



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

***Improvement of thermal efficiency and  
emission of domestic gas burner using  
swirling flow design***

By

**Mohanned Emad Eldin Ahmed Yaman**

B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering, Power Section, 2005

A Thesis Submitted In Accordance With the  
Requirements for Degree of Master of Science

Supervised by

**Prof. Dr. Hussein Zaki Barakat**

Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University

**Late/ Prof. Dr. Mohamed Refaat Salem**

Ex-Chairman of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University

**Dr. Abd Elaziz Morgan**

Assistant Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering  
Ain Shams University

Cairo  
2012

### **Examiners Committee**

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommend to the faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for acceptance a thesis entitled “Improvement of thermal efficiency and emission of domestic gas burner using swirling flow design”, submitted by Mohanned Emad Eldin Ahmed Yaman in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of master of science in Mechanical Power Engineering.

Date     /     / 2012

**Name**

**Signature**

**1- Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Fatah El Kady**

Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering.  
El Azhar University

**2-Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Rashid**

Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering.  
Ain Shams University

**3-Prof. Dr. Hussein Zaki Barakat**

Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering.  
Ain Shams University

**4- Associate Prof. Dr. Abdel Aziz Morgan Abdel Aziz**

Associate Professor of Mechanical Power Department  
Faculty of Engineering.  
Ain Shams University

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project would not have been possible without the support of many people. The author wishes to express his gratitude to his supervisor, Prof. Dr. Hussein Zaki Barakat, who was abundantly helpful and offered invaluable assistance, support and guidance. Deepest gratitude's are also due to the members of the supervisory committee, Late Prof. Dr. Mohamed Refaat Salem and Dr. Abd Elaziz Morgan without their knowledge and assistance this study would not have been successful.

Special thanks also to Mr. Amin Abd El- Latif the lab supervisor for his assistance, and also my colleagues Dr. Hany El-Sayed and Amr Atef El Hussein who have always been there for advising and support.

I am deeply and forever indebted to my family for their support and encouragement throughout my entire life.

# ABSTRACT

An experimental work was carried out to investigate the possibility of improving the performance of the domestic gas burners using liquefied petroleum gas (40%  $C_3H_8$  and 60%  $C_4H_{10}$ ) as a gaseous fuel through inducing swirling flow. The effect of varying the swirling angle of the outer cap burner on the burner performance was investigated using four burners with four different ring caps having different swirling angles. The swirling angles selected were ( $5^\circ$ ,  $8^\circ$ ,  $10^\circ$ , and  $16^\circ$ ). The results were compared with the original conventional radial flow burner having no swirl angle. This original burner is a commercial domestic gas burner of conventional radial flow utilizing its original cap of foreign origin acquired from an appliance shop which is commonly used in cooking. The conventional burner exit fuel/air mixture ring with no swirl angle is used to be a reference burner for this investigation.

The experiments were conducted in three phases. The first phase was performed to study the effect of swirling flow on the impingement heat flux from the flames at three flame heights ( $h=10$ ,  $26$  and  $40\text{mm}$ ) at fuel volume flow rate  $3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $2.1 \text{ l/min}$ ) corresponding to thermal input  $3.48 \text{ kw}$ . The second phase was applied to study the effect of varying both of the swirling angles and the fuel volume flow rate on both of the impingement heat flux and the thermal efficiency of the domestic gas burner using the five burner caps mentioned above. The fuel volume flow rate was varied from  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $1.2$  to  $2.1 \text{ l/min.}$ ). The thermal efficiency was studied using open, totally-confined and semi-confined flames. The third phase was carried out for studying the effect of swirling flow on the flame appearance (color and length), the flame temperature distribution and exhaust gas concentrations.

The experimental results showed that increasing the fuel volume flow rate had two opposite effects on the thermal efficiency. The thermal efficiency increased as the fuel flow rate increased to  $2.67 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  ( $1.6 \text{ l/min.}$ ) while as the fuel volume flow rate increased beyond this value the thermal efficiency decreased. The maximum increase in thermal efficiency was  $47.4\%$  using the swirl burner of  $16^\circ$  swirl angle at semi-confined flame compared to that of the conventional radial flow burner at open flame.

Also, the experimental results showed that in the range of outer ring cap swirling angles ( $5^\circ$  to  $16^\circ$ ), as the swirling angle increased, the thermal efficiency increased at all fuel volume flow rates. It confirmed that the induction of swirling flow had a

great effect on enhancing the impingement heat flux at three flame heights ( $h=10$ ,  $26$  and  $40\text{mm}$ ). The maximum gain in the thermal efficiency was  $43\%$  using the swirl burner of  $16^\circ$  swirl angle compared to that of the conventional radial flow burner at semi-confined flame. The previous results clarified that using the semi-confined flame had a pronounced effect on the enhancement of the thermal efficiency.

Also, the results gave an indication about the improvement of the mixing between air and fuel according to the induction of swirling flow led to somewhat higher burning rates that forming more bluish and shorter flame. The results presented also, that the good mixture formed more favorable combustion conditions with high heat release rates producing high average flame temperature and low CO concentrations with slightly high  $\text{NO}_x$  concentrations at all the flame heights.

# Nomenclatures

$C.V$	: Calorific value of fuel, kJ/kg.
$C_w$	: The water specific heat, 4.18 kJ/kg °c.
$d$	: Fuel port diameter, mm.
$(F/A)_{st}$	: The stoichiometric fuel to air ratio.
$h$	: Vertical distance measured from the burner tip, mm.
$I_i$	: The inner swirler tangential momentum, kg.m/s <sup>2</sup> .
$I_o$	: The outer swirler tangential momentum, kg.m/s <sup>2</sup> .
$q''$	: Impingement heat flux, kw/m <sup>2</sup> .
$p$	: Pressure, static pressure, Pa.
$Pr$	: Prandtl number.
$r$	: Burner radial distance , mm.
$Re$	: Reynolds number, dimensionless, $(\rho.v.d)/\mu$ .
$S$	: (Swirl number): defined as the ratio of the axial flux of angular (swirl) momentum “ $G_\theta$ ” to the axial momentum flux “ $G_x$ ” multiplied by a characteristic length ” $L$ ”, dimensionless.
$T_f$	: Flame temperature, K.
$T_{th}$	: Thermocouple temperature, °c.
$T_{wi}$	: Cooling water inlet temperature, K.
$T_{wo}$	: Cooling water outlet temperature, k.
$v$	: Velocity (m/s).
$\alpha$	: (Swirling angle): defined as the angle between the projection of both the port axes and the radius axes on the burner top surface plane.
$\beta$	: (Inclination angle): defined as the angle between the projection of both the port axes and the burner plane on the radius plane.
$\sigma$	: Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2.\text{K}^4)$ .
$\varepsilon$	: Flame emissivity, dimensionless.
$\mu$	: Absolute viscosity, kg/m.s.
$\rho$	: Density, kg/m <sup>3</sup> .
$\eta_{th}$	: Thermal efficiency.
$\theta$	: Swirl vane angle measured from horizontal plane.
$\phi_o$	: Overall Equivalence ratio, dimensionless which is the ratio between the stoichiometric air to fuel ratio to the actual air to fuel ratio.

# Abbreviations

LPG	: Liquefied petroleum gas.
CB	: Conventional burner
PM	: Porous medium
RB	: Radial burner
SB	: Swirl burner
SPMB	: Self-aspirating porous medium burner
PRB	: Porous radiant burner
PRRB	: Porous radiant recirculated burner

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURES .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Review of the Previous Work.....	7
2.2.1 Swirling in the Industrial applications .....	7
2.2.2 Swirling in the Domestic applications .....	8
<b>CHAPTER (3): TEST RIG AND EXPERIMENTAL WORK.....</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	25
3.2 The Burner.....	27
3.2.1 Burner Head .....	27
3.2.2 Burner Cap .....	33
3.2.3 Burner Casing .....	34
3.3. Fuel Supply System .....	35
3.3.1 Flame temperature measurements .....	35
3.3.2 Calibration of the flame thermocouple .....	36
3.3.3 Estimation of the error in measuring the flame temperature using type S thermocouple .....	36
3.4 Data Acquisition System .....	39
3.5 2-D Positioning System .....	39
3.6 Flame Photographing .....	39
3.7 Exhaust Gas Analysis .....	41
<b>CHAPTER (4): EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE.....</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	43
4.2 Experimental Program .....	43
4.3 The Experimental Procedure .....	44
4.4 Thermal Efficiency .....	46
4.4.1. Thermal efficiency calculation .....	46



4.5 Swirl Number .....	47
4.5.1. Swirl flow characteristics .....	47
4.5.2. Generation of the swirl flows .....	47
4.5.3. Vane swirler characteristics .....	48
4.5.4. Swirl strength (swirl number) for a single swirler .....	48
4.5.5. Calculation of the swirl number for each burner .....	50
4.6 The use of the vatell heat flux .....	51
CHAPTER (5): RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	54
5.1 Introduction .....	54
5.2 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the impingement heat flux from the produced flame .....	56
5.2.1 The impingement heat flux from the swirl and the non-swirl burners' flames .....	56
5.2.2 Impingement heat flux from the produced flame .....	57
5.3 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the thermal efficiency .....	67
5.3.1 The thermal efficiency using open flame .....	67
5.3.2 The thermal efficiency using totally-confined flame.....	71
5.3.3 The thermal efficiency using semi-confined flame.....	75
5.4 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the flame shape and color.....	80
5.5 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the flame length.....	82
5.6 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the flame temperature .....	87
5.7 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the exhaust gas analysis.....	99
5.7.1 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the NO <sub>x</sub> concentrations .....	99
5.7.2 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the CO concentrations .....	109
5.7.3 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations.....	120
5.7.4 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the O <sub>2</sub> concentrations .....	131
5.8 The effect of the swirling flow angle, $\alpha$ , on the secondary air entrainment.....	144
5.8.1 Overall equivalence ratio.....	144
5.8.2 Primary equivalence ratio .....	150
CHAPTER (6): CONCLUSIONS.....	154
6.1 Introduction .....	154
6.2 Conclusions .....	155
REFERENCES: .....	156
APPENDIX (1): CALCULATION OF THE OVERALL EQUIVALENCE RATIO .....	160
APPENDIX (2): FLAME THERMOCOUPLE CALIBRATION.....	162

<b>APPENDIX (3): THE USB-4718 SPECIFICATION .....</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>APPENDIX (4): GAS ANALYZER SPECIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>APPENDIX (5): HEAT FLUX CALIBRATION.....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>APPENDIX (6): SPECIFICATIONS OF THE GASEOUS FUEL .....</b>	<b>174</b>
<b>APPENDIX (7): FLAME LENGTH MEASUREMENTS .....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>APPENDIX (8): CALCULATION OF THE CONVECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT .....</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>APPENDIX (9): PHOTO COPIES OF THE OUTPUT PAPER SLIP SAMPLES .....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>APPENDIX (10): THE HOT WIRE ANEMOMETER SPECIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>APPENDIX (11): THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE THERMAL EFFICIENCY .....</b>	<b>182</b>

# **CHAPTER (1)**

## **INTRODUCTION**

The ever increasing demand for higher efficiency and precise controllability coupled with more stringent pollution emission requirements impose considerable pressures on combustion research and development.

The major challenge for best utilization of fossil fuel energy via combustion is focused on environment, efficiency and economics. To meet these requirements, the development of combustion equipment must be directed at environmental compatibility, high efficiency and high intensity in addition to low capital and operating costs [1].

With increasing concern of society for environmental protection, domestic gas burners producing lower pollutant emissions are much desirable. Therefore, more studies on thermal efficiencies and emissions of domestic gas-fired burners have been carried out.

It is well known that the domestic gas burner most widely used is the conventional Bunsen type, i.e. partially aerated. The typical partially aerated burner entrains primary air naturally by a momentum sharing process between the high velocity gas jet and ambient air [2].

Considering that many cooker-top burners being used nowadays, even slight improvements in the thermal performance and reductions of emissions resulting from a better design will have significant impacts on decreasing the world's fossil-fuel expenditure and environmental degradation.

The fuel commonly used in domestic gas appliances is LPG or natural gas. Liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) is widely used as a fuel in heating processes (especially in domestic heating appliances) throughout the world because its combustion products are relatively clean. However, the indoor air pollution has still been of great concern due to its direct association with people [2].

For domestic cooking applications, LPG (liquefied petroleum gas) is the most commonly used conventional fuel. The combustion in a domestic LPG cooking stove takes place in a gaseous environment, and the flame

stabilizes over the surface of the burner. This combustion is known as the free-flame combustion, where convection is the dominant mode of heat transfer. The free-flame combustion is characterized by a thin reaction zone and this result in a sharp temperature gradient across the flame. A thin reaction zone and a sharp temperature gradient are responsible for an inefficient combustion which leads to a higher amount of pollutants formation [3].

Existing domestic gas burners have solely relied on the self-aspirating atmospheric conventional burner with radially flowing ring burner. Most conventional domestic burners have typically relied on open combustion flame, where a large amount of energy loss with the flue gas arises, resulting in relatively low thermal efficiency (<30%). It is clear that if the dispersion of the flame or flue gas to the surroundings can be delayed, then an improvement in thermal efficiency can be achieved [1].

Accordingly, much effort has been expended to obtain higher thermal efficiencies and lower emissions of domestic gas burners for a number of years in view of the desire for energy saving and the requirement for reducing emission.

Direct gas flame impingement is employed in a wide range of industrial and domestic heating processes. By impinging the flame on the target, forced convection greatly enhances the heat transfer rate. So, significant attention has been paid to the heat transfer characteristics of the impinging flame system. [4].

A rapid and high heating rate leading to short processing time is one of the important requirements of heating processes. Direct gas flame impingement heating using hydrocarbon–air or hydrocarbon–oxygen flames is employed in an expanding range of heating processes because of its rapid and high heating rate. The dominant mode of heat transfer is forced convection, and the flames are characterized by their elevated temperatures, which are associated with concentrations of dissociated species, although small but are significant. Recently, rapid heating techniques have become increasingly popular because they offer the possibility of saving energy and improving the quality of the hot product [5].

Experimental studies show that swirl has large-scale effects on flame size, flame shape, flame stability, combustion efficiency and combustion

products. Hence for lower environmental pollution of carbon monoxide (CO), more energy saving, high combustion efficiency and stable flame that allow good and safe operation under wide range of air to fuel ratios, swirling motion is required.

The basic requirements of all combustion processes, whether domestic or industrial, are the same regardless of the mode of the fuel and air supply to the burners or combustors. As an example in gas turbine combustors, these requirements are; adequate mixing between the fuel and the combustion air, the flame stay lighted over a wide range of operating conditions, high combustion efficiency, wide stability limits, low pressure loss, and low emissions.

These requirements constitute also the major concern in designing and operating domestic burners. For these reasons, the combustor design is directed towards obtaining sufficient mixing between the fuel and combustion air, at a stable flow pattern and minimal combustor and flame length as well, and pressure loss.

In all combustion systems, the strong favorable effects of applying swirl to injected air and fuel are strongly motivate the use of swirl promoters as an aid to the stabilization of high intensity combustion process and efficient clean combustion in a variety of practical situations such as gasoline and diesel engines, gas turbines, industrial furnaces, utility boilers, and many other practical heating devices.

The mixing of fuel and oxidizer, convection, diffusion, heat transfer, evaporation and turbulence are usually bond together through strongly coupled interaction in combustion systems. Such phenomena as stability limits are not only dependent upon the fuel and oxidizer chemical properties but also depend upon the gas dynamics of the system and how they affect each other [1].

Considering all the previous requirements, the process of design and selecting a suitable flame stabilization mechanism is important for the attainment of a successful overall performance of the continuous combustion system.

Swirlers are often used to produce high rates of turbulence within the fuel and air mixture through the generation of the internal recirculation by creating a strong vorticity on the burner axis. This is achieved by imparting

a tangential momentum to the forward flow by the swirlers system. Swirl increases the mixing through the large turbulence generated in the jet shear layers. It improves also stability through the recirculated hot species and by the effect of the aerodynamic blockage of the recirculation zones. Decreased levels of pollutant emissions are possible from the swirl stabilized combustion with a uniform heat release distribution necessary for the durability of combustion equipment [6].

The effect of swirl on the pollution generation is a function of many parameters. Fuel type, fuel and air supply dynamics injection location, expansion geometries of combustor nozzles, and swirl mode and strength are some of these parameters. For a swirl stabilized combustion of a diffusion flame the increased swirl increases the entrainment rate of the ambient air in a confined configuration and thereby decreases the flame temperature and hence the  $\text{NO}_x$  formation through the thermal mechanism, [6].

Swirl flows are widely used in industrial burners in order to improve fuel-air mixing and accordingly the flame stabilization. As swirl is introduced in the flow, the tangential component of swirling flow enhances the turbulent mixing of fuel and air, and the swirl-induced recirculation stabilizes the flame. In general, if the swirl strength is strong enough, characterized by the non-dimensional swirl number  $S$ , an internal recirculation zone can be established that serves as a reservoir of heat and chemical radicals. The existence and shape of this zone strongly influence the flame stability [6].

Swirl significantly influences the heat and mass transfer in natural flows encountered in many technological applications. Inducing swirl in these processes possesses great potential to extend the residence time and enhance flow mixing by means of the rotational movement of the flow field. However, most of the flow types of domestic gas burners contain no swirl flow [2].

Low-pressure and low-Reynolds-number impinging premixed gas-fired flames are widely used in domestic and light industrial applications because of their excellent heating performance and ability to produce rapid and clean combustion.

Recently, there are increasing efforts on studying the thermal and emission characteristics of such flame jet systems. One major drawback in utilizing

the impinging premixed gas-fired flame jet is that a very narrow range exists between the upper and lower flammable limits such that it can only operate stably within rather narrow ranges of Reynolds number and equivalence ratio.

In order to enhance the flammability limits of the flame and thus improve its thermal performance, the method of inducing a swirling flow has been used successfully to the high-pressure and high-Reynolds-number impinging diffusion flame which is applied in many large-scale and heavy-duty industrial applications. However, there were very few reported studies on the induction of a swirling flow to the small-scale, low-pressure and laminar impinging premixed flame.

Although some studies have investigated the effects of a swirling central flame, little attention has been paid to the influence of a radial multi-port burner with superimposed swirl, which is a new burner design with swirling flames in this study.

A considerable amount of published work exists on the development of low emission; energy efficient gas stove burners, whereas the literature on the effects of flow type on burner performance is still very limited.

In this study, it is important to assess the effects of swirl flow on the burner performance and propose suitable design or operational factors for domestic gas burners in case of open flame and confined flame. A novel design of domestic gas burner with swirling flames is proposed, and its thermal efficiency and CO, NO<sub>x</sub> emission characteristics in comparison to those of the conventional gas burner without swirling flames are investigated in the present study.