

**RECENT ADVANCES IN SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF
REGULAR ASTIGMATISM**

Essay

**Submitted for the partial fulfillment of the master degree
in ophthalmology**

Presented by

Hanan Mohamed Abd El Hamid

Supervised by

Prof. Dr.

Riad Bahey El-Deen Shalash

Prof. of ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine-Cairo University

Prof. Dr.

Mohammed Awad Allah

Prof. of ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine-Cairo University

Dr.

Ahmed Mohammed Abdulbaki

Prof. of ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine-Cairo University

Cairo university-2010

Abstract

To understand the principles and to practice refractive surgery for regular astigmatism, it is important to understand the definition of the regular astigmatism.

In tigmatism, the refractive power of the eye is different in different meridians. The cornea cannot bring the light rays to the precise point on the retina to provide a clear vision; thus, object will appear blurry at any distance. This type of astigmatism where the two principle meridians are at right angles is called regular astigmatism.

The key to proper treatment is proper diagnosis and evaluation of regular astigmatism. This can be achieved clinically by refraction which measures the total amount of astigmatism of the optical system of the eye that is present in the pupillary zone, as would be corrected by a spectacle lens. Other devices for diagnosis include, keratometry which uses four reflected points (or two pairs) located at the center of the cornea, Placido disc imaging using Placido's disk which is a painted disk of alternating black and white rings reflected in the cornea. The rings are projected onto the cornea and a virtual image is created slightly behind the cornea. The curvature of the cornea could be determined from comparison of photographs of the rings against standardized images, Raster stereography where an image is projected onto the corneal surface rather than reflected by it. The image then is digitized and analyzed by a computer imaging system.

Key Words:

Anatomical, Physiological and Optical View, Diagnosis of Astigmatism, Surgical Treatment of Regular Astigmatism, Toric Intraocular Lenses (TIOLs).

Acknowledgement

At first I would like to thank **GOD**, the merciful and the forgiving, for helping me produce this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Prof.Dr. AymanFawzy El Shiaty (Ophthalmology Dept. Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University)** for dedicating so much of his precious time, efforts and constructive criticism that helped me to complete this work in a possible best way.

I would like to express my thanks to **Prof. Dr.Mansour Hassan Ahmed, (Ophthalmology Dept. Faculty of Medicine, BeniSuif University)**for his continuous comments, guidance and help in conducting such essay.

My Sincere appreciation to **Prof.Dr. RiadBaheyEldinShalash, (Ophthalmology Dept. faculty of medicine, Cairo University)** for his continuous encouragement, close supervision, care and valuable advises that helped me to initiate and progress this work.

Finally, I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Ioannis G. Pallikaris (VEIC - Vardinoyiannion Eye Institute of Crete, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Greece)**for his innovative ideas, valuable advises and parental hosting and also my sincere thanks go to**Dr. MarinosMarkomanolakis(VEIC - Vardinoyiannion Eye Institute of Crete, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Greece)**for his great efforts in all LASIK procedures of this study.

Index

• Introduction and Aim of the Work	1
• Review of Literature	6
○ Anatomical, Physiological and Optical Backgrounds of the Cornea	
○ Excimer Laser and Lamellar Refractive Surgery	
○ Flap Related Complications	
○ Dry Eye	
○ LASIK Induced Neurotrophic Keratitis (LINE)	
• Patients and Methods	62
• Results	73
• Discussion and Conclusion	115
• Summary	127
• References	129
• Arabic Summary	145

List of Abbreviations

ACS: Automated Corneal Shaper
ALK: Automated Lamellar Keratoplasty
ArF: Argon Fluoride
BCVA: Best-Corrected Visional Acuity
BKS: Barraquer- Krumeich- Swinger microkeratome
D: Diopter
DEWS: Dry Eye Workshop
DLK: Diffuse Lamellar Keratitis
EGF: Epidermal Growth Factor
FDA: Food and Drug Administration
FPD: Freezing Point Depression
H₂O: Hydrogen Di-Oxide (Water)
HGF: Hepatocyte Growth Factor
Hrs: Hours
ICR: Intrastromal Corneal Ring
IOP: Intraocular Pressure
KCS: Kerato-Conjunctivitis Sicca
Km/s: Kilometer per second
LASIK: Laser in-situ keratomileusis
LINE: LASIK-Induced Neurotrophic Epitheliopathy
mm: millimeter
mmHg: millimeter Mercury
mOsm/L: milliosmol per liter
P value: Probability value
PRK: Photorefractive keratectomy
PRT: phenol red test
PTK: Phototherapeutic keratectomy
RK: Radial Keratotomy
S.Eq: Spherical Equivalent
SD: Standard Deviation
Sec.: Second
SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Science
SRI: surface regularity index
TBUT: Tear Break-up Time
TMS-BUA: Topographic Modeling System – Break up Area
TMS-TBUT: Topographic Modeling System – Tear Break Time
TMS: Topographic Modeling System
UCVA: Un-Corrected Visional Acuity
UV: Ultraviolet
VEIC: Vardinoyannion Eye Institute of Crete
μL: Microliter
μm: micrometer
°C: degree centigrade

List of Figures

Fig. 1: Diagram showing the corneal 5 layers

Fig.2: A diagram and microscopic images showing the epithelial nerves

Fig. 3: Position of tear film

Fig. 4: A diagram shows the 3 components of Pre-Corneal Tear Film

Fig. 5: Summary of innervation of the lacrimal gland

Fig. 6: During excimer laser ablation of the cornea

Fig. 7: Grooves created by the excimer laser on a human hair

Fig. 8: Moria M2 microkeratome

Fig. 9: pulsionFemtosecond laser

Fig. 10: A buttonhole flap

Fig. 11: Incomplete flap

Fig. 12: Incomplete flaps

Fig. 13:Incomplete flap that was misguidedly ablated and its topography

Fig. 14: Large striae with distortion of the flap

Fig. 15: Striae seen near the hinge in a LASIK patient

Fig. 16: Epithelial ingrowth may appear as white or grayish small spots or line

Fig. 17: Diffuse Lamellar Keratitis

Fig. 18: Numerous peripheral infiltrates located just outside the flap edge

Fig. 19: Schirmer test

Fig. 20: Tear Break-Up Time

Fig. 21: Toposcope and Tearscope in combination with the slit-lamp

Fig. 22: Videokeratoscopic image at the beginning and end of inter-blink period

Fig. 23: Phenol Red Test

Fig. 24: The TearLabOsmolarity System

Fig. 25: Tear Osmometer (Advanced Instruments)

Fig. 26: Grading of corneal and conjunctival staining (Oxford scheme)

Fig. 27: Fluorescein Staining

Fig. 28: Rose Bengal Staining

Fig. 29: Lissamine Green Staining

Fig. 30: The aesthesiometer attached to an applicator for precise manipulation

Fig. 31: Dry eye/neurotrophic keratitis after uneventful LASIK procedure.

Fig. 32: Schematic distribution of nerves in the stroma and sub-basal plexus

Fig. 33: Cochet–Bonnet esthesiometer

Fig. 34: DGH 5100 Ultrasonic Pachymetry

Fig. 35: Procyon-PS2000A Pupillometer

Fig. 36: The Changes in Mean UCVA of Nasal Group

Fig. 37: The Changes in Mean BCVA of Nasal Group

Fig. 38: The Changes in Mean UCVA and BCVA of Nasal Group

Fig. 39: The Changes in the Mean S.Eq Values in Nasal Group

Fig. 40: The Changes in the Mean Corneal Sensation in Nasal Group

Fig. 41: The Changes in the Mean TBUT in Nasal Group

Fig. 42: The Changes in the Mean Values of Schirmer Test in Nasal Group

Fig. 43: The Lines of BCVA Gained or lost by Each Eye at the End of the Post operative period

Fig. 44: Bar showing percentage of eyes UCVA through-out the follow up period

Fig. 45: Bar showing the efficacy of the procedure (The relation between the mean postoperative UCVA and the mean preoperative BCVA at different follow up periods)

Fig. 46: Number of Eyes ≥ 0.50 and ≥ 1.0 D S.Eq throughout the follow up period in Nasal Group

Fig. 47: Scattergram showing Predictability; Number of eyes having a postoperative spherical equivalent within ± 1.00 D of the preoperative Correction

Fig. 48: The Changes in Mean UCVA of Superior Group

Fig. 49: The Changes in Mean BCVA of Superior Group

Fig. 50: The Changes in Mean UCVA and BCVA of Superior Group

Fig. 51: The Changes in the Mean Values of S.Eq. in Superior Group

Fig. 52: The Changes in the Mean Values of Corneal Sensations in Superior Group

Fig. 53: The Changes in the Mean Values of TBUT in Superior Group

Fig. 54: The changes in the Mean Values of Schirmer Test in Superior Group

Fig. 55: The Lines of BCVA Gained or lost by Each Eye at the End of Post-operative period

Fig 56: Bar showing percentage of eyes UCVA through-out the follow up period of Superior Group

Fig. 57: Bar showing the efficacy of the procedure of Superior Group (The relation between the mean postoperative UCVA and the mean preoperative BCVA at different follow up periods)

Fig. 58: Number of Eyes ≥ 0.50 and ≥ 1.0 D S.Eq throughout the follow up period in Superior Group

Fig. 59: Scattergram showing Predictability; Number of eyes having a postoperative spherical equivalent within ± 1.00 D of the preoperative Correction

Fig. 60: Means of UCVA in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

Fig. 61: Means of BCVA in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

Fig. 62: Means of S. Eq. in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

Fig. 63: Means of Corneal Sensation (mm) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

Fig. 64: Means of TBUT (Sec.) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

Fig. 65: Means of Schirmer test (mm) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups

List of Tables

Table 1: Preoperative Patient Data of Nasal Group

Table 2: Mean Preoperative Patient Data of Nasal Group

Table 3: 1-Week Postoperative Data of Nasal Group

Table 4: Mean Patient Data 1-Week Postoperative of Nasal Group

Table 5: 1-Months Postoperative Data of Nasal Group

Table 6: Mean Patient Data 1-Month Postoperative of Nasal Group

Table 7: 3-Months Postoperative Data of Nasal Group

Table 8: Mean Patient Data 3-Month Postoperative of Nasal Group

Table 9: 6-Months Postoperative Data of Nasal Group

Table 10: Mean Patient Data 6-Month Postoperative of Nasal Group

Table 11: The Lines of BCVA Gained or lost by Each Eye at the End of Postoperative period

Table 12: Number of Eyes ≥ 0.5 D. and ≥ 1.0 D.S.Eq throughout the follow up period in Nasal Group

Table. 13: Preoperative Patient Data of Superior Group

Table 14: Mean Preoperative Patient Data of Superior Group

Table 15: 1-Week Postoperative Data of Superior Group

Table 16: Mean Patient Data 1-Week Postoperative of Superior Group

Table 17: 1-Month Postoperative Data of Superior Group

Table 18: Mean Patient Data 1-Month Postoperative of Superior Group

Table 19: 3-Month Postoperative Data of Superior Group

Table 20: Mean Patient Data 3-Months Postoperative of Superior Group

Table 21: 6-Month Postoperative Data of Superior Group

Table 22: Mean Patient Data 6-Months Postoperative of Superior Group

Table 26: The Lines of BCVA Gained or lost by Each Eye at the End of Post-operative period

Table 28: Number of Eyes ≥ 0.5 D. and ≥ 1.0 D.S.Eq throughout the follow up period in Nasal Group

Table 29: Means of UCVA in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Table 30: Means of BCVA in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Table 31: Means of S. Eq. in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Table 32: Means of Corneal Sensation (mm) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Table 32: Means of TBUT (Sec.) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Table 32: Means of Schirmer Test (mm) in Both Nasal and Superior Groups and statistical difference (p value)

Introduction and Aim of work

Introduction

Laser in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK) involves creating a corneal flap so that midstromal tissue can be ablated directly and reshaped with an excimer laser beam. The procedure allows the ophthalmologist to surgically reshape the cornea in an attempt to obviate the need for corrective lenses (**Pallikaris et al., 1990 [a]**).

LASIK is a modification of Colombian José Barraquer's ingenious innovations. In 1949, Barraquer first described his technique (**Barraquer, 1949**), and in 1964 he published clinical results of his attempts to achieve emmetropia by shaving and reshaping the cornea. With Barraquer's technique of keratomileusis (i.e., carving the cornea), a lamellar button (lenticule) of the patient's cornea was excised with a manual microkeratome. Barraquer then reshaped the lenticule so that the central corneal curvature was flattened and the refractive power of the cornea decreased. He then replaced the lenticule in position, either with or without sutures. Barraquer's specific attempts to correct myopia were called cryolathe keratomileusis, because they involved freezing and reshaping the removed lenticule with a cryolathe (**Barraquer, 1964**).

The term *excimer* has been coined by **Stevens and Hutton in 1960** (short for excited dimer) to describe an energized molecule with two identical components. The name *excimer* was applied to noble gas-halide laser and persisted even though it is a misnomer (The lasing medium is a combination of two different elements, a noble gas and a halide, rather than a dimer). More accurate but less popular names including

rare gas halide lasers, which describes the gas mixture in the cavity and the name of the specific gas mixture (eg., *Argon-fluorine laser*) (**Shalash et al., 1997**).

Excimer is capable of precise ablation of corneal tissue with minimal disruption of adjacent tissue. The *excimer* laser's effect on the cornea was first studied in animal models in 1983 (**Trokel et al., 1983**). In 1985, Serdarevic and co-workers performed the first PTK (Phototherapeutic keratectomy) using Excimer laser (**Serdarevic et al., 1985**). The term PRK (Photorefractive keratectomy) was created in **1985 by Marshal et al.** The first PRK was done on a blind eye by Seiler in Berlin, Germany (**Seiler et al., 1986**), and the first PRK on a sighted human eye was performed in May 1988 in United States by Marguerite McDonald at Louisiana State University (**McDonald et al., 1989**). Shortly thereafter, LASIK (Laser in-situ keratomileusis) was done in human eyes (**Pallikaris et al, 1990 [a]**). This early work supported the theory that in situ keratomileusis was better than surface ablation because it induced less activation and proliferation of stromal keratocytes, thereby avoiding both haze and regression (**Park and Kim, 1999**). In addition, the excimer laser allowed for more accurate tissue removal, thereby eliminating one of the main deterrents to lamellar surgery (**Glazer and Azar, 2003**).

The LASIK procedure, in its current refined state, was designed and developed at the University of Crete. In 1990, Ioannis Pallikaris and colleagues introduced the term laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) to describe excimer laser ablation performed under a hinged corneal flap (**Pallikaris et al., 1990 [a]**).

In LASIK the automated microkeratome is used to create a hinged corneal disc (i.e., flap), which consists of epithelium, Bowmans layer and anterior stroma. The laser beam is then applied directly to the stroma, to remove a predetermined amount of tissue, depending on the target correction. Once ablation is completed, the flap is repositioned and held in place with the action of the endothelial pump. The idea for the LASIK procedure was based on the histological observation that during surface photoablation (PRK) the corneal neural network is also ablated and takes several months to reconstitute. The initial hypothesis was that destruction of both Bowman's layer and the superficial corneal nerves during PRK would have an adverse effect on the healing response. It was thus theorized that creation of a flap instead of a lamellar disc would assure better fitting of tissues after the ablation and would not affect the anatomic integrity of the cornea mainly by preserving Bowman's layer and the superficial corneal nervous net. Other important advantages would be reduction of surgical manipulations and total time required for the operation (**Pallikaris et al., 1990 [a]**)

As the use of the excimer laser in refractive surgery increased, it became obvious that wide area surface PRK was neither predictable nor accurate for the correction of more than 6 diopters of myopia (**Seiler and Mc Donnell, 1995**). Thus, in the beginning, LASIK was suggested as a more precise alternative for the correction of high myopia. To date, several clinical studies published in peer-reviewed journals point out its advantages over PRK (**Bas and Onnis, 1995. Salah et al., 1996. Perez-Santonja et al., 1997. Ibrahim, 1998. Lavery, 1998. Zaldivar et al., 1998**). These include: Early recovery of visual function, Minimal postoperative pain, Lack of adverse healing phenomena such as haze formation, Increased range of efficacy over PRK in high

myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism and the ability to combine with previous refractive surgery such as PRK, PTK or RK.

However, the technique has also well-recognized disadvantages and limitations. These include: Expense and complexity of instrumentation, lack of a standardized nomogram for tissue ablation and steep learning curve and potentially sight-threatening complications for the beginning surgeon (**Farah et al., 1998**).

A review of bibliography on LASIK by Farah and coauthors suggests that LASIK is the best procedure to correct myopia greater than 6 D. It has acceptable visual outcomes and complication rates. It also appears effective for lower levels of hyperopia below 6 D (**Farah et al., 1998**).

Several studies highlight that LASIK can cause sustained dysfunction of the integrated ocular surface/lacrimal gland functional unit, resulting in chronic dry eye (**Battat et al, 2001**). In 2001, 2003 and 2004 surveys of members of the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons, they all found that the most common complication of LASIK was dry eye (**Solomon et al, 2002. Solomon et al, 2004. Sandoval et al, 2005**). LASIK is not the only corneal procedure that might affect tear production, as in 2002, Kessler and co-workers found that there was transient dry eye following Intacs placement, but the tear film quality was restored within 1 week of surgery (**Kessler et al., 2002**).