



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

Behaviour and Strengthening of Steel Hollow Section Connections Subjected to Axial and Eccentric Loading

By
MOHAMMED SAEED AHMED HASSANEEN MOHAMMED
B.Sc. (2005)

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
of the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Emam Soliman

Professor of Steel Structures
Structural Engineering Dept.
Ain Shams University,
Cairo.

Dr. Ahmed Hassan Yousef

Associate Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.
Ain Shams University,
Cairo.

Cairo – 2011

كلية الهندسة

سلوك و تقوية وصلات القطاعات المعدنية المفرغة المعرضة لقوى محورية و غير محورية

رسالة مقدمة لنيل درجة الماجستير فى الهندسة المدنية

مقدمة من

محمد سعيد احمد حسانين محمد

تحت اشراف

د./ أحمد حسن يوسف

أ.د./ امام سليمان

أستاذ مساعد بقسم الهندسة الانشائية
كلية الهندسة
جامعة عين شمس

أستاذ المنشآت المعدنية و الكبارى
كلية الهندسة
جامعة عين شمس

القاهرة ٢٠١١

ABSTRACT

Circular hollow sections are most often found in heavy offshore structures subjected to oscillating wave loads. The joints between hollow sections are simple in form, but not simple when stress distribution and design calculations are considered. Extensive studies have been carried out on unstiffened joints, as a result of which their behaviour under various types of loading have been well understood. Methods of assessing their strengths have also been very well developed. But little effort has been made to study in detail the behavior of stiffened hollow section joints in intact conditions and the development of a methodology to assess their strengths. Information on the strength and behavior of these joints in their intact conditions are seldom available. They are useful in the development of guidelines for the design of such joints in offshore structures and also in the evaluation of the reduction in their strengths in case they are damaged due to various causes.

The behaviour and strength of both stiffened and unstiffened hollow section joints subjected to both axial compression force and in plane bending moment have been studied theoretically in this work. A nonlinear finite element model which allows for geometric nonlinearities is constructed for the study using the nonlinear finite element program ABAQUS. A pre-study is performed in order to verify the developed numerical finite element model and its capability to investigate the behaviour of the joints.

Large numbers of joints with different chord and branch dimensions are selected, in order to draw complete Load-displacement curves and to study the different failure modes. Different strengthening

techniques are used which are: a) Strengthening using external gusset plates, b) Strengthening using internal ring stiffeners, c) Strengthening using collar plates and d) Strengthening using knife plates. Strength equations are proposed for the case of using internal ring stiffeners.

Finally, comparison between different strengthening methods is made to find the most applicable strengthening technique.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from March 2006 to September 2011.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : / /

Signature:

Name : Mohammed Saeed Ahmed Hassaneen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	I
STATEMENT	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
NOTATIONS	VII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	XVII
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 STRUCTURAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HOLLOW SECTIONS	2
1.2.1 Tension loading	2
1.2.2 Compression loading	3
1.2.3 Torsion	3
1.2.4 Bending	4
1.3 OTHER ASPECTS OF APPLICATION OF HOLLOW SECTIONS	4
1.3.1 Wind (drag) coefficients	4
1.3.2 Corrosion protection	4
1.3.3 Use of internal void	5
1.4 APPLICATIONS OF HOLLOW SECTIONS	5
1.4.1 Columns	5
1.4.2 Planar trusses	6
1.4.3 Space structures	7
1.4.4 Composite structures	7
1.4.5 Marine structures (offshore structures)	8
1.5 HOLLOW SECTION CONNECTIONS	10
1.6 DESIGN CODES	10
1.7 CLASSIFICATION OF HOLLOW SECTION CONNECTIONS	11
1.8 MAIN JOINT PARAMETERS	12
1.9 FAILURE MODES OF HOLLOW SECTION JOINTS	13
1.10 REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING OF HOLLOW SECTION JOINTS	14
1.11 AIMS OF THE STUDY	16
1.12 THESIS CONTENT	16
CHAPTER 2.....	18
LITERATURE REVIEW	18
2.1 INTRODUCTION	18
2.2 UNSTIFFENED HOLLOW SECTION JOINTS	18
2.3 STIFFENED HOLLOW SECTION JOINTS	24
CHAPTER 3.....	27
FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS	27

3.1 GENERAL	27
3.2 FINITE ELEMENT METHOD	28
3.3 THE ADOPTED FINITE ELEMENT COMPUTER PROGRAM (ABAQUS).....	28
3.3.1 Elements Used	29
3.3.1.1 Shell element.....	30
3.3.2 Meshing	30
3.3.3 Material model	31
3.3.4 Loading and boundary conditions.....	32
3.3.5 Solving nonlinear equations	33
3.3.5.1 Nonlinear Solution Methods in ABAQUS/Standard	33
3.3.5.2 The Solution of Nonlinear Problems.....	34
3.3.5.3 Increments and Iterations.....	35
3.3.5.4 Convergence of the Linear System of Equations.....	35
3.3.5.5 The Riks Method	40
3.3.5.5.1 Overview.....	40
3.3.5.5.2 Unstable Response	40
3.3.5.5.3 Modified Riks Algorithm	42
3.4 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL VERIFICATION.....	44
3.4.1 Example 1	44
3.4.2 Example 2	47
3.4.3 Example 3	49
CHAPTER 4.....	51
BEHAVIOUR OF UNSTIFFENED HOLLOW SECTION T-JOINTS	51
4.1 INTRODUCTION	51
4.2 STUDIED SPECIMENS.....	52
4.3 NUMERICAL RESULTS	55
4.3.1 Load-Displacement behaviour	55
4.3.2 Interaction behaviour	60
4.3.3 Effect of joint parameters on strength.....	65
4.3.4 Failure mechanism	67
4.3.4.1 Axially loaded joints.....	67
4.3.4.2 Bending moment loaded joints	68
CHAPTER 5.....	70
STRENGTHENING OF CIRCULAR HOLLOW SECTION T-JOINTS.....	70
5.1 INTRODUCTION	70
5.2 STRENGTHENING OF THE JOINTS USING EXTERNAL GUSSET PLATES	73
5.2.1 Studied Specimens	73
5.2.2 Load-Displacement behaviour	76
5.2.3 Influence of stiffener dimensions on the ultimate strength	83
5.2.4 Influence of joint parameters on the ultimate strength	85
5.2.5 Failure mechanism	87
5.2.5.1 Axially loaded joints.....	87
5.2.5.2 Bending moment loaded joints	88
5.3 STRENGTHENING OF THE JOINTS USING INTERNAL RING STIFFENERS	90
5.3.1 Strengthening using one central ring stiffener	90
5.3.1.1 Studied Specimens	90
5.3.1.2 Load-Displacement behaviour	93
5.3.1.3 Influence of stiffener dimensions on the ultimate strength	99
5.3.1.4 Influence of joint parameters on the ultimate strength.....	101

5.3.1.5 Failure mechanism	104
5.3.1.5.1 Axially loaded joints.....	104
5.3.1.5.2 Bending moment loaded joints	106
5.3.2 Strengthening using two ring stiffeners	107
5.3.2.1 Studied Specimens	107
5.3.2.2 Load-Displacement behaviour	109
5.3.2.3 Influence of stiffeners dimensions on the ultimate strength.....	116
5.3.2.4 Influence of joint parameters on the ultimate strength.....	118
5.3.2.5 Failure mechanism	121
5.3.2.6 Comparison between using one central and two ring stiffeners.....	123
5.3.2.7 Interaction behaviour	124
5.4 STRENGTHENING OF THE JOINTS USING COLLAR PLATES	125
5.4.1 Studied Specimens	125
5.4.2 Load-Displacement behaviour	128
5.4.3 Influence of collar plate dimensions on the ultimate strength	134
5.4.4 Influence of joint parameters on the ultimate strength	136
5.5 STRENGTHENING OF THE JOINTS USING KNIFE PLATES	139
5.5.1 Studied Specimens	139
5.5.2 Load-Displacement behaviour	142
5.5.3 Influence of knife plate dimensions on the ultimate joint strength	148
5.5.4 Failure mechanism	150
5.5.4.1 Axially loaded joints.....	150
5.5.4.2 Bending moment loaded joints	151
5.5.5 Interaction behaviour	153
5.6 COMPARISON BETWEEN DIFFERENT STRENGTHENING METHODS	154
5.7 STRENGTH ESTIMATION EQUATIONS FOR THE CASE OF USING TWO INTERNAL RING STIFFENERS	156
CHAPTER 6.....	160
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	160
6.1 SUMMARY	160
6.2 CONCLUSIONS	160
6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	164
REFERENCES.....	165

NOTATIONS

The following symbols are used in the present thesis; other symbols not listed below are defined where they are first used.

d_o :	chord outer diameter;
d_1 :	branch outer diameter;
d_{st} :	depth of the external stiffener (external plate);
d_r :	depth of the internal ring stiffener;
d_c :	depth of the collar plate;
d_k :	depth of the knife plate;
f_{op} :	chord stress as a result of additional axial force;
L_o :	chord length;
L_1 :	branch length;
$M_{j,un}$:	ultimate bending strength of the unstiffened joint, at ($P=0$);
$M_{j,st}$:	ultimate bending strength of the stiffened joint, at ($P=0$);
$P_{j,un}$:	ultimate axial strength of the unstiffened joint, at ($M=0$);
$P_{j,st}$:	ultimate axial strength of the stiffened joint, at ($M=0$);
P_o :	chord preload
P_{yo} :	yield normal capacity of the chord ($= F_y \cdot A_{chord}$)
R :	P_o/P_{yo} ;
t_o :	chord wall thickness;
t_1 :	branch wall thickness;
t_{st} :	thickness of the external stiffener (external plate);
t_r :	thickness of the internal ring stiffener;
t_c :	thickness of the collar plate;
t_k :	thickness of the knife plate;

- β : diameter ratio ($=d_1/d_o$);
- γ : chord radius/thickness ratio ($=d_o/2t_o$);
- τ : wall thickness ratio (t_1/t_o); and
- α : chord length parameter ($2L_o/d_o$).

LIST OF TABLES

Table (3-1): Comparison of the ultimate strength of the axially loaded X-joints according to the FE analysis with those of the test results.....	45
Table (3-2): Comparison of the ultimate strength of the axially loaded CHS joints according to the FE analysis done by the author with those done by Emam Soliman (2002).....	47
Table (3-3): Dimensions of specimens used and comparison between finite element results obtained by the authors with those obtained by Lee and Parry (2004) [22].	48
Table (3-4): Description and dimensions of the joints used.....	50
Table (3-5): Comparison between the finite element results with those of the Canadian design guide	50
Table (4-1): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β	53
Table (4-2): Details of joints for investigating the influence of τ	53
Table (4-3): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	53
Table (4-4): Details of joints for investigating the influence of chord axial force (Chord preload).....	54
Table (5-1): Details of joints for investigating the influence of d_{st} and t_{st}	74
Table (5-2): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β	75
Table (5-3): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	75
Table (5-4): Details of joints for investigating the influence of d_r and t_r	91
Table (5-5): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β	92
Table (5-6): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	92
Table (5-7): Details of joints for investigating the influence of d_r and t_r	108
Table (5-8): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β	108
Table (5-9): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	109
Table (5-10): Comparison of joints with single and double stiffeners	123
Table (5-11): Details of joints for investigating the influence of collar plate dimensions	126
Table (5-12): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β ...	127
Table (5-13): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	127
Table (5-14): Details of joints for investigating the influence of d_K and t_K	140
Table (5-15): Details of joints for investigating the influence of β ...	140
Table (5-16): Details of joints for investigating the influence of γ	141

<i>Table (5-17): Comparison between the proposed strength equation (1) and the finite element results</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>Table (5-18): Comparison between the proposed equation (2) and the finite element results</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>Table (5-19): Comparison between the proposed equation (3) and the finite element results</i>	<i>158</i>

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure (1-1): Comparison of wind flow profiles for circular hollow and other sections</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Figure (1-2): Uniform paint thickness for hollow sections due to the absence of sharp edges</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Figure (1-3): Single plate lattice column</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Figure (1-4): Plane lattice girder</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Figure (1-5): Vierendeel girder</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure (1-6): Load transfer through a dowel put through the steel mantle</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Figure (1-7): A typical offshore platform</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Figure (1-8): Typical profile-cut connection</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Figure (1-9): Classification of joints according to geometry</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure (1-10): Symbols used for the main joint parameters</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure (1-11): Failure modes for K- type RHS connections</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Figure (3-1): Finite element mesh for circular T-joint</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Figure (3-2) : The material model used in Abaqus</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Figure (3-3): Boundary conditions and loading type</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Figure (3-4): Nonlinear load-displacement curve</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Figure (3-5) : First iteration in an increment</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Figure (3-6) : Second iteration</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Figure (3-7) : Proportional loading with unstable response</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Figure (3-8) : Typical unstable static response</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Figure (3-9) : Finite element model by the author</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>Figure (3-10) : The studied four axially loaded uni-planar truss models</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Figure (3-11) : Finite models by the author</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Figure (3-12): Comparison between the ultimate strength obtained by the author (2011) with those obtained by Lee and Parry (2004)[22] ..</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Figure (4-1): Load-Displacement curves for different β values ($M=0$), ($\gamma =20$ and $\tau =1.0$)</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Figure (4-2): Moment-Displacement curves for different β values ($P=0$), ($\gamma =20$ and $\tau =1.0$)</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Figure (4-3): Load-Displacement curves for different τ values ($M=0$), ($\gamma =20$ and $\beta =0.6$)</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Figure (4-4): Moment-Displacement curves for different τ values ($P=0$), ($\gamma =20$ and $\beta =0.6$)</i>	<i>57</i>

Figure (4-5): Load-Displacement curves for different γ values ($M=0$), ($\tau=1.0$ and $\beta=0.6$)	58
Figure (4-6): Moment-Displacement curves for different γ values ($P=0$), ($\tau=1.0$ and $\beta=0.6$)	58
Figure (4-7): Load-Displacement curves for different R values ($R=P_o/P_{yo}$) ($M=0$), ($\tau=1.0$, $\beta=0.6$ and $\gamma=20$)	59
Figure (4-8): Moment-Displacement curves for different R values ($R=P_o/P_{yo}$) ($P=0$), ($\tau=1.0$, $\beta=0.6$ and $\gamma=20$)	59
Figure (4-9): Interaction curves for different β values ($\tau=1.0$ and $\gamma=20$).....	61
Figure (4-10): Interaction curves for different τ values ($\beta=0.6$ and $\gamma=20$).....	62
Figure (4-11): Interaction curves for different γ values ($\tau=1.0$ and $\beta=0.6$).....	63
Figure (4-12): Interaction curves for different R values ($\tau=1.0$, $\beta=0.6$ and $\gamma=20$).....	64
Figure (4-13): Effect of β and γ on joint axial strength ($\tau=1.0$ and $R=0$).....	65
Figure (4-14): Effect of β and γ on joint bending strength ($\tau=1.0$ and $R=0$).....	65
Figure (4-15): Effect of R on joint strength ($\beta=0.6$, $\gamma=20$ and $\tau=1.0$..	66
Figure (4-16): Plastic zone in an unstiffened joint T-03 ($\beta=0.6$, $\gamma=20$ and $\tau=1.0$) subjected to axial load	67
Figure (4-17): plastic zone in an unstiffened joint T-06 ($\beta=0.6$, $\gamma=20$ and $\tau=0.3$) subjected to axial load	68
Figure (4-18): plastic zone in an unstiffened joint T-03 ($\beta=0.6$, $\gamma=20$ and $\tau=1.0$) subjected to bending moment.....	69
Figure (4-19): plastic zone in an unstiffened joint T-06 ($\beta=0.6$, $\gamma=20$ and $\tau=0.3$) subjected to bending mome.....	69
Figure (5-1): Different methods of strengthening	72
Figure (5-2): Joint description using external gusset plates.....	73
Figure (5-3): Load-Displacement curves for different t_{st} values ($d_{st}/d_1=0.8$).....	77
Figure (5-4): Moment-Displacement curves for different t_{st} values ($d_{st}/d_1=0.8$).....	77
Figure (5-5): Moment-Displacement curves for different t_{st} values ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$).....	78
Figure (5-6): Load-Displacement curves for different d_{st} values ($t_{st}/t_1=0.6$)	78
Figure (5-7): Moment-Displacement curves for different d_{st} values ($t_{st}/t_1=0.6$)	79

Figure (5-8): Moment-Displacement curves for different d_{st} values ($t_{st}/t_1=0.8$)	79
Figure (5-9): Moment-Displacement curves for different d_{st} values ($t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	80
Figure (5-10): Load-Displacement curves for different β values ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$ and $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	81
Figure (5-11): Moment-Displacement curves for different β values ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$ and $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	81
Figure (5-12): Load-Displacement curves for different γ values ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$ and $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	82
Figure (5-13): Moment-Displacement curves for different γ values ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$ and $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	82
Figure (5-14): Effect of stiffeners dimensions on joint axial strength	84
Figure (5-15): Effect of stiffeners dimensions on joint bending strength	84
Figure (5-16): Effect of β on joint bending strength ($t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	86
Figure (5-17): Effect of γ on joint bending strength ($t_{st}/t_1=1.0$)	86
Figure (5-18): Plastic zone in stiffened joint T-113 ($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$, $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$) subjected to axial load.	87
Figure (5-19): failure mode for stiffened joint T-111($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$, $t_{st}/t_1=0.6$) subjected to bending moment	88
Figure (5-20): failure mode for stiffened joint T-113($d_{st}/d_1=1.0$, $t_{st}/t_1=1.0$) subjected to bending moment	89
Figure (5-21): failure mode for stiffened joint T-119 ($d_{st}/d_1=1.2$, $t_{st}/t_1=1.2$) subjected to bending moment	89
Figure (5-22): Joint description using one central ring stiffener	90
Figure (5-23): Moment-Displacement curves for different t_r values ($d_r/d_o=0.1$)	95
Figure (5-24): Load-Displacement curves for different t_r values ($d_r/d_o=0.1$)	95
Figure (5-25): Load-Displacement curves for different t_r values ($d_r/d_o=0.15$)	96
Figure (5-26): Load-Displacement curves for different t_r values ($d_r/d_o=0.2$)	96
Figure (5-27): Load-Displacement curves for different β values ($d_r/d_o=0.1$ and $t_r/t_o=1.0$)	97
Figure (5-28): Moment-Displacement curves for different β values ($d_r/d_o=0.1$ and $t_r/t_o=1.0$)	97
Figure (5-29): Load-Displacement curves for different γ values ($d_r/d_o=0.15$ and $t_r=10$ mm)	98
Figure (5-30): Moment-Displacement curves for different γ values ($d_r/d_o=0.15$ and $t_r=10$ mm)	98