


الرحمن الرحيم



صدق الله العظيم

(طه :)

**Color Stability of Polished and
Repolished nanofilled and
microhybrid composite Resin
restorations Stained by some
coloring agents**

Thesis

**Submitted to Faculty of Oral and Dental
Medicine Cairo University in the partial
fulfillment of the requirements of Master
degree in Operative Dentistry**

By

Asmaa Mohamed Reda Ahmed

B.D.SC. (2008)

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

“Cairo University”

(2012)

SUPERVISORS

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Adel Ezzat Khairy

**Professor of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University**

Dr. Maha Abdelsalam Elbaz

**Lecturer of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University**

DEDICATION

THIS IS WORK IS DEDICATED TO

MY FAMILY ESPECIALLY MY MOTHER

FOR THEIR LOVE, ENCOURAGEMENT

AND SUPPORT IN EVERY STEP OF MY LIFE.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

FIRST AND FORMOST, I AM DEEPLY GREATFUL TO ALLAH WHO STOOD BY ME ALL ALONG, WITH MY GREAT APPRECIATION AND THANKS FOR ACHIEVING MY GOALS IN MY LIFE.

I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS MY SINCERE GRATITUDE, MY DEEPEST THANKS AND APPRECIATION TO THE DEAREST OF THE DEAR PROFESSORS: DR. MOHAMMED ADEL EZZET, PROFESSOR OF OPERATIVE DENISTRY, OPERATIVE DENISTRY DEPARTMENT, FUCULTY OF ORAL AND DENTAL MEDICINE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY, NOT ONLY FOR HIS SCRUPULOUS SUPERVISION BUT ALSO FOR HIS GUIDANCE , ENCOURAGEMENT AND HIS VALUABLE ADVICE THROUGHOUT THIS WORK.

I WISH TO EXPRESS MY SINCERE THANKS TO DR. MAHA ALBAZE, LECTURE OF OPERATIVE DENISTRY, OPERATIVE DENISTRY DEPARTMENT, FUCULTY OF ORAL AND DENTAL MEDICINE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY, FOR HER FRIENDLY SUPERVISION, FRATERNAL HELP AND CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM.

MY THANKS EXTENDED TO THE STAFF MEMBERS OF THE OPERATIVE DENISTRY DEPARTMENT, FOR THEIR KIND HELP THOROUGHOUT THIS WORK .

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of contents

	Page
List of tables-----	i
List of figures-----	ii
Introduction-----	1
Review of literature-----	5
I The influence of staining agents On Color Stability-----	6
II The influence Of Surface Roughness And Geometry On The Color Stability Of Composite-----	22
Aim of the study-----	30
Materials and methods-----	32
Results-----	44
Discussion-----	67
Summary and Conclusions-----	78
References-----	81
Arabic summary-----	88

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (1) : composite resin materials used in this study-----	33
Table (2) : products used for staining effect-----	33
Table (3) : variables of the study-----	35
Table (4) : Interaction of variables -----	36
Table (5) : Regression model results for the effect of different variables on mean(E)-----	45
Table (6) : Comparison between (E) of composite types regardless of other variables-----	45
Table (7) : Comparison between (E) at different aging periods regardless of other variables-----	46
Table (8) : Comparison between (ΔE) of different staining agent regardless of other-----	47
Table (9) : Comparison between (ΔE) of different variables' interactions-----	49
Table (10) : Regression model results for the effect of different mean (ΔL) -----	50
Table (11) : Comparison between (ΔL) of composite types regardless of other values-----	51
Table (12) : Comparison between (ΔL) at different aging periods regardless of other variables-----	52
Table (13) : Comparison between (ΔL) of different staining agents regardless of other values-----	52
Table (14) : Comparison between (ΔL) of different variables' interactions-----	53
Table (15) : Regression model results for the effect of different variables on mean (Δa)-----	55
Table (16) : Comparison between (Δa) of composite types regardless of other Variables-----	56
Table (17) : Comparison between (Δa) at different aging period regardless of other variables-----	57
Table (18) : Comparison between (Δa) of different staining agents regardless of other variables-----	57

I

Table (19) :	Comparison between (Δa) of different variables' interactions-----	58
Table (20) :	Regression model results for the effect of different variables on mean (Δb)-----	60
Table (21) :	Comparison between (Δb) of composite types regardless of other variables-----	61
Table (22) :	Comparison between (Δb) at different aging periods regardless of other variables-----	62
Table (23) :	Comparison between (Δb) of different staining agents regardless of other variables-----	63
Table (24) :	Comparison between (Δb) of different variables' interactions-----	64

LIST OF FIGURES

II

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure (1) : Scope Capture Digital Microscope, Guangdong, China)	37
Figure (2) : Cervical cavities on bovine incisors.-----	40
Figure (3) : TORVMLtd,finishing& polishing stem disc-----	41
Figure (4) : composite resin restorations after finishing and polishing	41
Figure (5) : Florelle long lasting lipstick, Italy-----	42
Figure (6) : Florelle,206, IE ROUG, creamy lipstick-----	42
Figure (7) : specimens during discoloration inside the incubator-----	43
Figure (8) : COCA-cola discolorations-----	43
Figure (9) : coffee discolorations-----	43
Figure (10) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔE)of composite types-----	46
Figure (11) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔE) at different aging periods-----	47
Figure (12) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔE) values of staining agents-----	48
Figure (13) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔE) of the different interactions-----	50
Figure (14) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔL) of composite types-----	51
Figure (15) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔL) at different aging periods-----	52
Figure (16) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔL) values of staining agents-----	53
Figure (17) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (ΔL) of the different interactions-----	55
Figure (18) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (Δa) of composite types-----	56
Figure (19) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (Δa) at different aging periods-----	57
Figure (20) : Bar chart representing mean values for comparison between (Δa) values of staining agents-----	58