

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Computer and Systems Engineering Department

Extending Network Lifetime under Constraints for Wireless Sensor Networks

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of Doctorate of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering
(Computer and Systems Engineering)

Submitted by

Anar Sayed Abdel Tawab Abdel Hady

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Hossam Mahmoud Ahmed Fahmy

Computer and Systems Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mohamed Salem

Computer and Systems Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Sherine Mohamed Abdel Kader

Computer and Systems Department Electronics Research Institute

Associate Prof. Dr. Hussein Sherif Eissa

Computer and Systems Department Electronics Research Institute



Faculty of Engineering Computer and Systems Engineering Department

Name : Anar Sayed Abdel Tawab Abdel Hady

Thesis : Extending Network Lifetime under Constraints for Wireless

Sensor Networks

Degree : Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering (Computer and

Systems Engineering)

Examiners Committee

Name, Title, and Affiliate

Signature

Prof. Dr. Salwa Hussein El Ramly

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering,

Ain Shams University, Egypt

Professor Dr. Kayhan Erciyes

Rector of Izmir University, Turkey

Computer Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering,

Izmir University, Turkey

Prof. Dr. Hossam Mahmoud Fahmy (Supervisor)

Computer and Systems Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering,

Ain Shams University, Egypt

Prof. Dr. Ashraf El-Farghali Salem (Supervisor)

Computer and Systems Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering,

Ain Shams University, Egypt

ii

Date: 23 April 2014

Statement

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Philosophy Doctor in Electrical Engineering (Computer and Systems Engineering).

The work is included in this thesis was carried out by the author at the Computer and Systems, Engineering department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at other university or institution.

Anar Sayed Abdel Tawab Abdel Hady

Computer and Systems Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering

Ain Shams University

Cairo, Egypt

2014

Signature

Date / /

Acknowledgment

My deepest and utmost gratitude to Allah for lighting my way and taking my hands through all this research journey, and finally gracing me with this moment.

I thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Hossam Fahmy for all his efforts and profound guidance and directions all through the way. I thank also Prof. Dr. Asharf Farghaly.

I thank Dr. Sherine Abdel Kader for all her help, support and guidance in my research and career journey. I thank Dr. Hussein Sherif for his valuable guidance and help.

I am also honored to have Prof. Dr. Salwa El Ramly and Prof. Dr. Kayhan Erciyes as my examining committee.

I thank deeply my dearest mother for being my role model in research and life. I thank my late father and miss him in this precious moment.

I thank my lovely sister for her help and support all through this research. I thank my brothers for always being there for me.

I thank all my friends and colleagues for helping me and always praying for me through the way.

Anar Sayed Abdel Tawab Abdel Hady Computer and Systems Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University Cairo, Egypt 2014

ABSTRACT

Extending Network Lifetime under Constraints for Wireless Sensor Networks

In this thesis a Multilevel Minimized Delay Clustering Protocol (MMDCP) is proposed. MMDCP is proved to extend the lifetime of wireless sensor networks through leveling and through a better choice of cluster heads. The proposed algorithm assigns the number of the lower level cluster heads and the leaf nodes in the network so as to minimize the end-to-end delay. When comparing MMDCP to the well known LEACH-C protocol, MMDCP succeeds in extending the lifetime of the network more than LEACH-C and in minimizing the end-to-end delay of the data sending less than LEACH-C. MMDCP also shows significant increase in throughput values more than LEACH-C. In comparison to the recently proposed protocol THCHP which has competitive lifetime and delay optimizations, MMDCP shows significant minimization in end-to-end delay in high and medium density networks. Furthermore, it succeeds in extending the network lifetime more than THCHP in low density networks, whilst in throughput values MMDCP shows a noticeable increase more than THCHP. Also, MMDCP is compared to another recently proposed protocol, Delay-Aware, where it succeeds to show significant improvements in structure and results of lifetime, end-toend delay and throughput as the network scales. The delay results are also compared in details of all delay sources including queuing, transmission and processing. Two radio models are used to evaluate MMDCP protocol performance, the First Order Radio Model and the Discrete Radio Model which is a realistic model with real mote specifications. The obtained results are proved analytically and via simulation. This significant minimization in delay makes the proposed protocol a very good candidate for use in crises management applications like pre-expectation of landslides and early control of slum fires.

List of Publications:

- Anar Abdel Hady, Hossam M.A. Fahmy, Sherine M. Abd Elkader, Hussein S. Eissa, and Ashraf Salem. "Multilevel Minimized Delay Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks" International Journal of Communication Networks and Distributed Systems (IJCNDS)(under revising)
- Anar Abdel Hady, Sherine M. Abd El-kader, Hussein S. Eissa, Ashraf Salem, and Hossam M.A. Fahmy. "A Comparative Analysis of Hierarchical Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks." Internet and Distributed Computing Advancements: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Applications, JH Abawajy, M. Pathan, M. Rahman, A. K. Pathan, and MM Deris (eds.), IGI Global (2012): 212-246.

Table of Contents

Title	Page
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	X
List of Symbols	Xii
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1 Wireless Sensor Networks	2
1.2 Sensor Nodes.	3
1.3 WSNs Layers	5
1.4 Advantages of WSNs.	6
1.5 WSNs Challenges.	7
1.6 WSNs Applications	8
1.7 Problem Statement	10
1.8 Thesis Organization.	11
Chapter 2: Related Work	
2.1 Routing Challenges and Design Constraints in Wireless Sensor Networks	14
2.2 Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks	19
2.2.1 Flat Networks Routing	20
2.2.1.1 Directed Diffusion.	21
2.2.2 Location Based Routing.	22
2.2.2.1 GEAR: Geographic and Energy Aware Routing	22
2.2.3 Hierarchical Networks Routing	24
2.2.3.1 LEACH: Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy	25
2.2.3.2 LEACH-C: LEACH-Centralized.	28
2.2.3.3 TEEN: Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient Sensor Network Protocol.	30

2.2.3.4 APTEEN: Adaptive Periodic Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient Sensor Network Protocol.	
2.2.3.5 PEGASIS: Power-Efficient GAthering in Sensor Information System	ms
2.2.3.6 Hierarchical PEGASIS: Hierarchical Power-Efficient GAthering in Sensor Information Systems.	
2.2.3.7 MECN: Minimum Energy Communication Network	
2.2.3.8 SMECN: Small Minimum Energy Communication Network	
2.2.3.9 SOP: Self Organizing Protocol.	
2.2.3.10 Sensor Aggregates Routing.	
2.2.3.11 VGA: Virtual Grid Architecture Routing.	
2.2.3.12 HPAR: Hierarchical Power-Aware Routing	
2.2.3.13 TTDD: Two-Tier Data Dissemination.	
2.2.3.14 BCDCP: Base-Station Controlled Dynamic Clustering Protocol	
2.2.3.15 THCHP: Two-level Hierarchical Clustering Based Hybrid Routing	_
Protocol	
2.2.2.17 MLC: Multi-Level Clustering.	
2.2.3.18 Multi-layer Clustering Algorithm.	· • • •
2.2.3.19 MEDC: Multi-layer Energy-efficient and Delay-reducing Chain-based data gathering protocol	
2.2.3.20 Delay-Aware Data Collection Network Structure	
2.2.3.21 Miscellaneous Approaches for Work in Hierarchical Routing Protocols	
2.3 Comparative Analysis of Existing Hierarchical Routing Protocols in Wirele Sensor Networks	
Chapter 3: Multilevel Minimized Delay Clustering Protocol (MMDCP)	
3.1 Network Structure Assumptions	,
3.2 Radio Model	.
3.2.1 First Order Radio Model	
3.2.2 Discrete Radio Model.	
3.3 Multilevel Minimized Delay Clustering Protocol (MMDCP)	
3.3.1 MMDCP Case Study	-
3.3.2 MMDCP Time Frame	

3.4 Theoretical Analysis		
Chapter 4: Simulation Analysis		
4.1 OMNeT Simulator	94	
1.2 Simulation Analysis		
4.2.1 First Order Radio Model	96	
4.2.1.1 End-to-End Delay	96	
4.2.1.2 Network Lifetime.	108	
4.2.1.3 Throughput.	115	
4.2.2 Discrete Radio Model	121	
4.2.2.1 End-to-End Delay.	123	
4.2.2.2 Network Lifetime.	128	
4.2.2.3 Throughput.	133	
Chapter 5: Conclusions and Future Work		
5.1 Conclusions.	138	
5.2 Future Work	139	
Ribliography	140	

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Summary of the parameters used in First Order Radio Model	. 96
Table 4.2 Summary of the parameters used in Discrete Radio Model	121

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	A Typical WSN Example	3
Figure 1.2	Sensor Node Architecture.	4
Figure 1.3	WSNs Layers	5
Figure 2.1	Basic Network Topologies	18
Figure 2.2	Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks	20
Figure 2.3	Directed Diffusion protocol	22
Figure 2.4	Recursive Geographic forwarding in GEAR	24
Figure 2.5	LEACH Operation.	27
Figure 2.6	Operation of TEEN	32
Figure 2.7	Operation of APTEEN	34
Figure 2.8	Hierarchical Clustering in TEEN and APTEEN	34
Figure 2.9	Chaining in PEGASIS	36
Figure 2.10	Data gathering in a chain based binary scheme	38
Figure 2.11	Relay region of transmit-relay node pair (i, r) in MECN	39
Figure 2.12	Regular shape tessellation applied to the network area	45
Figure 2.13	Comparison of Flat and Hierarchical routing in	
Figure 2.14	Wireless Sensor Networks	59 60
Figure 3.1	Network layout for the proposed model	70
Figure 3.2	Network details of MMDCP Protocol	71
Figure 3.3	Flow Chart of MMDCP Protocol	74
Figure 3.4	MMDCP Pseudo Code	78
Figure 3.5	Typical Case Study	83
Figure 3.6	Data Frame	84

Figure 3.7	Total Delay of Packet Transmission	85
Figure 3.8	MMDCP Delay Model	86
Figure 3.9	THCHP Delay Model	89
Figure 3.10	LEACH-C Delay Model	90
Figure 3.11	Delay-Aware Delay Model	91
Figure 4.1	End-to-End delay (First Order Model)	102
Figure 4.2	End-to-End Delay Details.	107
Figure 4.3	Network Lifetime (First Order Model)	113
Figure 4.4	Delay & Network Lifetime Tradeoff	114
Figure 4.5	Throughput (First Order Model)	120
Figure 4.6	Comparison of MMDCP using First Order Model and Discrete Model	123
Figure 4.7	End-to-End delay (Discrete Model)	127
Figure 4.8	Network Lifetime (Discrete Model)	132
Figure 4.9	Throughput (Discrete Model)	137

List of Symbols

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{Tx-elec}}$ Per-bit transmission energy

 $E_{R_{v-elec}}$ Per-bit reception energy

E_{elec} Electronics energy constant

 $\varepsilon_{fs} d^2$ Amplifier energy

 $\varepsilon_{mp}d^4$ Amplifier energy

 $T_{Startup}$ Length of time needed to startup the radio oscillator

 $C_{\it PLEVEL}$ Current usage in mA at power level plevel

 T_{rate} Transmission rate in bits per second

 C_{Rx} Current usage for receiving data

 T_{frame} Time Frame

 T_{sl} Time Slot

 T_{Delay} Delay Time

 T_{trans} Transmission Time

 T_{queue} Queuing Time

 T_{agg} Aggregation Time

List of Abbreviations

WSN Wireless Sensor Networks

BS Base Station

CH Cluster Head

LL Low Level

HL High Level

MMDCP Multilevel Minimized Delay Clustering Protocol

LEACH Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy

LEACH-C Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy Centralized

THCHP Two-level Hierarchical Clustering Based Hybrid Routing

Protocol

BA Balancing Algorithm

MDA Minimum Delay Algorithm

FOM First Order Radio Model

DM Discrete Radio Model

TDMA Time Division Multiple Access

CSMA Carrier Sense Multiple Access

TEEN Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient Sensor Network

Protocol

APTEEN Adaptive Periodic Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient

Sensor Network Protocol

PEGASIS Power-Efficient GAthering in Sensor Information Systems

MECN Minimum Energy Communication Network

SMECN Small Minimum Energy Communication Network

SOP Self Organizing Protocol

VGA Virtual Grid Architecture Routing

HPAR Hierarchical Power-Aware Routing

TTDD Two-Tier Data Dissemination

BDCDP Base-Station Controlled Dynamic Clustering Protocol

MICRO Minimum Cost Routing with Optimized Data Fusion

MLC Multi-Level Clustering

MEDC Multi-layer Energy-efficient and Delay-reducing Chain-based

data gathering protocol