

Evaluation of the management of uterine sarcoma

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in

Surgical oncology

By

Ahmed Abdellateef Mohamed Abdelmawla

M.B.BCh. National Cancer Institute, Cairo University

Supervised by

Dr .Omaia Abd-El Hamed Nassar

Assistant professor of surgical oncology

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

Dr. Sherif Bahaa-Elden Abd El Moety

Lecturer of surgical oncology

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

Dr. Maha Mohamed El Taher

Lecturer of radiotherapy

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

(2009)

Dedication

To my Mother and Father

for encouragement, support , understanding and love.

To My Sister and my Brothers

*for supporting, understanding and giving me the help to finish this
work*

Acknowledgement

*First of all, I want to **THANK GOD** for supporting me and guiding me throughout my life.*

*I wish to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Professor Dr. Omaia Abdelhamed Nassar**, Assistant Professor of Surgical Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, for his academic supervision, guidance, constant encouragement and valuable advice, which was essential for the completion of this study.*

*My sincere gratitude to **Dr. Sherif Bahaa-Elden**, Lecturer of Surgical Oncology, National Cancer Institute Cairo University, for his unforgettable help, continuous inspiration, generosity in giving his time, effort and advice and his continuous assistance during the course of this study.*

*I am also grateful to **Dr. Maha Eltaher**, Lecturer of Radiotherapy, National Cancer Institute Cairo University, for her unique effort, considerable help, assistance and knowledge she offered me through out the performance of this work.*

LIST OF CONTENTS

Title	Page NO.
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
Pathology	4
Prognostic factors	18
Pathogenesis	22
Epidemiology and tumour features	24
Clinical presentation	27
Symptoms and signs	27
Examination & endometrial sampling	29
Laboratory testing	30
Imaging	30
Management (general consideration)	37
Surgical management	39
Surgical anatomy	41
Surgical details	45
Surgical consideration of each type of uterine sarcoma	55
Fertility sparing management	58
Surgical management of relapse	58
Radiotherapy management	59
Chemotherapy management	64
Endocrine therapy	69
Surveillance	69
AIM OF THE WORK	70
PATIENTS AND METHODS	71
RESULTS	73
DISCUSSION	98
CONCLUSION	104
SUMMARY	106
REFERENCES	110
ARABIC SUMMARY	١١٠

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1	Gross and microscopic pictures of leiomyosarcoma	6
2	Microscopic picture of myxoid leiomyosarcoma	8
3	Microscopic picture of carcinosarcoma	11
4	Microscopic picture of endometrial stromal sarcoma	11
5	Microscopic picture of adenosarcoma	15
6	T1-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) image shows uterine leiomyosarcoma	32
7	T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) image shows malignant mixed müllerian tumour	33
8	CT scan with contrast shows malignant mixed müllerian tumour	34
9	CT scan with contrast shows low grade ESS	35
10	T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) image shows high grade ESS	36
11	Surgical anatomy of pelvic and paraaortic lymph node	42
12	Jackknife position used for radical gynecologic surgery	45
13	Types of abdominal incision in gynecologic surgery	47
14	Dissection of ureter from the parametrium	48
15	Clamping and sectioning of proximal vagina	49
16	Dissection of pelvic lymph node at bifurcation of common iliac vessels	50
17	Dissection of lymphatic tissue at psoas muscle	51
18	Identification of Rt ureter and common iliac artery	52
19	Removing specimen of Rt paraaortic lymph node dissection	53
20	Removal of left paraaortic lymph nodes	54
21	Whole pelvic irradiation fields in female pelvis	61
22	Presenting symptoms in patients of studied group	74
23	FIGO stages in patients of studied group	76
24	Pathological types in patients of studied group	77
25	Pathological grades in patients of studied group	77
26	Surgical margin in patients of studied group	78
27	Treatment modalities in patients of studied group	79
28	Type of surgery in patients of studied group	80
29	Overall survival in patients of studied group	83
30	Overall survival comparing both age groups	83
31	Overall survival comparing different FIGO stages	84
Figure No.	Title	Page No.
32	Overall survival comparing different surgical management	85
33	Overall survival comparing different pathological types	86
34	Overall survival comparing positive and negative surgical margins	86
35	Overall survival comparing different pathological grades	87

36	Overall survival with and without chemotherapy	88
37	Overall survival with and without radiotherapy	88
38	Disease free survival comparing different FIGO stages	90
39	Disease free survival with and without radiotherapy management	92
40	Panhystrectomy specimen in 55 -years old female patient with malignant mixed müllerian tumour	93
41	Opend hysterectomy specimen in a case of malignant mixed müllerian tumour	93
42	Omentectomy specimen in a case of malignant mixed müllerian tumour	94
43	Uterine mass mimicking sarcoma with postoperative pathology of degenerated fibroid	94
44	Huge uterine leiomyoma	94
45	T2-weighted mri (axial) shows uterine malignant mixed müllerian tumour	95
46	T2-weighted MRI (sagittal) shows uterine malignant mixed müllerian tumour	95
47	MRI (axial) with pelvic recurrence in a case of uterine malignant mixed müllerian tumour	95
48	CT scan of the chest in a 60-years old female patient shows metastatic uterine leiomyosarcoma to lung	96
49	CT scan of the chest in a 65-years old female patient shows metastatic ESS to lung	96
50	Microscopic picture of endometrial stromal sarcoma	97
51	Microscopic picture of leiomyosarcoma	97
52	Microscopic picture of malignant mixed müllerian tumour	97

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------

1	Classification of mesenchymal tumours of the uterus	5
2	Differences between leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma	6
3	Features comparing stromal nodule and endometrial stromal sarcoma	14
4	Presenting features of uterine sarcoma	28
5	Characteristics of patients of studied group	73
6	Presenting symptoms in patints of studied group	74
7	Initial imaging evaluation in the studied group	75
8	FIGOstage in patient of studied group	75
9	Pathological types of studied group	76
10	Treatment modalities of the studied group	78
11	Modalities of surgical management of the studied group	79
12	Postoperative complications	80
13	Radiotherapy management of the studied group	81
14	Results of survival in studied group	82
15	Overall survival comparing different FIGO stages	84
16	Overall survival with and without chemotherapy	87
17	Overall survival with and without radiotherapy	89
18	Results of multivariant analysis in overall survival	89
19	Disease free survival comparing different FIGO stages	90
20	Disease free survival comparing different pathological types	91
21	Disease free survival with and without radiotherapy treatment	91
22	Results of multivariant analysis in disease free survival	92

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CA 125 Cancer Antigens 125

CD 10 Cluster Designation 10

CD 44 ver 3 Cluster Designation 44 version 3

CS Carcinosarcoma

CT Computed Tomography

DFS Disease Free Survival

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

D&C Dilatation and Curettage

EBRT External Beam Radiotherapy

ER Estrogen Receptor

ESS Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma

FBC Full Blood Count

FIGO Federation of International Gynecologic Oncology

GOG Gynecologic Oncology Group

HDR High Dose Radiotherapy

HGSS High Grade Stromal Sarcoma

HPF High Power Field

IMA Inferior Mesenteric Artery

IVC Inferior Vena Cava

LDR Low Dose Radiotherapy

LGSS Low Grade Stromal Sarcoma

LMS Leiomyosarcoma

LND Lymph Node Dissection

MMMT Malignant Mixed Müllerian Tumour

MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging

NCI National Cancer Institute

OS Overall Survival

PLND Paraaortic Lymph Node Dissection

PR Progesterone Receptor

PTEN Piedmont Triad Entrepreneurial Network

RR Response Rate

SD Standard Deviation

TAH & BSO Total Abdominal Hysterectomy and Bilateral Salpingoophorectomy

VAC Vincristine, Actinomycin D, Cyclophosphamide

WHO World Health Organization

Abstract

Uterine sarcomas include a heterogeneous group of rare tumours that usually have an aggressive clinical behavior and poor prognosis. Clinical staging, histologic cell types, grades, mitotic index and DNA ploidy are important prognostic factors predicting the overall and disease free survival. This retrospective study highlights the experience of management and surgical approaches offered to uterine sarcoma in National Cancer Institute, Cairo University during the period January 2000- December 2007. The study included fifty seven patients with pathologically proven uterine sarcoma and subjected to different types of management at our institute. In our study FIGO staging is the most significant prognostic factor affecting both overall and disease free survival. In our study, external beam radiotherapy with or without brachytherapy seemed to improved local disease control with better results in early stage. Despite using adjuvant treatment survival rates were very poor with 2- year survival 37.6% and 4-year survival 22.2%.

Key words: uterine sarcoma, surgical approaches, FIGO staging, external beam radiotherapy, brachytherapy, overall survival, disease free survival.



INTRODUCTION

Introduction

Uterine sarcoma represents a small percentage of all uterine malignancies and about 1% of all female genital tract neoplasms (*Tavassoli and Devilee, 2003*).

It represents (4–9%) of all invasive uterine cancers, and has an annual incidence rate of less than 2 per 100,000 females (*Brooks et al., 2004*).

Survival rates for uterine sarcoma patients have been uniformly poor with most series have reported a 5-year survival of 30-48% (*Livi et al., 2004*)

In general, two distinct tissue components give rise to malignant mesenchymal tumors; myometrial smooth muscle is the tissue origin for leiomyosarcoma (LMS) and endometrial stroma for stromal sarcoma (ESS), whilst both muscle and stromal tissue types give rise to malignant mixed müllerian (MMMT) sarcoma. In addition, uterine sarcoma is sub classified into homologous (consisting of cells native to the uterus) or heterogonous (cells usually not found in the uterus).The mixed Müllerian tumour consists of malignant elements of both epithelial and stromal elements, hence it is also known as carcinosarcoma. (*Papadopoulos and Kenney, 2001*).

There are important differences in the ways these tumours grow and metastasize. Carcinosarcoma tends to have a higher incidence of lymphatic spread and lymph node metastases, whereas leiomyosarcoma is more likely to have early hematogenous spread usually in the lungs or the liver (*Gadducci et al., 2002*).

However, the metastatic potential is very wide and distant lesions can be found anywhere (*Falconi et al., 2006*).

Clinical staging, histologic cell types, grades, mitotic index and DNA ploidy are important prognostic factors predicting the overall and disease free survival (*Giuntoli et al., 2003*).

The most significant prognostic factor is the stage at diagnosis .The FIGO staging for uterine sarcoma is identical to that for the corpus uteri, with two-thirds being stage I and one-fifth stage IV at diagnosis. (*Bodner et al., 2003*)

In leiomyosarcoma, the size of the tumour affects prognosis, where lesions greater than 5 cm have a poorer outcome. One study demonstrated that leiomyosarcoma has a poorer prognosis than mixed müllerian tumours when adjusted for other known prognostic factors. (*Olah et al., 1992*)

In early stage disease of mixed müllerian tumours some investigators have demonstrated that the following features influence the prognosis; tumour size, cervical spread, depth of myometrial invasion and lymph nodal disease. (*Major et al., 1993*)

Proper Surgery should involve a minimum of total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, careful and thorough inspection of the abdominal and pelvic organs, sampling of fluid for cytological assessment of the washings and perhaps -little more contentiously- pelvic and/or para-aortic lymph node dissection (PLND) (*Amant , 2005*).

The poor disease control is in itself an indication for adjuvant therapy. In most cases, radiotherapy improves local control but not survival. (*Livi et al., 2004*)

On the basis of the results of a recent clinical trial, there is no difference in terms of overall survival or disease free survival for sarcomas, but radiotherapy seems to provide a superior local disease control for mixed müllerian tumours and no benefit with respect to LMS (*Reed et al., 2003*).

In recurrent uterine sarcomas the response rates in different chemotherapeutic regimens have been between 0–57 percent (*Kanjeekal et al., 2005*).



REVIEW OF LITERATURE
