



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Pharmacy
Microbiology & Immunology dept.

Phenotypic and genotypic studies on antimicrobial resistance of lower respiratory tract bacterial pathogens

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Master degree

In Pharmaceutical Sciences
(Microbiology and Immunology)

By

Salma Mustafa Mohammad Abdel-Aziz

Bachelor of Pharmaceutical sciences, 2009
Teaching assistant, Microbiology and Immunology Department
Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University

2015



**Phenotypic and genotypic studies on antimicrobial resistance of
lower respiratory tract bacterial pathogens**

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Master degree

In Pharmaceutical Sciences
(Microbiology and Immunology)

By

Salma Mustafa Mohammad Abdel-Aziz

Teaching Assistant, Microbiology and Immunology Department
Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Dr. Nadia A. El-Haleem Hassouna, PhD

Professor of Microbiology and Immunology,
Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammad Mabrouk Aboulwafa, PhD

Chairman of National organization for research and control of biological
products, Giza, Egypt

Professor of Microbiology and Immunology,
Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University

Dr. Khaled Mohamed Anwar Aboshanab, Ph.D

Associate Professor of Microbiology and Immunology,
Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain-Shams University

2015

Acknowledgments

First, I thank "**Allah**" for granting me the power to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to **Prof. Dr. Nadia Hassouna**, Professor of Microbiology and Immunology, and founder of the Microbiology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, for her valuable scientific supervision, constructive advice and continuous guidance throughout the work.

My deepest gratitude and appreciation are expressed to **Prof. Dr. Mohammad Mabrouk Aboulwafa**, Chairman of National organization for research and control of biological products, Former Head of Microbiology and Immunology Department and Former Vice Dean for community service and environmental affairs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, for his divine support and for kindly supplying the laboratory facilities whenever needed. His constructive criticism, guided me immensely throughout the work and during the revision of the thesis.

I am also greatly indebted to **Dr. Khaled Mohammed Aboshanab**, Associate Professor of Microbiology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the topic of research and for providing continuous scientific supervision and follow up. His valuable time and big effort are greatly appreciated. I also thank him for providing guidance especially throughout the genetics part in this study.

I would also like to thank my dear **colleagues** and to all the **workers** at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University for their help and support during this work. Besides, I would like to thank all the **staff members** at Sadr Al-Abbasiyya Hospital, for their help during collection of specimens.

Finally, my deepest everlasting thanks and appreciation are for my beloved **parents** for their continuous support and encouragement throughout my life.

والحمد لله رب العالمين.....

Salma Mustafa Abdelaziz

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION	4
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
1. Respiratory tract infections.....	6
2. Antimicrobials used in this study	16
3. Resistance to antimicrobial agents.....	32
MATERIALS AND METHODS	41
MATERIALS	41
1. Microorganisms.....	41
2. Chemicals.....	41
3. Antimicrobial agents	43
4. Antimicrobial sensitivity disks.....	43
5. Media	44
6. Buffers and Solutions	46
7. Primers	49

Table of Contents

8.	Kits	49
9.	Computer programs	50
METHODS.....		51
10.	Collection of specimens.....	51
11.	Isolation and purification of clinical pathogens.....	51
12.	Categorization of the collected clinical isolates.....	51
13.	Antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disk diffusion method	51
14.	Identification of multiple resistant isolates	53
15.	Preservation of multiple drug resistant isolates	55
16.	Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations of some selected antimicrobial agents for multiple drug resistant isolates	55
17.	Phenotypic detection of ESBLs.....	57
18.	Plasmid recovery from multiple drug resistant isolates	59
19.	Amplification of some resistance genes by PCR.....	61
20.	Genetic transfer of the extracted plasmids into <i>E. coli</i> DH5a.....	63
21.	Sequencing of some PCR amplified products	64
RESULTS		65
1.	Isolation, categorization and antibiogram analysis of the total collected clinical bacterial isolates.....	65
2.	Identification of the multiple drug resistant isolates	70
3.	Antibiogram analysis of the MDR isolates.....	73
4.	MIC results for the MDR isolates against some tested antimicrobial agents	80
5.	Phenotypic detection of ESBLs by DDST	84

Table of Contents

6.	Plasmid detection in MDR isolates	86
7.	Screening the plasmid extracts of tested MDR isolates for some resistance genes	87
8.	Transformation studies of plasmids extracted from MDR Gram-negative isolates	96
9.	Sequence analyses of PCR amplified resistance genes	98
	DISCUSSION	103
	SUMMARY	128
	REFERENCES	132
	APPENDIX	165

الملخص العربي

List of Abbreviations

AAC(6')-Ib	aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase type Ib enzyme
<i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	Gene coding for aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase type Ib
<i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i>	Gene coding for aminoglycoside 6'-N-acetyltransferase type Ib ciprofloxacin resistant variant
AC	Accession code
API	Analytical profile index
ATS	American Thoracic Society
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
bp	Base pair
CAP	Community-acquired pneumonia
cfu	Colony forming unit
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
CTX-M	CTX-M extended spectrum β -lactamase enzyme
<i>ctx-m</i>	Gene coding for CTX-M extended spectrum β -lactamase
DDST	Double disc synergy test
EDTA	Ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid
EMB	Eosin methylene blue
ESBL(s)	Extended spectrum β -lactamase(s)
ICU	Intensive care unit
IDSA	Infectious Diseases Society of America
LB	Luria Bertani

List of Abbreviations

LRTI(s)	Lower respiratory tract infection(s)
MDR	Multiple drug resistant
MH	Mueller-Hinton
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
OMP	Outer membrane protein
ORF	Open reading frame
PBP(s)	Penicillin binding protein(s)
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PER	<i>Pseudomonas</i> extended resistance
<i>qnr</i>	Gene coding for quinolone resistance
rpm	Round per minute
RTI(s)	Respiratory tract infection(s)
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SHV	SHV extended spectrum β -lactamase enzyme
<i>shv</i>	Gene coding for SHV extended spectrum β -lactamase
SOB	Super optimal broth
SOC	Super optimal broth with catabolite repression
T_a	Annealing temperature
TAE	Tris-acetic acid-EDTA
TE	Tris-EDTA
TEM	TEM extended spectrum β -lactamase enzyme

List of Abbreviations

<i>tem</i>	Gene coding for TEM extended spectrum β -lactamase
T_m	Melting temperature
Tris	Trishydroxymethylaminomethane
tRNA	Transfer ribonucleic acid
TSI	Triple sugar iron agar
URTI(s)	Upper respiratory tract infection(s)
VEB	Vietnamese extended spectrum β -lactamase

List of Figures

Figure 1 Structure of the main groups of the β -lactam antimicrobials	17
Figure 2 Members of β -lactam antimicrobial agents	18
Figure 3 The chemical structure of amikacin.	23
Figure 4 The chemical structure of doxycycline.	24
Figure 5 The chemical structure of erythromycin.....	26
Figure 6 The chemical structure of clindamycin.	28
Figure 7 The chemical structure of ciprofloxacin.....	29
Figure 8 Classification of quinolones and some members from each generation...	30
Figure 9 Inhibition of folic acid synthesis by sulfonamides and trimethoprim.	31
Figure 10 Different antibiotic sites of action and mechanisms of antibiotic resistance.	33
Figure 11 Examples of positive DDST	58
Figure 12 Percentage of resistance to different antimicrobial agents among the total collected bacterial isolates	67
Figure 13 Distribution of resistance among the total Gram-positive and negative collected bacterial isolates against different tested antimicrobial agents....	67
Figure 14 Percentage of resistance to different antimicrobial agents among the Gram-positive (a) and the Gram-negative (b) collected bacterial isolates. .	69
Figure 15 Distribution of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria among recognized MDR isolates.....	70
Figure 16 Results of the API 20E kit reactions of <i>E. coli</i> (S6), <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (S12) and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (S25) as representative examples	71
Figure 17 Relative percentages of different bacterial species among 62 tested MDR isolates.....	72
Figure 18 Percentage of resistance of 62 tested MDR isolates to different antimicrobial agents	72

List of Figures

Figure 19 Percentage of resistance of the tested MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates to different antimicrobial agents	73
Figure 20 Percentage of resistance of the tested MDR <i>E. coli</i> isolates to different antimicrobial agents	76
Figure 21 Percentage of resistance of the tested MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates to different antimicrobial agents	77
Figure 22 Percentage of resistance of the tested MDR <i>S. aureus</i> isolates to different antimicrobial agents	79
Figure 23 Positive DDST of two potential ESBLs producers isolates; <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolate S15 (a) and <i>E. coli</i> isolate S46 (b)	85
Figure 24 Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmids extracted using GeneJet Plasmid Miniprep Kit from some MDR isolates	86
Figure 25 The prevalence of <i>ctx-m</i> , <i>shv</i> and <i>tem</i> resistance genes as well as their different combined forms among 53 tested Gram-negative isolates	88
Figure 26 Agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR products showing expected sizes of four positive resistance coding genes	89
Figure 27 Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products of <i>ctx-m</i> gene (550 bp) from different resistant isolates	89
Figure 28 Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products of <i>shv</i> gene (867 bp) from different resistant isolates	90
Figure 29 Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products of <i>tem</i> gene (867 bp) from different resistant isolates	90
Figure 30 Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products of <i>aac6'-Ib/aac6'-Ib-cr</i> gene (358 bp) from different resistant isolates	91
Figure 31 Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products of <i>qnr</i> genes (<i>qnrA</i> , 660 bp; <i>qnrB</i> , 680 bp) from different resistant isolates	91
Figure 32 Prevalence of ESBL coding genes among tested MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates	92
Figure 33 Prevalence of ESBL coding genes among tested MDR <i>E. coli</i> isolates ...	93

List of Figures

Figure 34 Prevalence of ESBL coding genes among tested MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates.....	94
Figure 35 Partial multiple alignment of amino acid sequence of CTX-M from <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolate S29 (query; AC, KM052216) against its homologues reported in GenBank database.....	99
Figure 36 Partial multiple alignment of amino acid sequence of SHV from <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolate S29 (query; AC, KM052217) with its homologues reported in GenBank database.....	100
Figure 37 Partial multiple alignment of amino acid sequence of TEM from <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolate S29 (query; AC, KM052218) with its homologues reported in GenBank.....	101
Figure 38 Partial multiple alignment of amino acid sequence of AAC(6')-Ib from <i>E. coli</i> isolate S10 (query; AC, KM052219) with its homologues reported in GenBank database.....	102

List of Tables

Table 1 Chemicals used in this study and their sources.....	41
Table 2 Antimicrobial agents used in this study.....	43
Table 3 Antimicrobial sensitivity discs, their concentrations and sources.....	43
Table 4 Ready-made culture media and media ingredients used in the present study and their sources.....	44
Table 5 Target genes, sequences and references of primers used in this study	49
Table 6 Kits used in the present study	49
Table 7 Primers sequences, annealing temperatures (T_a) and expected product sizes for the tested genes.....	62
Table 8 Composition of PCR mixtures	62
Table 9 Conditions of PCR cycles	63
Table 10 Summarization of antibiogram analysis results of the total collected bacterial isolates against different tested antimicrobial agents.....	66
Table 11 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates	74
Table 12 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	75
Table 13 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates	77
Table 14 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>S. aureus</i> isolates.....	78
Table 15 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>E. cloacae</i> isolates	79
Table 16 Antibiogram analysis of the MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates.....	80
Table 17 MIC results and resistance profile for MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates against some selected antimicrobial agents	81
Table 18 MIC results and resistance profile for MDR <i>E. coli</i> isolates against some selected antimicrobial agents	82
Table 19 MIC results and resistance profile for MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates against some selected antimicrobial agents	83

List of Tables

Table 20 MIC results and resistance profile for MDR <i>S. aureus</i> isolates against some selected antimicrobial agents	83
Table 21 MIC results and resistance profile for MDR <i>E. cloacae</i> and <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates against some selected antimicrobial agents.....	84
Table 22 MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> and <i>E. coli</i> isolates giving positive DDST and their relative percentages	85
Table 23 Codes and relative percentages of isolates of different bacterial species which their extracted plasmids gave <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α transformants with ceftriaxone resistant phenotype.....	97
Table 24 Ciprofloxacin resistant isolates which their extracted plasmids were successfully transformed in <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α	97
Table 25 Amikacin resistant isolates which their extracted plasmids were successfully transformed in <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α	98
Table 26 Interpretive zone diameters (mm) according to CLSI guidelines, 2011	165
Table 27 Interpretive MIC values ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) according to CLSI guidelines, 2011...	166
Table 28 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates.....	167
Table 29 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>E. coli</i> isolates	168
Table 30 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates	169
Table 31 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>S. aureus</i> isolates	170
Table 32 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>E. cloacae</i> isolates	171
Table 33 Phenotypic and genotypic results of MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates	171

Abstract

This study involved the recovery of 235 bacterial isolates from sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage specimens of lower respiratory tract infected patients. Using Gram-stain, 118 isolates (50.2%) were Gram-positive and 117 isolates (49.8%) were Gram-negative. The susceptibilities of the recovered isolates were tested to sixteen different antimicrobial agents commonly used to treat lower respiratory tract infections. Results revealed that the lowest resistance was observed to amikacin, doxycycline and meropenem; where 19 isolates (8%) were resistant to each. While the highest resistance was observed to clindamycin; 108 isolates (45.9%) were resistant. In case of Gram-positive bacterial isolates, the lowest resistance was observed to doxycycline; where only one isolate (0.8%) was resistant. On the other hand, the highest resistance was observed to clindamycin and erythromycin where 20 isolates (16.9%) showed resistance for each of them. For Gram-negative bacterial isolates, the lowest resistance was observed to meropenem and azithromycin; only 13 isolates (11.1%) were resistant to each. The highest resistance was observed to clindamycin; 88 isolates (75.2%) were resistant. Among the 235 collected isolates; 62 isolates (26.4%) were found to be resistant to members belonging to three or more classes of antimicrobial agents; 53 isolates were Gram-negative (85.5%) while 9 isolates were Gram-positive (14.5%). Out of these 62 multiple drug resistant (MDR) isolates; 23 isolates were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (37.1%), 15 isolates were *Escherichia coli* (24.2%), 10 isolates were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (16.1%), 9 isolates were *Staphylococcus aureus* (14.5%), 3 isolates were *Enterobacter cloacae* (4.8%) and 2 isolates were *Acinetobacter baumannii* (3.2%).

Plasmid bands were detected in 60 (96.8%) out of the 62 MDR isolates which included 7 *S. aureus* isolates (77.8%) and all the tested Gram-negative bacterial isolates (n=53; 100%). The nineteen isolates resistant to amikacin were found to carry the aminoglycoside acetyltransferase gene (*aac6'-Ib*) reported to be