



Cairo University



UNIVERSITY CAMPUS DESIGN

An Approach For The Aesthetical Enhancement Of University Campus

By

Eng.Ahmad Raaft Mitwalli

A Thesis Submitted To
The Faculty Of Engineering At Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Degree Of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015



Cairo University



UNIVERSITY CAMPUS DESIGN

An Approach For The Aesthetical Enhancement Of University Campus

By

Eng.Ahmad Raaft Mitwalli

A Thesis Submitted To
The Faculty Of Engineering At Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Degree Of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Salah
Aldin Ouf
Professor Of Architecture
Cairo University

Dr. Asmaa Abd Al Aaty Mohamed
Associate Professor
Architecture Department
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

UNIVERSITY CAMPUS DESIGN

An Approach For The Aesthetical Enhancement Of University Campus

By

Eng.Ahmad Raaft Mitwalli

A Thesis Submitted To
The Faculty Of Engineering At Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Degree Of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

**Approved by the
Examining Committee**

Prof. Dr.
Abbas Mohamed Al Zafarany

External Examiner

Prof. Dr.
Ahmed Mohamed Amin

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr.
Ahmed Mohamed Salah Aldin Ouf


Thesis Main Advisor

Dr.
Asmaa Abd Al Aaty Mohamed

Member

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

Name : Engineer Ahmad Raaft Mitwalli
Date of birth : 20/9/1988
Nationality : Egyptian
E-Mail : Ahmadraaft@live.com
Phone : 01111600026
Address : 12A 84 Giza St. Giza
Registration Date : 1/10/2012
Awarding Date :
Degree : Master of Science
Department : Architectural Engineering
Supervisors : Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Salah Aldin Ouf
Dr. Asmaa Abd El Aty Mohamed



Examiners External Examiner Prof. Dr. Abbas Al Zafarany
Internal Examiner Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Amin
Thesis Main Advisor Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Salah Aldin Ouf
Member Associate Prof Dr. Asmaa Abd Al Aaty Mohamed

Title of Thesis **UNIVERSITY CAMPUS DESIGN**
“An Approach For The Aesthetical Enhancement
Of University Campus”

Key Words University Campus, Campus Design, Campus Types

Summary : University occupies a well defined physical area, giving it a sense of identity and social focus, the university is essentially a place where various types of building are located in an ordered relationship. This thesis is concerned about the university campus design by analyzing the structure of the campus open spaces, the perception of the space and how it forms the urban type that create remarkable campus, and how the key elements of university character are forged not only by buildings and landscape, but by people and activity. It is the students engaged in discussion or hurrying to the next lecture which help define a university sense of place. That is why the urban space and urban landscaping are so important to university identity. It shows that enclosure, route, gateway, promenade and vista are essential qualities in campus place making.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am deeply thanking God for providing me the power and the ability to complete this project after one year of hard working.

This project would not have been possible without the support and constant assistance of a number of people whom I feel deeply grateful to. First and foremost I wish to thank my advisor, Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ouf for his ardent support to my ideas, he has supported me not only by providing the research his assistantship through my thesis duration, but also academically cooperation, motivation and encouragement through the rough road to finish this thesis.

Special thanks for Prof. Dr. Asmaa Abd El Aty for her respective role and advises that have the greatest effect to put me on the right way. She gave me the freedom I needed to move on.

Deep appreciation to Prof. DR. Ahmed Amin for his guidance, support, and encouragement to finish this thesis.

I am very thankful to Prof. DR. Abbas El Zaafarani for his guidance and assistance.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Sherief Murad, Eng. Yomn Al Sayed and Prof. Dr. Adel Akl whom I am really indebted to them for the extraordinary support, and they helped me in too many ways to count as I proceeded toward the completion of this research.

My deeply thanks and appreciation to all my family my father (the grace of God on him), my mother, and my sister, they were always encouraging me, and they are the resource of my energy in my life, and to them I will always be grateful for any success in my life.

I would also like to thank our faculty members of the department of architecture in Cairo university whom always made us feel as we are at home.

Thesis Structure Form




Table of Contents

Content	Page
Thesis structure form	I
Table of Content	II
List of figures	IX
List of tables	X
Thesis structure	XIII
Introduction	XIV
The Research Problems	XVII
The Research Objectives	XVII
Research inquiries	XVII
The Research Methodology	XVIII
Chapter one: Overview on the universities	
1-1 Introduction	3
1-1-1 Definitions of the university and the campus	3
1-1-2 Definition of the university campus	4
1-2 History of Universities	4
1-2-1 The Islamic Universities	4
1-2-2 The medieval universities in Europe	6
1-2-3 The medieval universities in America	7
1-3 University Architectural Facilities	9
1-3-1 Libraries/Learning centers	10
1-3-2 Science teaching And Research Facilities	12
1-3-3 Housing	13
1-3-4 Athletics and recreation Facilities	14
1-3-5 Social and Support Facilities	16
1-3-6 Cultural Centers	18

Content	Page
Chapter (2) : Approach to the campus university spatial forms	
2-1 Introduction	21
2-2 Campus university spatial forms	22
2-2-1 Place Making Building Dominated Plans	27
2-2-2 Place Making Landscape Dominated Plans	28
2-2-3 Collegaite plans	29
2-2-4 Linear Plans	31
2-2-5 Grid Dominated Plans	32
2-2-6 Modular- Based Plans	34
2-2-7 Molecular Growth Plans	35
2-2-8 Radial Plans	36
2-2-9 Ad hoc	37
2-3 Main campus characteristics	43
2-3-1 Circulation	43
2-3-2 Defining the centre	45
2-3-3 Skyline	46
2-3-4 Defining the edge	48
2-3-5 Establishing the foot print of key buildings	48
2-3-6 Computing on campus	49
2-3-7 Landscape design	50
2-3-8 Environmentalism on the campus	51
2-3-9 The inner city campus	52

Content			Page
Chapter (3)	: Campuses Masterplans	The	
International Case Studies			
3-1	Introduction		55
3-2	Temasek Polytechnic, Singapore		57
3-3	Harvard University, USA		62
3-4	International Islamic University, Malaysia		69
3-5	Universities campus Standards		76
3-5-1	Campus Plans, District Plans, And Site Plans		78
3-5-2	Campus plan		79
3-5-3	District plans		79
3-5-4	Site plan		80
3-5-5	Sustainability		81
3-5-6	Landscape		81
3-5-7	Architecture		83
3-5-8	Circulation Patterns		85
3-5-9	Transportation Systems		85
3-5-10	Utility Infrastructure		87
3-5-11	Special Concerns		87
3-5-12	Way Finding, Lighting, And Site Furnishings		88
3-5-13	Way finding		88
3-5-14	Lighting:		89
3-5-15	Site Furnishings		90
3-5-16	Historic Preservation		90
3-5-17	Key Economic Factors		91
3-5-18	Globalization And Information Technology		92

Content	Page
Chapter (4) : The Arabian Campus Analysis	
4-1 Introduction	96
4-2 The Arabian samples	97
4-2-1 The American University in Cairo, Egypt	97
4-2-2 King Saud University, KSA	103
4-2-3 Cairo University	107
Chapter (5) : Conclusion & Recommendations	
5- Recommendations to The Arabian Campus	122
References	125

List of Figure

Figure		Page
Chapter one: Overview on the universities		
Figure: (1.1)	Chapter one structure	2
Figure: (1.2)	17th century classroom at the University of Salamanca	3
Figure: (1.3)	University of al-Karaouine plan	5
Figure: (1.4)	University of al-Karaouine founded in	5
Figure: (1.5)	EL Azhar masjid Plan	5
Figure: (1.6)	EL Azhar masjid founded in	5
Figure: (1.7)	Area above the old University of Bologna buildings.	6
Figure: (1.8)	Sorbonne Square (Place de la Sorbonne)	6
Figure: (1.9)	Sorbonne Plan (Palace de la Sorbonne)	6
Figure: (1.10)	AGreat Court of King's College University of Cambridge	7
Figure: (1.11)	Westerly View of the Colleges in Cambridge	8
Figure: (1.12)	Yale university the old Brick Row	8
Figure: (1.13)	University of Virginia Rotunda 1819 draft by <u>Thomas Jefferson</u>	8
Figure: (1.14)	Universities history	9
Figure: (1.15)	Cambridge University Library	10
Figure: (1.16)	Cambridge University Masterploan	10
Figure: (1.17)	Harvard University Library	11
Figure: (1.18)	Harvard University Library plan	11
Figure: (1.19)	Harvard University Library master plan	11
Figure: (1.20)	Columbia University Master plan	11
Figure: (1.21)	Columbia University plan	12
Figure: (1.22)	Columbia University library	12
Figure: (1.23)	Harvard University Chemical Laboratory	13
Figure: (1.24)	Fordham University New Residence Halls	13
Figure: (1.25)	Universities Housing system	14
Figure: (1.26)	Pennsylvania University, Fitness Center	14
Figure: (1.27)	Radford University Athletics	15
Figure: (1.28)	Bikes at Stanford University	15
Figure: (1.29)	Football at Notengham university	15
Figure: (1.30)	Cheerleading in Washington University	16
Figure: (1.31)	Student Activity Center on the campus of Texas Tech	17

	University	
Figure: (1.32)	Cleveland State University Student Center	17
Figure: (1.33)	Cultural Centre, National University of Singapore	18
Chapter (2) : Approach to the campus university spatial forms		
Figure:(2.1.1)	Chapter two structure	20
Figure:(2.1.2)	“The Structure of the Ordinary” Types of masterplans	23
Figure:(2.1.3)	“Architecture &Planning” Types of masterplans	24
Figure:(2.1.4)	“University Architecture” Types of masterplans	24
Figure: (2.2)	The nine Types of masterplans across the world	25
Figure: (2.3)	University of Birmingham	27
Figure: (2.4)	University of london	27
Figure: (2.5)	Building Dominated form characteristics	27
Figure: (2.6)	University of California(Berkeley	28
Figure: (2.7)	University of Virginia	28
Figure: (2.8)	Technical University of Helsinki	28
Figure: (2.9)	Landscape Dominated plans form characteristics	29
Figure: (2.10)	University of Cambridge	30
Figure: (2.11)	University of Cambridge View shows the Collegaitepattern	30
Figure: (2.12)	University of Cambridge Layout	30
Figure: (2.13)	Collegaite plans form characteristics	31
Figure: (2.14)	Simon Fraser University	32
Figure: (2.15)	scarborough University	32
Figure: (2.16)	University of Sussex	32
Figure: (2.17)	Linear plans form characteristics	32
Figure: (2.18)	University of north Carolina	33
Figure: (2.19)	Illinois Institute of Technology Grid	33
Figure: (2.20)	Illinois Institute of Technology View Showes the Gridpattern	33
Figure: (2.21)	Grid Dominated Plans form characteristics	33
Figure: (2.22)	University of York view	34
Figure: (2.23)	University of York form	35
Figure: (2.24)	University of london	35
Figure: (2.25)	Modular- Based Plans form characteristics	35
Figure: (2.26)	University of Lincoln	36
Figure: (2.27)	University of sunderland	36
Figure (2.28)	Molecular Growth Plans form characteristics	36

Figure: (2.29)	Temasek Polytechnic view shows the radial pattern	37
Figure: (2.30)	TemasekPolytechnic	37
Figure: (2.31)	Radial Plans form characteristics	37
Figure: (2.32)	University of Strathclyde Campus	38
Figure: (2.33)	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	38
Figure: (2.34)	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Layout	38
Figure: (2.35)	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Layout	39
Figure: (2.36)	Adhoc form characteristics	39
Figure: (2.37)	Main campus characteristics	41
Figure: (2.38)	University of British Columbia, Canada Layout	42
Figure: (2.39)	University of British Columbia, Canada Paths	42
Figure: (2.40)	University of British Columbia, Canada Layout	43
Figure: (2.41)	Circulation system	43
Figure: (2.42)	Views as a basis for campus planning, University of British Columbia, Canada Layout	44
Figure: (2.43)	Campus Centre	44
Figure: (2.44)	The Ohio State University Campus Master Plan	44
Figure: (2.45)	Radford University Muse Hall	45
Figure: (2.46)	Lurie Tower University of London	45
Figure: (2.47)	senate House, University of Michigan	45
Figure: (2.48)	Sather Bell Tower University of California Berkeley	45
Figure: (2.49)	The foot print of key buildings	47
Figure: (2.50)	Landscape Sections	49
Figure: (2.51)	singapore university of technology and design, The Green campus, aims to reduce energy consumption and achieve the highest Green Marks	50
Figure: (2.52)	Green Roofs-shnghahai Universitywest Campus	50
Figure: (2.53)	The Campus Center Fordham University	51
Chapter (3) : Campuses Masterplans The International Case Studies		
Figure: (3.1)	Chapter three Structure	56
Figure: (3.2)	Temasek campus facilities percentage	58
Figure: (3.3)	Temasek Polytechnic components and circulation	59
Figure: (3.4)	Temasek Polytechnic Layout	60
Figure: (3.5)	Main View of Temasek Polytechnic Plan	61
Figure: (3.6)	Harvard University	63
Figure: (3.7)	Harvard University Plan	63

Figure: (3.8)	Main View Of Harvard University	63
Figure: (3.9)	Harvard campus facilities percentage	64
Figure: (3.10)	Harvard University components	65
Figure: (3.11)	Harvard University type and circulation	66
Figure: (3.12)	Sanders theater, Memorial hall	68
Figure: (3.13)	Harvard memorial church	68
Figure: (3.14)	Gombak Campus Gate view	70
Figure: (3.15)	Gombak Campus	70
Figure: (3.16)	Gombak campus facilities percentage	71
Figure: (3.17)	Gombak Campus components	71
Figure: (3.18)	Gombak Campus inside view	72
Figure: (3.19)	Gombak Campus type	73
Figure: (3.20)	Florida Southern College	77
Figure: (3.21)	The Urban Elemnts Of Campus Design	77
Figure: (3.22)	Campus Design Levels	78
Figure: (3.23)	University of cincinnati	78
Figure: (3.24)	Campus Plan	79
Figure: (3.25)	Stanford University	80
Figure: (3.26)	District Plan	80
Figure: (3.27)	Site plan	81
Figure: (3.28)	Middlesex School, Concord, Massachussetts	82
Figure: (3.29)	University of Colorado	82
Figure: (3.30)	University of California, Santa Barbara, Campus landscape	83
Figure: (3.31)	Campus Landscape	83
Figure: (3.32)	Trinity College, Cambridge, England	84
Figure: (3.33)	Design alternative	84
Figure: (3.34)	Architectural campus development	84
Figure: (3.35)	Campus architectural design principles	84
Figure: (3.36)	Circulation system	85
Figure: (3.37)	Southern Illinois University Campus	86
Figure: (3.38)	Nottingham University	86
Figure: (3.39)	Parking demand managing	86
Figure: (3.40)	utility system	87
Figure: (3.41)	The campus special concerns	88
Figure: (3.42)	Well oredered campus system	88
Figure: (3.43)	Boston University Medical Center	89

Figure: (3.44)	Exeter University	89
Figure: (3.45)	panel sign, shepherd university	89
Figure: (3.46)	Students gathered around a large tree in the center of the green area to take pictures and revel in the glow of lights Arkansas University , USA	90
Figure: (3.47)	Lewis university science building, USA	90
Figure: (3.48)	University of Pittsburgh's main campus in Oakland	91
Figure: (3.49)	The Center for Philosophy of Science	91
Chapter (4) : The Arabian Campus Analysis & Recommendations		
Figure: (4.1)	The architectural characters	96
Figure: (4.2)	Facilities area percentages chart	98
Figure: (4.3)	The American University components and circulation paths	99
Figure: (4.4)	The American University Main paths	100
Figure: (4.5)	The American University Campus Center view	100
Figure: (4.6)	The American University bicycles and jogging paths Plan	101
Figure: (4.7)	King Saud University Layout	103
Figure: (4.8)	King Saud University General Veiw	104
Figure: (4.9)	Facilities area percentages chart	105
Figure: (4.10)	King Saud University components and circulation paths	106
Figure: (4.11)	Facilities area percentages chart	108
Figure: (4.12)	Cairo University campus Components	109
Figure: (4.13)	Cairo University Layout	110
Figure: (4.14)	Cairo University circulation paths layout	110
Figure: (4.15)	Cairo University Senate and Administration hall	111
Figure: (4.16)	Cairo University Strong Identity	111
Figure: (4.17)	Cairo University The grand Celebrations Hall	111