



Cairo University

SIMULATION AND DESIGN IMPROVEMENT of IGCCS FOR ENERGY-EFFICIENT AND REVAMPING OPPORTUNITIES

By

Dalia Amer Ahmed Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Chemical Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
Year 2016

SIMULATION AND DESIGN IMPROVEMENT of
IGCCS FOR ENERGY-EFFICIENT AND REVAMPING
OPPORTUNITIES

By
Dalia Amer Ahmed Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Chemical Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Fatma AlZahraa Hanafy
Ashour

Dr. Mamdouh Ayad Gadalla

.....
Professor of Chemical Engineering
Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Associate Professor
Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, British University
in Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
Year 2016

SIMULATION AND DESIGN IMPROVEMENT OF
IGCCS FOR ENERGY-EFFICIENT AND REVAMPING
OPPORTUNITIES

By
Dalia Amer Ahmed Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE in
Chemical Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Fatma Al-Zahraa Hanafy Ashour

Prof. Dr. Ehab Fouad Abadir

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Amin El-Shahir Sadik, Head of Chemical
Engineering Department at the British University in Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
Year 2016

Engineer's Name: Dalia Amer Ahmed Ali
Date of Birth: 2/7/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: dalia.amer@bue.edu.eg
Phone: 01000415745
Address: 2A- Bani Amer from Mourad street - Giza
Registration Date: 2 / 10/ 2012
Awarding Date: / / 2016
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Chemical Engineering

Insert photo here

Supervisors:

Prof. Fatma Al-Zahraa Hanafy Ashour
Dr. Mamdouh Ayad Gadalla (Associate Professor in Chemical Engineering at the British University in Egypt)

Examiners:

Prof. Mohamed Amin El-Shahir (Head of Chemical Engineering Department at the British University in Egypt)
Prof. Ehab Fouad Abadir
Prof. Fatma Al-Zahraa Hanafy Ashour

Title of Thesis:

Simulation and Design Improvement of IGCCs for Energy-Efficient and Revamping Opportunities.

Key Words:

Coal Gasification, Entrained Flow gasifier Model, Heat integration for IGCC unit, Improvements on IGCC unit, Revamping of an existing natural gas power plant.

Summary:

Increased Consumption of Crude oil and NG results in a large deficit in the supply and a huge rise in their prices, hence it is necessary to make an efficient use of the available non-renewable resources of energy such as coal, NG, etc. IGCC acts as the best available technology to use low quality or contaminated energy sources like coal or oil. The main objective of this study is simulating gasifier and improving the state of the art IGCC designs via decreasing the plant energy consumption and increasing the plant thermal efficiency.

Acknowledgments

I would like, first, to express my deepest appreciation for the technical guidance and support given by my research advisors, Prof. Fatma Ashour and Dr. Mamdouh Gadalla. I would like to thank Prof. Mohamed Shahir and Prof. Ehab Abadir for being my examination committee.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this work to my parents and my husband engineer Ahmed El-Ashmawy for their continuous guidance, encouragement, prayers, love and support throughout my life.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION... ..	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
NOMENCLATURE.....	XI
ABSTRACT.....	XIII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. GASIFICATION PROCESS.....	1
1.2. IGCC IMPORTANCE AND TECHNOLOGIES	1
1.3. AIM OF WORK	1
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	2
2.1. INTRODUCTION	2
2.2. GASIFICATION PROCESS	2
2.2.1. GASIFICATION FEEDSTOCK.....	2
2.2.2. PROCESSES AND REACTIONS IN GASIFIER	3
2.2.3. GASIFICATION PROCESS TECHNOLOGIES.....	3
2.2.3.1. ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIERS	3
2.2.3.2. FIXED BED GASIFIERS	4
2.2.3.3. FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIERS	5
2.3. COAL GASIFICATION PROCESS	6
2.3.1. SHELL COAL GASIFICATION PROCESS.....	6
2.3.1.1. PROCESS DESCRIPTION.....	6
2.3.2. TEXACO COAL GASIFICATION PROCESS	7
2.4. BIOMASS GASIFICATION	8
2.4.1. BIOMASS PREPARATION.....	9
2.4.1.1. SIZE REDUCTION	9
2.4.1.2. DRYING	9
2.4.1.3. TORREFACATION	9

2.4.2. GASIFICATION	9
2.5. COGASIFICATION OF COAL AND BIOMASS PROCESS	10
2.5.1. COGASIFICATION PROCESS MAIN OPERATION STEPS.....	10
2.5.1.1. COGASIFICATION STEP	11
2.6. DIRECT COMBUSTION OR INCINERATION TECHNOLOGIES	11
2.6.1. PCCB.....	11
2.6.2. FBCB.....	12
2.6.3. GASIFICATION OR PARTIAL COMBUSTION VS. DIRECT COMBUSTION	13
2.6.3.1. THE MAIN ADVANTAGES OF PRODUCING HEAT AND ELECTRICITY USING GASIFICATION OVER DIRECT COMBUSTION	14
2.7. IGCC PLANT SHORT DESCRIPTION	15
2.8. DETAILED IGCC PLANT DESCRIPTION.....	16
2.8.1. FEEDSTOCK PREPARATION.....	16
2.8.2. ASU.....	16
2.8.3. GASIFICATION AND HIGH TEMPERATURE SYNGAS COOLING UNIT	16
2.8.4. SYNGAS CLEANING UNIT	18
2.8.5. WGS UNIT	18
2.8.6. COMBINED CYCLE AND HRSG.....	19
2.9. THE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR IGCC PLANT	20
2.10. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE IGCC PLANT	20
2.10.1. CO ₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES	20
2.10.1.1. POST COMBUSTION	21
2.10.1.2. PRE-COMBUSTION.....	21
2.10.1.3. OXY-FUEL COMBUSTION	21
2.10.2. ACID GAS REMOVAL	22
2.10.2.1. RECTISOL PROCESS.....	22
2.10.3. REDUCTION OF NOX EMISSIONS FROM SYNGAS	23
2.10.4. REMOVAL OF MERCURY FROM SYNGAS.....	23
2.10.5. REDUCTION OF LEAD EMISSIONS	23
2.11. HEAT INTEGRATION AND TARGETING	23
2.11.1. PINCH TECHNOLOGY	23
2.11.1.1. COMPOSITE CURVE	24
2.11.1.2. GRAND COMPOSITE CURVE	24

2.11.2. HEN DESIGN OR PINCH DESIGN METHOD.....	25
CHAPTER 3 : MODELING OF AN ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER	27
3.1. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES.....	27
3.1.1. PROCESSES AND REACTIONS	28
3.1.1.1. DEHYDRATION.....	28
3.1.1.2. COAL PYROLYSIS	28
3.1.1.3. VOLATILE COMBUSTION REACTIONS	28
3.1.1.4. GASIFICATION REACTIONS	28
3.1.1.5. WATER-GAS SHIFT AND STEAM-METHANE REFORMING REACTION.....	28
3.1.2. SIMULATION APPROACHES	28
3.1.2.1. STREAMS.....	29
3.1.3. MODEL VALIDATION.....	29
3.1.3.1. MODEL ASSUMPTIONS.....	30
3.1.3.2. MODEL BASIS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS	30
3.1.3.3. MODEL RESULTS	30
3.1.4. ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER MODEL WITH FEEDSTOCK DRY AMERICAN COAL	32
3.1.4.1. MODEL RESULTS.....	32
3.1.5. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING GASIFICATION TEMPERATURE ON SYNGAS COMPOSITION.....	32
3.1.6. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING S/O RATIO ON THE H ₂ /CO RATIO, CH ₄ /H ₂ RATIO IN SYNGAS AND SYNGAS FLOW RATE	33
3.1.6.1. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING S/O ON THE H ₂ /CO RATIO IN SYNGAS.....	33
3.1.6.2. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING S/O RATIO ON THE CH ₄ /H ₂ RATIO IN SYNGAS	34
3.1.6.3. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING S/O ON SYNGAS FLOW RATE	35
3.1.7. THE EFFECT OF USING A MIXTURE OF (90% COAL AND 10% RICE STRAW) ON SYNGAS COMPOSITION AND THE PERFORMANCE OF GASIFIER.....	36
3.1.7.1. MODEL FEED.....	37
3.1.7.2. MODEL ASSUMPTIONS, OPERATING CONDITIONS AND FEED MASS FLOW RATE.....	37
3.1.7.3. MODEL RESULTS.....	38
3.1.8. THE EFFECT ON SYNGAS COMPOSITION	38
3.1.9. THE EFFECT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MODELED ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER.....	39
3.1.10. ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER WITH FEEDSTOCK EGYPTIAN COAL (EL-MAGHARA COAL)	39
3.1.10.1. MODEL FEED.....	40

3.1.10.2. MODEL OPERATING CONDITIONS	40
3.1.10.3. MODEL RESULTS.....	41
3.1.11. ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER WITH DRY FEEDSTOCK MIXTURE OF 90%EGYPTIAN COAL (EL-MAGHARA COAL) AND 10% RICE STRAW	41
3.1.11.1. MODEL OPERATING CONDITIONS	41
3.1.11.2. MODEL RESULTS.....	41
3.1.12. THE EFFECT OF CHANGING THE FEEDSTOCK COAL TYPE ON SYNGAS COMPOSITION AND THE PERFORMANCE OF GASIFIER.....	42
3.1.12.1. THE EFFECT ON SYNGAS COMPOSITION	42
3.1.12.2. THE EFFECT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MODELED ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER.....	42
CHAPTER 4 : HEAT INTEGRATION AND IMPROVEMENTS ON IGCC PLANT.....	43
4.1. INFORMATION ON THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT.....	43
4.1.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT WITH COAL-WATER SLURRY FEED..	43
4.1.2. MINIMUM TEMPERATURE APPROACH ΔT_{MIN} OF THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT	44
4.1.3. ENERGY TARGETING FOR THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT	46
4.1.4. HEAT INTEGRATION ON THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT WITH SLURRY FEED.....	49
4.1.4.1. THE COMPOSITE AND GRAND COMPOSITE CURVES AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 1.54^{\circ}\text{C}$	49
4.1.4.2. HEN AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 1.54^{\circ}\text{C}$	50
4.1.5. HEAT INTEGRATION ON THE EXISTING IGCC PLANT WITH SLURRY FEED AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 13^{\circ}\text{C}$	53
4.1.5.1. THE COMPOSITE AND GRAND COMPOSITE CURVES AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 13^{\circ}\text{C}$	53
4.1.5.2. HEN DESIGN AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 13^{\circ}\text{C}$	54
4.1.6. OPTIMUM HEAT INTEGRATION DESIGN	57
4.1.6.1. THE COMPOSITE AND GRAND COMPOSITE CURVES AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 7^{\circ}\text{C}$	57
4.1.6.2. THE HEN OPTIMUM DESIGN AT $\Delta T_{\text{MIN}} = 7^{\circ}\text{C}$	58
CHAPTER 5 : REVAMPING OF AN EXISTING NG POWER PLANT....	62
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS....	65
REFERENCES.....	67

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison between the three types of gasifiers	5
Table 2.2: Main differences between gasification or partial combustion and direct combustion	14
Table 3.1: Component attributes of coal used in the model.....	27
Table 3.2: Practical data that are used as feed in this model.....	29
Table 3.3: Syngas mass flow rates resulted from the proposed model	30
Table 3.4: Syngas composition resulted from the proposed model.....	30
Table 3.5: Syngas composition resulted from the 9 runs of the Texaco entrained flow gasifier	31
Table 3.6: Resulted syngas information with feedstock dry American coal.....	32
Table 3.7: The effect of changing gasification temperature on syngas composition	32
Table 3.8: The effect of changing steam/O ₂ ratio on the H ₂ /CO in syngas.....	33
Table 3.9: The effect of changing S/O ratio on CH ₄ /H ₂ ratio in syngas	34
Table 3.10: The effect of changing S/O ratio on the produced syngas flow rate .	35
Table 3.11: Component attributes of coal used in the model	37
Table 3.12: Component attributes of rice straw used in the model	37
Table 3.13: Resulted syngas information from the modeled entrained flow gasifier with feedstock (90% American coal and 10% rice straw).....	38
Table 3.14: The effect of changing the percent of rice straw in coal-rice straw feedstock mixture on syngas composition.....	38
Table 3.15: The effect of changing the percent of rice straw in feedstock on the performance of the modeled gasifier	39
Table 3.16: Component attributes of El-Maghara coal used in the model.....	40
Table 3.17: Resulted syngas composition with feedstock El-Maghara coal.....	41
Table 3.18: Resulted syngas composition with feedstock (90% El-Maghara coal and 10% rice straw).....	41
Table 3.19: The effect of changing feedstock coal type on the produced syngas composition	42
Table 3.20: The effect of changing feedstock coal type on the performance of gasifier	42
Table 4.1: $\Delta T_{\min}$ of the existing IGCC plant with wet slurry feed.....	44
Table 4.2: The utilities cost of the existing IGCC plant	45
Table 4.3: Information of the existing IGCC plant.....	46
Table 4.4: The hot and cold streams information	50
Table 4.5: The additional HE area	51
Table 4.6: The cost of heating and cooling utilities used in the heat integrated IGCC plant.....	52
Table 4.7: Comparison between the existing and the heat integrated IGCC plants	52
Table 4.8: The hot and cold streams information	54
Table 4.9: The additional HE area	55
Table 4.11: The utilities cost used in the heat integrated IGCC plant at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13^{\circ}\text{C}$	56

Table 4.12: Comparison between the existing IGCC plant, the heat integrated IGCC plant at $\Delta T_{\min} = 1.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the heat integrated IGCC plant at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	56
Table 4.13: Hot and Cold Streams Information at $\Delta T_{\min} = 7\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	58
Table 4.14: The additional HE area	60
Table 4.15: The utilities cost	60
Table 4.16: A Comparison between the existing IGCC plant, the heat integrated IGCC plant at $\Delta T_{\min} = 1.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the heat integrated IGCC plant at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the optimum operated design at $\Delta T_{\min} = 7\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	61
Table 5.1: Existing NG power plant with a syngas turbines line, gasification unit, ASU and cleaning unit	63

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Entrained flow gasifier	4
Figure 2.2: Fluidized bed gasifiers.....	5
Figure 2.3: Fixed bed gasifier.....	4
Figure 2.4: Shell coal gasification process	7
Figure 2.5: Texaco coal gasification process	8
Figure 2.6: Block flow diagram for biomass gasification processes	9
Figure 2.7: Block diagram for biomass gasification reactions	10
Figure 2.8: Main operation steps for cogasification of coal and biomass process	10
Figure 2.9: Process flow diagram of PCCB unit	12
Figure 2.10: Process flow diagram of FBCB	13
Figure 2.11: Simplified block diagram for IGCC plant	15
Figure 2.12: Feedstock preparation Unit	16
Figure 2.13: gasification and high temperature syngas cooling unit	17
Figure 2.14: Syngas cleaning unit	18
Figure 2.15: WGS unit	18
Figure 2.16: Power block including combined cycle and HRSG units	19
Figure 2.17: CO ₂ Capture Technologies	21
Figure 2.18: Flow diagram of Rectisol process	22
Figure 2.19: CC sample.....	24
Figure 2.20: GCC sample.....	25
Figure 2.21: HEN design sample	26
Figure 3.1: Flow diagram for coal gasification by using entrained flow gasifier.	29
Figure 3.2: Graph shows the agreement between model results and practical data	31
Figure 3.3: Effect of changing the gasification temperature on the syngas composition	33
Figure 3.4: The effect of changing S/O ratio on H ₂ /CO ratio in syngas	34
Figure 3.5: The effect of changing S/O ratio on CH ₄ /H ₂ ratio in syngas.....	35
Figure 3.6: The effect of changing S/O ratio on the produced syngas flow rate..	36
Figure 3.7: Entrained flow gasifier model with feed mixture (90% coal and 10% rice straw)	36
Figure 3.8: Entrained flow gasifier with El-Maghara Egyptian coal as a feedstock	40
Figure 4.1: The existing IGCC block flow diagram	44
Figure 4.2: Composite curve with minimum energy targets of the existing IGCC plant.....	46
Figure 4.3: Grand composite curve with minimum energy targets of the existing IGCC plant.....	47
Figure 4.4: HEN of the existing IGCC plant.....	48
Figure 4.5: The Composite Curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 1.54$ °C.....	49
Figure 4.6: The Grand Composite Curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 1.54$ °C	49
Figure 4.7: HEN design at $\Delta T_{\min} = 1.54$ °C.....	50
Figure 4.8: Composite Curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13$ °C	53
Figure 4.9: Grand Composite Curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13$ °C.....	53
Figure 4.10: HEN design at $\Delta T_{\min} = 13$ °C	54
Figure 4.11: A relation between total cost and $\Delta T_{\min}$	57

Figure 4.12: Composite curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 7^{\circ}\text{C}$	57
Figure 4.13: Grand Composite Curve at $\Delta T_{\min} = 7^{\circ}\text{C}$	58
Figure 4.14: The HEN design at $\Delta T_{\min} = 7^{\circ}\text{C}$	59
Figure 5.1: Revamped NG power plant	62

Nomenclature

Ad: Additional Heat Exchanger Area

Ae: Existing Heat Exchanger Area

ASU: Air Separation Unit

CP: Specific Heat

DCOALIGT: Density of Coal model

FBCB: Fluidized Bed Combustion Boiler

GT: Gas Turbine

HCOALGEN: Enthalpy of Coal model

HE: Heat Exchanger

HEN: Heat Exchanger Network

HRSG: Heat Recovery Steam Generator

IGCC: Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle

LHV: Lower Heating Value

MCP: Heat Capacity Flow rate

NG: Natural Gas

O&M: Operating and Maintenance

O/C: Oxygen/Carbon

PCCB: Pulverized Coal Combustion Boiler

PR-BM: Peng-Robinson with Boston–Mathias alpha function

PROXANAL: Proximate Analysis

PT: Pinch Temperature

S/C: Steam/Carbon

S/O: Steam/Oxygen