizers and Chemicals Company and the author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **En. Abd Elsalem Elgabaley** Vice Chairman and Managing Director and the author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Accountant Naef Fahmey** Vice Chairman and Managing Director for Great of the confidence and the opportunity to this study.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Mahmoud Ibrahim Nosair: Improvement of Phosphate Fertilizers Efficiency Use for Certain Soils of Egypt. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Soil Science. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017

Phosphorus is one of 17 nutrients essential for plant growth. Its functions cannot be performed by any other nutrient, and an adequate supply of P is required for optimum growth and reproduction. The present investigation was carried out to study the effect of application of different granular single supper phosphate (GSSP) fertilizers treatments on availability of phosphorus in different soils. To fulfill the aims of this study, Firstly, an incubation experiment was done to study the effect of some organic materials (vinasse and humic acid) in combination with super-phosphate on the availability of phosphorus in both clay and sandy soils. The best treatments were GSSP coated with vinasse (100%) and GSSP coated with humic acid (20%) for both clay and sandy soils. Secondly, two field experiments in clay and sandy soils were carried out to study the effect of these different treatments of supper phosphate fertilizers (the best treatments of incubation experiment) on phosphorus uptake by wheat plants. The results showed that the best treatments for clay soil GSSP coated with vinasse at 300 kg/fed for dry matter yield and GSSP coated with vinasse at 100, 200 kg/fed for nutrient content of wheat plant at tillering growth stage. The best treatment was GSSP at a rate of 300 kg/fed for both dry matter and weight of spikes while addition of GSSP at a rate of 100kg/fed for nutrient content of wheat plant at flowering growth stage. Application GSSP coated with vinasse at a rate of 200 kg/fed led to significantly increase straw and grain yields as well as nutrient uptake by wheat plants. While biological yield was significantly increased as a result of added GSSP coated with humic acid at a rate of 300 kg/fed. The best treatments for phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) were GSSP coated with vinasse at applied a rate of 200 kg/fed for straw yield and GSSP coated with humic acid at added a rate of 100 kg/fed for grain yield. Results also revealed that the best treatments for sandy soil were GSSP at applied a rate of 300 kg/fed for dry matter and GSSP coated with vinasse at applied a rate of 200 and 300 kg/fed for nutrient content at tillering growth stage. Whereas, application of GSSP coated with both of humic acid and vinasse at the rate of 200 kg/fed were favorited for dry matter and weight of spikes, respectively, while addition GSSP at the rate of 200 kg/fed was the best one for nutrient content at flowering growth stage. The best treatments for straw and grain yields, as well as nutrient uptake were GSSP coated with vinasse at the rate of 200 kg/fed was the best one for biological yield. In addition, the best treatment for PUE was GSSP coated with humic acid at the rate of 100 kg/fed for straw and grain yields.

**Key words:** Wheat, Supper phosphate, Vinasse, Humic acid.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

All thanks to ALLAH who gave me an ability to overcome all difficulties faced the accomplishing of this investigation.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Dr. Abdel-Samad Salem Ismail Hegazy**, **Professor** of Soil Science **and Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Mostafa**, **Professor** of Soil Science, Soils Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem, drawing the plane of the work, active supervision, helpful guidance and continuous criticism in preparing and writing up this manuscript.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rahman Ahmed Zaid** Head of Laboratories and Research Quality Sector of Abu Zaabal Fertilizers and Chemicals Company, for Supervision and guidance and assistance in the implementation of the Action Plan. The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude to **Dr. Ahmed Ismail Ahmed Abd El-All Researcher** at the Institute of Soil, Water and Environment Research Center of Agricultural Research, for Supervision and guidance and assistance in the implementation of the Action Plan.

The author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Dr. Sherif Elgabaley** chairman of Abu Zaabal Fertilizers and Chemicals Company and the author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **En. Abd Elsalem Elgabaley** Vice Chairman and Managing Director and the author wishes to express his great appreciation and deep gratitude all thanks to **Accountant Naef Fahmey** Vice Chairman and Managing Director for Great of the confidence and the opportunity to this study.

### **CONTENTS**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| LIST OF TABLES  | III  |
| LIST OF FIGURES   | VI   |
| 1. INTRODUCTION   | 1    |
| 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE   | 4    |
| 2.1. Phosphorus chemical behaviors in soil                        | 4    |
| 2.1.1 Factors affecting phosphorus availability                   | 4    |
| 2.2. Functions of phosphorus in plants                            | 6    |
| 2.2.1 The important role of phosphorus for wheat plants.          | 7    |
| 2.3. Improvement phosphorus availability                          | 8    |
| 2.3.1. Effect of vinasse  | 9    |
| 2.3.1.1 Effect of vinasse on the availability of phosphorus in    |      |
| soils   | 9    |
| 2.3.1.2 Effect of vinasse on wheat plants                         | 10   |
| 2.3.2 Effect of humic acid  | 12   |
| 2.3.2.1 Effect of humic acid on the availability of phosphorus in |      |
| soils   | 12   |
| 2.3.2.2 Effect of humic acid on nutrient elements of plant.       | 13   |
| 2.3.2.3. Effect of humic acid on wheat plants                     | 15   |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS  | 17   |
| 3.1. Preparation of supper phosphate fertilizer                   | 17   |
| 3.1.1. Incubation experiment                                      | 17   |
| 3.2. Field experiments.   | 19   |
| 3.2.1. First experiment.  | 19   |
| 3.2.2. Second experiment  | 20   |
| 3.3. Methods of analysis  | 20   |
| 3.3.1. Soil analysis  | 20   |
| 3.3.2. Plant analysis   | 21   |
| 3.3.3. Fertilizer use efficiency                                  | 22   |
| 3.3.4. Statistical analysis                                       | 22   |

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                                | 23   |
| 4.1. Incubation experiment.                              | 23   |
| 4.1.1. Clay soil.  | 23   |
| 4.1.2. Sandy soil  | 25   |
| 4.2. Field experiments                                   | 27   |
| 4.2.1 First experiment                                   | 27   |
| 4.2.1.1. Tillering growth period.                        | 27   |
| 4.2.1.2. Flowering growth period.                        | 30   |
| 4.2.1.3. Harvest of wheat plants grown on a clay soil    | 32   |
| 4.2.1.4. Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) by wheat plants | 37   |
| 4.2.2 Second experiment.                                 | 40   |
| 4.2.2.1. Tillering growth period.                        | 40   |
| 4.2.2.2. Flowering growth period                         | 43   |
| 4.2.2.3. Harvest of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil   | 45   |
| 4.2.2.4. Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) by wheat plants | 50   |
| 5. SUMMARY   | 53   |
| 6. REFERENCES  | 58   |
| ARABIC SUMMARY   |      |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. |  | Pa |
|-----------|--|----|
| 1         | The main physical and chemical analyses of the studied soil                  |    |
|           | samples  | 1  |
| 2         | Chemical characteristics of vinasse and humic acid applied in                |    |
|           | the study  | ]  |
| 3         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on the availability of              |    |
|           | phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) at different incubation periods in clay    |    |
|           | soil   | 2  |
| 4         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on availability of                  |    |
|           | Phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) at different incubation periods in a sandy |    |
|           | soil   | 2  |
| 5         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on plant height, number of          |    |
|           | tillers and dry matter of wheat plants grown on a clay soil at               |    |
|           | tillering growth stage   | 2  |
| 6         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient content of              |    |
|           | wheat plants grown on a clay soil at tillering growth                        |    |
|           | stages   | 2  |
| 7         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on growth characteristics           |    |
|           | of wheat plants grown on a clay soil at flowering growth                     |    |
|           | stage  |    |
| 8         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or             |    |
|           | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient content of              |    |
|           | wheat plants grown on a clay soil at flowering growth                        |    |
|           | stages   | 3  |

| Гable No. |   | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| 9         | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on yield and its components at harvest of wheat plants grown on a clay  |      |
| 10        | soil  Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or  | 33   |
| 10        | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient uptake of straw yield of wheat plants grown on a clay soils  | 33   |
| 11        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient uptake of grains yield of wheat plants grown on a clay  | 33   |
|           | soils   | 37   |
| 12        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or<br>coated with either vinasse or humic acid on phosphorus use<br>efficiency (PUE) of straw and grain yield of wheat plants grown on                        |      |
|           | a clay soils  | 38   |
| 13        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on plant height, number of tillers and dry matter of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil at tillering growth stage | 4.1  |
| 14        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or  | 41   |
| 14        | coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient content of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil at tillering growth  |      |
|           | stages  | 42   |
| 15        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on growth Characteristics of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil at flowering growth                               |      |
|           | stage   | 44   |

| Table No. |   | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| 16        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient content of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil at flowering growth             | 456  |
| 17        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on yield and its components at harvest growth stage of wheat plants grown on a sandy soil | 450  |
| 18        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient uptake of straw yield of wheat plants   | 48   |
| 19        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on nutrient uptake of grains yield of wheat plants grown on a sandy soils                 | 49   |
| 20        | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone or coated with either vinasse or humic acid on phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) of straw and grain yield of wheat plants grown on      |      |
|           | a sandy soils   | 51   |

### LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig No. |   | Page |
|---------|---|------|
| 1       | Effect of applying different GSSP mineral fertilizer coated   |      |
|         | with vinasse (100%) or humic acid (20%) on the availability   |      |
|         | of phosphorus in clay and sandy soils                         | 26   |
| 2       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone |      |
|         | or coated with vinasse on straw yield of wheat plants grown   |      |
|         | on a clay soil  | 33   |
| 3       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone |      |
|         | or coated with vinasse on grain yield of wheat plants grown   |      |
|         | on a clay soil  | 34   |
| 4       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone |      |
|         | or coated with vinasse on phosphorus use efficiency           |      |
|         | (PUE) of straw yield of wheat plants grown on a clay          |      |
|         | soil  | 39   |
| 5       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone |      |
|         | or coated with vinasse on phosphorus use efficiency           |      |
|         | (PUE) of grain yield of wheat plants grown on a clay          |      |
|         | soil  | 39   |
| 6       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer       |      |
|         | alone or coated with vinasse on straw yield of wheat          |      |
|         | plants grown on a sandy soil                                  | 46   |
| 7       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer       |      |
|         | alone or coated with vinasse on grain yield of wheat          |      |
|         | plants grown on a sandy soil                                  | 47   |
| 8       | Effect of applying different GSSP as mineral fertilizer alone |      |
|         | or coated with vinasse on phosphorus use efficiency           |      |
|         | (PUE) of straw yield of wheat plants grown on a clay          |      |
|         | soil  | 51   |