



Assessment of Water Treatment Technologies in Removal of Heavy Metals from Drinking Water Sources at Greater Cairo

**A Thesis Submitted for the degree of Master of Science as
a partial fulfilment for requirements of the Master of Science**

By

**AmrSayed Ali AboGabal
(B.Sc. in Chemistry)**

**Department of Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt**



Assessment of Water Treatment Technologies in Removal of Heavy Metals from Drinking Water Sources at Greater Cairo

A Thesis

**Submitted for the degree of Master of Science as a partial
fulfilment for requirements of the Master of Science**

By

**AmrSayed Ali AboGabal
(B.Sc. in Chemistry)**

Supervised by

**Prof. EglalMyriam Raymond Souaya
Professor of Inorganic Chemistry
Department of Chemistry,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University**

**Dr. Mohamed Bakr Mohamed
Associate Professor of Water Chemistry
Water Pollution Research Department
National Research Centre
Dr. EmadHamdy Mahmoud
Researcher**

**Reference Laboratory for Drinking Water
Holding Company for Water and Wastewater
Department of ChemistryFaculty of Science
Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt**

Approval Sheet

M.Sc. Thesis

Entitled

**Assessment of Water Treatment Technologies in
Removal of Heavy Metals from Drinking Water
Sources at Greater Cairo**

Thesis Advisors

Thesis Approved

Prof. EglalMyriam Raymond Souaya
Professor of Inorganic Chemistry
Department of Chemistry,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

.....

Dr. Mohamed Bakr Mohamed
Associate Professor of Water Chemistry
Water Pollution Research Department
National Research Centre

.....

Dr. EmadHamdy Mahmoud
Researcher
Reference Laboratory for Drinking Water
Holding Company for Water and Wastewater

.....

Head of Chemistry Department

Prof. Dr. Hamed Ahmed YounesDerbala

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*Many deep and sincere thanks are to **Prof. Dr. EglalRimonSouaya**, professor of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for her interest, continuous encouragement, kind contribution and advice.*

*Also, I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Mohamed Bakr Mohamed**, Associate professor of Water Chemistry, Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center, for his supervision of work, his valuable and enlightened guidance, as well as his fruitful discussion all along the course of work.*

*I would like to express my gratitude to **Dr. EmadHamdy Mahmoud**, Researcher in Reference Laboratory for Drinking Water, Holding Company for Water and Wastewater, for his fruitful assistance and constructive support.*

*I am deeply thankful to all **Members of the Reference Laboratory for Drinking Water**, for their valuable help and assistance.*

*Finally, I would like to express my deepest thanks and profound gratitude to my **Family** for their love, never-ending support and inspiration they gave me.*

*First and not least, thanks and praise goes always and never unending to **ALLAH**, for giving me strength and patience to complete this work,*

List of Figures

Figures	Page
Figure 1: Drinking Water Treatment Process	25
Figure 2: Coagulation and flocculation process	27
Figure 3: The mechanism of coagulation process	34
Figure 4: The rapid sand filter in conventional treatment plants	42
Figure 5: Conceptual diagram of Electrolysis	54
Figure 6: pH of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	81
Figure 7:Electrical Conductivity of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	82
Figure 8: Turbidity of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	83
Figure 9: Alkalinity of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	85
Figure 10: Total Hardness (CaCO_3)of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	89
Figure 11: Calcium Hardness (CaCO_3)of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	90
Figure 12: Magnesium Hardness (CaCO_3)of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	91
Figure 13: Chloride (Cl^-) of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	95
Figure 14: Sulfate of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	98
Figure 15: Nitrate of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	101
Figure 16: Fluoride of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	105
Figure 17: Calcium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	111
Figure 18: Magnesium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	112
Figure 19: Sodium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	113

Figure 20: Potassium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	114
Figure 21: Ammonium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	115
Figure 22: Iron of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	123
Figure 23: Manganese of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	124
Figure 24: Aluminum of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	125
Figure 25: Copper of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	126
Figure 26: Zinc of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	127
Figure 27: Nickel of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	128
Figure 28: Lead of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	129
Figure 29: Cadmium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	130
Figure 30: Chromium of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	131
Figure 31: Bacillariophyceae (Diatoms) of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	148
Figure 32: Chlorophyceae (Green algae) of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	149
Figure 33: Cyanophyceae (blue- green algae) of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	150
Figure 34: Total Algal Count of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	151
Figure 35: Chlorophyll "a" Content of raw (a) and treated water (b) at Greater Cairo treatment plants	152
Figure 36: Removal efficiency of Al by zeolite from aqueous solutions	156
Figure 37: Effect of different dose of zeolite on aluminum adsorption	157
Figure 38: Effect of pH on removal efficiency of aluminum from water	158
Figure 39: Effect of temperature on the removal efficiency of aluminum	159
Figure 40: Langmuir adsorption isotherm plot for aluminum adsorption	161

List of Tables

Tables	Page
Table 1: Estimated water consumption from the Nile River in Egypt	5
Table 2: Estimated increase in Nile water use by countries	6
Table 3: Major aquifers, renewability, salinity and productivity, Egypt	8
Table 4: Amount of Egypt water Sources	10
Table 5: Ion Exchange of capacity of some zeolites	51
Table 6: Summary facts about WTPs	55
Table 7: Special composition of the zeolite used in this study	72
Table 8: physical characteristics of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment.	79
Table 9: Statistical data for physical parameters of treated water.	80
Table 10 Seasonal variation of Total Alkalinity, Total Hardness, Calcium Hardness and Magnesium Hardness of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	92
Table 11: Seasonal variation of Chloride, Sulfate, Nitrate and Nitrite of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants	102
Table 12: Seasonal variation of Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium and Potassium of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	116
Table 13: Seasonal variation of Ammonium, Fluoride and Aluminum of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	117
Table 14: Seasonal variation of Iron, Manganese, Copper and Zinc of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	132

Table 15: Seasonal variation of Nickel, Lead, Cadmium and Chromium of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	133
Table 16: Statistical data for Alkalinity, Total Hardness, Ca. Hardness, Mg. Hardness, Calcium and Magnesium of treated water.	134
Table 17: Statistical data for Sodium, Potassium, Chloride, Sulfate Nitrate and Nitrite of treated water.	135
Table 18: Statistical data for Ammonium, Fluoride, Aluminum, Iron and Manganese of treated water.	136
Table 19: Statistical data for Copper, Zinc, Nickel, Lead, Cadmium and Chromium of treated water.	137
Table 20: Bacteriological characteristics of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	153
Table 21: Biological characteristics of raw and treated water at Greater Cairo Treatment plants.	154

Contents

	Page
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
 Chapter I:	 1
I. Introduction	
 Chapter II:	 3
II. Literature Review	
2.1. Egypt water resources	3
2.1.1. River Nile	3
2.1.2 Ground Water	6
2.1.3 Rain Water	9
2.2 Water Pollution	10
2.2.1. Pathogens	11
2.2.2. Oxygen-Demanding Matter	12
2.2.3. Nutrients	13
2.2.4 Heavy Metals	14
2.2.5. Toxic Chemicals	15
2.3. Water Quality Parameter	15
2.3.1. Physical Parameters	16
2.3.1.1. Temperature	16
2.3.1.2. pH	16
2.3.1.3. Conductivity	17
2.3.2. Chemical Parameters	18
2.3.2.1.Nutrients and Heavy Metals	18
2.3.2.2. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	18
2.3.2.3. Pesticides	19
2.3.3. Biological Parameters	20
2.3.4. Microbiological Parameters	21
2.4. Laws and Regulation	22
2.4.1. Law 48/1982 and Decree 8/1983	22
2.4.2. Law 4/1994	24
2.5. Conventional Water Treatment	24
2.5.1 Coagulation and flocculation	26
2.5.1.1. Coagulants and Flocculants	29
2.5.1.2.Zeta potential	31
2.5.1.3 Mechanism of Coagulation	32
2.5.2. Health Effects of residual alum	36
2.6. Clarification and Sedimentation	37
2.6.1 Clarifier Types	38
2.6.2. Filtration process	40

2.6.2.1. Types of sand filters	41
2.6.3. Filter operation	43
2.7. Disinfection	45
2.7.1. Chlorine	45
2.7.2. Chloramination	47
2.7.3 Chlorine Dioxide	48
2.7.4 Ozone	48
2.7.5 UV Radiation	49
2.7.6 Other Agents	49
2.8. Removal of Heavy Metal by Adsorption	50
2.8.1. Activated Carbon	50
2.8.2. Zeolite	51
2.6.3 Electrochemical process	52
 ChapterIII:	 55
III. Materials and Methods	
3.1. Drinking Water Monitoring Design	55
3.1.1. Drinking Water Treatment Plants (WTPs)	55
3.1.2. Sampling and analytical parameters	56
3.1.3. Reference Materials, Chemicals and Media	56
3.2. Physical Parameters	57
3.2.1. pH	57
3.2.2. Electrical Conductivity	57
3.2.3. Turbidity	58
3.3. Chemical Parameters	58
3.3.1. Alkalinity	58
3.3.2. Hardness	59
3.3.3. Chloride (Cl ⁻)	59
3.3.4. Nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	60
3.3.5. Anions (SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , PO ₄ ³⁻ , F ⁻)	60
3.3.6. Cations (Ca ⁺⁺ , Mg ⁺⁺ , Na ⁺ , K ⁺ , NH ₄ ⁺)	61
3.3.7. Heavy Metals	62
3.4. Bacteriological Examination	63
3.4.1. Enumeration of Heterotrophic Plate Count	63
3.4.2. Enumeration of Total Coliform	65
3.4.3. Enumeration of Fecal Coliform	67
3.4.4. Enumeration of Fecal Streptococci	68
3.5. Biological Examination	69
3.5.1. Identification and enumeration of algae	69
3.5.2. Determination of chlorophyll “a”	69
3.6. Adsorption Bench Scale Experiment	71

Chapter IV:	74
IV. Results and Discussion	74
4.1. Drinking Water Quality	74
4.1.1. Physical characteristics	75
4.1.1.1. pH	75
4.1.1.2. Electrical Conductivity (EC)	76
4.1.1.3. Turbidity	77
4.1.2. Chemical characteristics	84
4.1.2.1. Alkalinity	84
4.1.2.2. Hardness	86
4.1.2.3. Chlorides (Cl⁻)	93
4.1.2.4. Nitrite (NO₂⁻)	96
4.1.2.5. Sulfate	97
4.1.2.6. Nitrate (NO₃⁻)	99
4.1.2.7. Fluoride (F⁻)	103
4.1.2.8. Calcium	106
4.1.2.9. Magnesium	106
4.1.2.10. Sodium	106
4.1.2.11. Potassium	107
4.1.2.12. Ammonium (NH₄⁺)	108
4.1.2.13. Heavy Metals	118
4.1.3. Bacteriological Characteristics	138
4.1.3.1. Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC)	138
4.1.3.2. Total Coliforms	139
4.1.3.2. Fecal Coliforms	140
4.1.3.3. Fecal Streptococcus	142
4.1.4. Biological Characteristics	143
4.1.4.1. Enumeration and Identification of algae	143
4.1.4.2. Chlorophyll "a" Content	146
4.2. Adsorption Bench Scale Experiment	155
4.2.1. Effect of initial dose of aluminum on adsorption	156
4.2.2. Effect of adsorbent dose on aluminum removal	157
4.2.3. Effect of pH on adsorption capacity	158
4.2.4. Effect of sample temperature on aluminum removal	159
Chapter V:	163
V. Summary and conclusion	
Chapter VI:	165
VI. References	
Arabic Summary	

I. Introduction

Water of high quality is essential to human life and water of acceptable quality is essential for agriculture, industrial, domestic and commercial uses. All these activities are also responsible for polluting the water. Billions of gallons of waste from all these sources are thrown to freshwater bodies every day. The requirement for water is increasing while slowly all the water resources are becoming unfit for use due to improper waste disposal. The task of providing proper treatment facility for all polluting sources is difficult and also expensive, hence there is pressing demand for innovative technologies which are low cost, require low maintenance and are energy efficient. The adsorption technique is economically favorable and technically easy to separate as the requirement of the control system.

Aluminum sulphate (alum) is a common coagulant chemical in the water treatment industry. As a result, the Water Treatment Plants (WTPs) that use this chemical is highly focused in aluminum. Aluminum is the third most abundant metal on earth, making up 8% of the earth's crust. When aluminum becomes mobilized, it can have toxic effects on the aquatic species living in surface waters. For example, acid rain can increase aluminum in the waters by releasing aluminum normally bound in soils and rocks. Due to the recent acidification of waters systems many studies have investigated the toxicity of aluminum on aquatic ecosystem. The increase in aluminum in the receiving waters and the toxic effects it can have on aquatic species has led the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) to impose aluminum discharge guidelines.

Natural zeolites showing cation exchange properties have been recognized in sedimentary deposits of volcanic origin for more than a century. The unique physical and chemical properties of zeolites, their capability of undergoing cation exchange and reversible dehydration, and their open framework structures liable to act as molecular sieves, render zeolite as a sedimentary deposit of commercial value. Due to these factors and combined with their geographically widespread abundance, zeolite minerals have generated world-wide interest for use in a broad range of applications such as nuclear and municipal waste, water treatment, stack-gas clean-up, natural gas purification, petroleum production, and in agriculture and aquaculture.

Aim of the work

General objective of the present study is to verify the quality of the drinking water that produced from surface water treatment works with different treatment technologies. To achieve this purpose, water samples have been collected from six water treatment plants in Greater Cairo. The samples were drawn from raw water source (inlet) and final treated water (outlet) at the water treatment works. The quality of drinking water was verified by:

- 1- Testing physical and chemical parameters.
- 2- Testing microbiological parameters including bacteriological examination and microscopic examination for biological parameters.
- 3- The results of testing were compared with the Egyptian standard for drinking water (Ministerial Decree No. 458, 2007) to evaluate the compliance with the regulations. In addition the results were compared with the WHO guidelines for drinking water.

Another specific objective has been set as bench scale experiment to determine the removal of aluminum from drinking water using natural adsorbent, zeolite.

II. Literature Review

2.1. Egypt water resources

2.1.1. The Nile River

The Nile River supplies 96% of Egypt's fresh water. It is the longest river in the world, flowing approximately 6,800 km from south to north. Its headwaters stem from the Ruvyironza River in Burundi. The Nile River finally ends its journey in the Nile Delta and the Mediterranean Sea (EEAA, 1999; DRI, 2000; Abdel-Gawad, 2002).

The river basin includes ten states: Rwanda, Burundi, Congo, Tanzania, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, South Sudan, Sudan and Egypt. The Blue Nile reaches a confluence with the White Nile at Khartoum after running 1,500 km from the east where Lake Tana pours out of the Ethiopian highlands. The White Nile reaches Khartoum with its great volume from the inland sea of Lake Victoria north to Egypt where it meets Lake Nasser, a man-made lake formed by the Aswan High Dam. The dam was opened in 1970 and provides protection against floods and drought. It is also an important source of electric power. On the other hand, critics have claimed the dam prevents the natural flooding of the delta and hinders deposition of rich silt downstream. A major obstacle to increase water flow is a swamp in southern Sudan. In the 1930s, the British and Egyptians proposed a canal in order to decrease evaporation and to deliver more water downstream to Sudan and Egypt for use in agriculture. When the so-called Jonglei Canal is completed, the net increase in annual river flow will be 18 BM³. A bilateral agreement between Egypt and Sudan stipulates that the water will be divided equally

Between the two states (Egypt and Sudan) and Ethiopia presently use the greatest portion of the river's water. Available Nile Riverwater in Aswan is estimated at 84 Bm³ of which 10 BM³ is lost through evaporation; the remaining volume is distributed between Egypt and Sudan at the rate of 3:1 according to a 1959 bilateral treaty. Thus, Egypt's share is an average 55.5 BM³/year. Only about 0.26 BM³ of fresh water actually reaches the sea (**Abu-zeidet al., 1992**).

The current water flow allows for year-round river navigation, even during the period when the water level is lowest. According to the Egyptian national plan, distribution of Nile water use in Egypt for the year 2000-2001 is approximately 67.6 BM³, comprising of agricultural, industrial and municipal demand of 55.2, 7.6 and 4.5 BM³, respectively (Table 1). The added 12.1 BM³ water use over the released water from the Aswan High Dam (67.6-55.5 Bm³) comes from recycled agricultural drainage water (5.0 BM³), municipal reused drainage water (0.7 BM³), ground water (5.4 BM³) and some rainfall (1.0 BM³) (**Abdel-Gawad, 2002**).

Agriculture consumes nearly 82% of Egypt's share of Nile River. By the year 2017, total water demand in Egypt is estimated at 87.9 BM³, representing a 30% increase over current consumption. The major contributor to this increase is in the agriculture sector. Egypt is entering into new agricultural development projects to expand the cultivatable area from 7.5 million to 11 million feddan, representing an overall increase of 3.4 million feddan (**Abu-zeidet al., 1992**).