



Assessment of the maternal and neonatal risk in obese women at Ain shams University Maternity Hospital

Protocol for thesis
Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree
in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Ahmed Mostafa Saad Abou Sharaf

Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Misr University for Science and Technology teaching Hospital
M.B.B.CH, Misr University for Science and Technology 2011

Supervised by

Dr. Aly Elyan Khalaf Allah

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed ElmandooH Mohamed

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Alaa Sayed Hassanin

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

2014

Acknowledgment

الحمد لله والشكر لله الذي أعاننا ان ننهي هذا العمل

*I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Aly Elyan Khalaf Allah**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine; Ain Shams University for his support all through the whole work and for valuable guidance, and follow up of the progress of this work. I owe him more than I can express. I have been greatly honored by his supervision.*

*Profound and ultimate gratitude is expressed to **Dr. Mohamed ElmandooH Mohamed**, assistant professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. His continuous help in following up the progress of the work, encouragement and support were certainly the most helpful steps in accomplishing this work.*

*I am earnestly grateful to **Dr. Alaa Sayed Hassanin**, Lecturer of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind assistance, close supervision, generous contribution and help.*

Finally deepest thanks and great appreciation for all my colleagues who helped me in the production of this work.

Ahmed Mostafa



Dedication

To my *family* who taught me the principles and patience and gave me the smile during hard times.

Ahmed Mostafa

Abstract

Objective: to assess the effect of maternal obesity as a risk factor on maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant patients with spontaneous onset of labor.

Methods: This prospective cohort study involved a total no. of 250 women with singleton pregnancies delivered in the period between December 2014 and June 2015 and were categorized into two groups according to their BMI; normal weight (18-24.9 kg/m²) and obese (≥ 30 kg/m²).

Main outcome measures: mode of delivery, risk of delivery and neonatal complications in obese women versus normal weight women.

Results: Obese women had a significantly increased risk of caesarean section ($P=0.001$) and higher rate of induction ending in caesarean section compared with women of normal weight ($P=0.01$). Again there was a significantly longer median length of first stage, increased incidence of second-degree tear ($P=0.001$) and significantly increased risk of low Apgar score ($P=0.019$). However, the incidence of postpartum haemorrhage, admission to NICU and third-degree tear were similar in the two body mass index categories. As regard shoulder dystocia, there was a trend towards increased incidence with increasing BMI ($P=0.003$). There was a trend towards increased incidence of macrosomia with increasing BMI category ($P=0.001$).

Conclusions: Increasing BMI is associated with increased incidence of CS delivery, failed progress of labour, labour induction, perineal tears, low Apgar score, macrosomic babies and admission to NICU.

Keywords:

BMI, obesity complications, macrosomia.

Contents

Title	Page
List of tables	I
List of figures	II
List of abbreviations	III
Introduction	1
Aim of work	5
Review of literature	
Chapter 1: Overview of obesity	6
Chapter 2: Maternal complications of obesity	24
Chapter 3: Fetal complications of obesity	33
Chapter 4: Obstetric management of obese pregnant women	53
Patients and methods	63
Results	73
Discussion	83
Conclusion and recommendations	91
References	93
English summary	113
Arabic summary	

Introduction

Obesity has been recognized by WHO as "a pandemic nutritional disorder which represents a rapidly growing threat to the health of populations of an increasing number of countries world- wide" (*Khashan and Kenny, 2009*).

Obesity in women of childbearing age is a growing global problem. In Sweden, information on maternal height and weight on registration for antenatal care has been recorded since 1992, and onwards Body Mass Index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m^2)) has increased among both primiparas as well as multiparas. In 2008, almost 25% of all pregnant women in Sweden were shown to be overweight (BMI 25-29.9) on presentation at the antenatal clinic and almost 12% of them were considered as obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30$) (*Kaplan-Sturk et al., 2013*).

It is well known that obesity is associated with increased maternal and fetal morbidity during pregnancy and labour (*Baron et al., 2010*).

Obesity is associated with irregular menstruation with longer cycles, often making the expected date of delivery unsure: the vaginal examination to assess uterine size is notoriously inaccurate in overweight individuals (*Johnson et al., 1999*). Furthermore they reported that ultrasound examination of the fetus, both in terms of vaginal and especially abdominal ultrasound is more difficult in obese women and it might be more difficult to detect structural fetal abnormalities. In addition, it is technically more challenging to perform invasive prenatal diagnostic tests such as chorionic

villus sampling and amniocentesis, and the risks of miscarriage are increased three-fold in the obese. In terms of amniocentesis, post-amniocentesis amniotic fluid leak and fetal loss were significantly higher in women with an increased BMI than in a control group.

Catalano and Ehrenberg, 2006 reported that in pregnancy there is the continued production of counterregulatory (anti-insulin) hormones by the growing placenta, and insulin resistance increases progressively throughout pregnancy. But obese women have higher insulin resistance (lower insulin sensitivity) than women of normal weight, which results in increased availability of lipids for fetal growth and development. The development of GDM has a number of adverse maternal and fetal implications. For women, these include a high risk of hyperglycemia, cesarean delivery, and diabetes in later life.

Hedderson et al., 2008 reported that obesity is associated with an increased risk of diabetes, both pregestational diabetes and GDM.

Maternal obesity is associated with an increased risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, including preeclampsia and the risk increases linearly with obesity. For each increase in BMI of 5 to 7 kg/m², there is a corresponding 2-fold increase in the risk of developing preeclampsia (*Weiss et al., 2004*).

Maternal obesity is a risk factor for spontaneous abortion (for both spontaneous conceptions and conception achieved through assisted reproductive technology), as well as for unexplained stillbirth (intrauterine fetal demise). A meta-analysis revealed that obese pregnant women have an estimated risk of stillbirth that is twice that of normal weight pregnant women (*Chu et al., 2007*). Furthermore they reported that the rate of successful vaginal delivery decreases progressively as maternal BMI increases. As the rate of cesarean delivery were 1.46 (95% CI, 1.34-1.60), 2.05 (95% CI, 1.86-2.27), and 2.89 (95% CI, 2.28-3.79) among overweight, obese, and severely obese women, respectively, compared with normal weight pregnant women.

The 2- to 3-fold increase in cesarean delivery rate is true for both primigravid and multigravid women (*Lynch et al., 2008*).

Maternal obesity is associated with abnormal fetal growth. Therefore in obese pregnant women is fetal macrosomia (defined as an estimated fetal weight of greater than or equal to 4500 g), which appears to be increased 2- to 3-fold in obese parturient with a dose-dependent relationship between maternal obesity and fetal macrosomia (*Ehrenberg et al., 2004*).

There is an increased incidence of fetal macrosomia in obese women and, if delivered vaginally, there is an increased risk to the woman of a third-degree tear. With regard to the fetus, trauma is more common, especially brachial plexus injuries, due in part to a higher incidence of shoulder dystocia. Therefore a higher maternal BMI in the first trimester and a greater increase in BMI throughout pregnancy were associated with a reduced likelihood of spontaneous labor at term, an increased risk of post-term pregnancy, and rate of intrapartum complication (*Denison et al., 2008*).

In addition to an increased rate of operative delivery, obese women are also a high incidence of intraoperative complications, including increased infectious morbidity and thromboembolic events. There is also an increased risk of anesthetic complications, such as failed intubation at the time of general endotracheal anesthesia (*Soens et al., 2008*).

In these patients there is also an increased risk of neural tube defect (NTD) in the offspring. A Meta analysis by Rasmussen and colleagues reported that the risk for delivering an infant with NTD was 1.22 (95% CI, 0.99-1.49), 1.70 (95% CI, 1.34-2.15), and 3.11 (95% CI, 1.75-5.46) among overweight, obese, and morbidly obese women, respectively, compared with normal weight women (*Rasmussen et al., 2008*).

Pregnancies complicated by GDM have a 4-fold increased risk of prenatal mortality, of shoulder dystocia and resultant birth injury and Low APGAR scores, more admissions to neonatal intensive care units; and higher rates of prenatal death (*Watkins et al., 2003*).

Moreover, offspring born of GDM pregnancies are more likely to develop childhood and adult obesity (OR 1.4 [95% CI, 1.2-1.6] for every 1-kg increment in birth weight) as well as type 2 diabetes mellitus (*Gillman et al., 2003*).

References

- **Baron C, Girling L, Mathieson A:** Obstetrical and neonatal outcomes in obese parturients. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2010;23(8):906–913.
- **Catalano PM, Ehrenberg HM:** The short and long term implications of maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring. *BJOG*. 2006;113: 1126-1133.
- **Chu SY, Callaghan WM, Kim SY, et al:** Maternal obesity and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:2070-2076.
- **Denison FC, Price J, Graham C, et al:** Maternal obesity, length of gestation, risk of postdates pregnancy and spontaneous onset of labour at term. *BJOG*. 2008;115:720-725.
- **Ehrenberg H, Mercer B, Catalano P:** The influence of obesity and diabetes on the prevalence of macrosomia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;191: 964-968.
- **Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman S, Berkey CS, et al:** Maternal gestational diabetes, birth weight, and adolescent obesity. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111:e221-e226.
- **Haslam DW, James WP. Lancet :** obesity. 2005;366(9492): 1197-209. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67483-1. PMID 16198769.
- **Hedderson MM, Williams MA, Holt VL, et al :** Body mass index and weight gain prior to pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198: 409.e1-409.e7.

●**Johnson JM, Wilson RD, Singer J, Winsor E, Harman C, Armson BA, et al:** Technical factors in early amniocentesis predict adverse outcome. Results of the Canadian early (EA) versus midtrimester (MA) amniocentesis Trial. *Prenatal Diagn* 1999;19:732-8.

●**Kaplan-Sturk , Helena Akerud , Helena volgesten :** Outcome of deliveries in healthy but obese women: obesity and delivery outcome. *BMC Research Note* 2013 6:50.

●**Khashan AS, Kenny LC:** The effects of maternal body mass index on pregnancy outcome. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2009, 24:697–705.

●**Lynch CM, Sexton DJ, Hession M, Morrison JJ:** Obesity and mode of delivery in primigravid and multigravid women. *Am J Perinatol*. 2008;25: 163-167.

●**Rasmussen SA, Chu SY, Kim SY, et al:** Maternal obesity and risk of neural tube defects: a metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198:611-619.

●**Soens MA, Birnbach DJ, Ranasinghe JS, van Zundert A:** Obstetric anesthesia for the obese and morbidly obese patient: an ounce of prevention is worth more than a pound of treatment. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2008;52:6-19.

● **Surapanthapisit P, Thitadilok W:** Risk factors of caesarean section due to cephalopelvic disproportion. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand (supplement 4):S105-11*.

●**Watkins, Margaret L., Rasmussen, Sonja A., et.al :** Maternal Obesity and Risk for Birth Defects, *Pediatrics* Vol III, No. 5, May 2003 1152-1158.

●**Weiss JL, Malone FD, Emig D, et al:** Obesity, obstetric complications and cesarean delivery rate—a population-based screening study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;190: 1091-1097.

Aim OF Work

The aim of this work is to assess the effect of maternal obesity as a risk factor on maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant patients with spontaneous onset of labor.

Research hypothesis

In obese women with spontaneous onset of labor, there is no or increased risk of maternal and neonatal complications.

Research question

In obese women with spontaneous onset of labor, does the obesity increase the risk of maternal and neonatal complications?

Obesity

Obesity is defined as an increase in body fat while overweight is an increase in weight relative to a standard (**Gallagher et al., 2000**). Obesity is a global health problem that is increasing in prevalence. The world health organization characterizes obesity as a pandemic issue with a higher prevalence in females than in males (**Beckles and Thompson Reid, 2001**) thus; many pregnant patients are seen with high body mass index. Obesity during pregnancy is considered a high- risk state because it is associated with many complications (**Galtier Dereure et al., 2000**).

Prevalence of obesity

Current prevalence rates of obesity range from 5% in China, Japan and some African countries to 75% in the Eastern Mediterranean region and Samoa Island (**Puska et al., 2004**). Changes in prevalence rate over time vary between countries and regions. In USA, the obesity prevalence rates doubled in adults between 1980 and 2002. An estimate from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) revealed that obesity prevalence conducted in 2003-2004 showed that the prevalence rates of obesity among men increased significantly between 1999 and 2004. No Increase of overall obesity prevalence among women was observed over this 6 year period (**Ogden et al., 2006**). One third of adult women in the USA are currently obese (**Headly et al., 2004**).

The proportion of adults classified as obese in Australia increased significantly from 8.7 % in 1991 to 14.1 % in 2003, and morbid obesity increased from 2.6 % to 5.3 % in the same period (**Dal Grande et al., 2005**). In Portugal, the prevalence of obesity in men increased from 10.3 % in 1995-1996 to 11.5 % in 1998-1999 and in women, the prevalence of

obesity increased from 10.3 % in 1995- 1996 to 14.2 % in 1998-1999 **(Marquez-Vidal and Dias. 2005).**

Obesity occurred in 9.8 % of the Swedish population aged between 16 and 84 years in 2004, compared with 4.8 % in 1980. There are also reports from developing countries of increasing obesity rates **(Prentice, 2006).**

In USA the prevalence of overweight and obesity has steadily increased over the years among genders, all ages, all racial and ethnic groups, all educational levels, and all smoking levels **(Mokdad et al., 2003).** In the period between 1960 and 2004, the prevalence of overweight increased from 44.8% to 66 % in those aged between 20 and 74 years **(NCHS, 2006).**

Among women, the age adjusted prevalence of overweight or obesity in racial and ethnic minorities is higher among black and Mexican American women than among white women. Among men, there is a little difference in the prevalence among these three groups **(NCHS, 2006).**

While there is no generally accepted definition for obesity as distinct from overweight in children and adolescents, the prevalence of overweight is increasing for children and adolescent in the United States. Approximately 17.5 % of children aged from 6 to 11 years, and 17 % of adolescents aged from 12 to 19 years were overweight in the period between 2001 and 2004 **(NCHS, 2006).**