

# **Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering**

# Improving the Performance of Pressure Reducing Valve Using Two Stages

A Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of M.Sc. in mechanical engineering

by

#### MOHAMMED EL SAID HAMOUDA

B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering Alexandria University, 2009

Supervised by

Prof.Dr. A.M.Abdulaziz

Prof.Dr. A.R.El-Baz

Cairo \_ 2015



# **Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering**

# Improving the Performance of Pressure Reducing Valve Using Two Stages

by

# Mohammed el said hamouda M.Sc. in mechanical engineering Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

## **Examiner's Committee**

**Signature** 

Prof. Dr. Osama Ezzat Abd Ellatif

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abu Elenain El Samanoudy

Prof. Dr. Abd Elaziz Morgan Abd Elaziz

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Reda ElBaz

Date: / /2015

## **STATEMENT**

This thesis is submitted as partial fulfillment of M.SC degree in Mechanical engineering, Faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature:

Mohammed El Said Hamouda

#### **Researcher Data**

Name : Mohammed El Said Hamouda

Date of birth : 15/9/1987

Place of birth : Alexandria – Egypt

Academic Degree : B.Sc in Mechanical engineering.

Fieled of specialization : Mechanical engineering

University issued the degree : Alexandria University

Date of issued degree : 2009

Current job : Mechanical engineer.

**Abstract** 

Control valve is required to control flow or pressure of fluid flowing in piping

systems. In the present work, experimental measurements are reported for flow

characteristics of single stage and two stage control valves are reported under

non-cavitating and cavitating conditions. A test rig was constructed to test valve

performance at the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. The test rig

of the experiment consists of a vertical, multistage inline centrifugal pump

driven by variable speed motor, piping system, flow meter, pressure gauges,

differential pressure manometer. Water is recirculated to a large water tank 25

m<sup>3</sup>. Insertion type magnetic flow meter the effects of diameter ratio and spacing

between the two stages are examined. Four single stage valves and five

alternatives of two stage valves are investigated. The results show that the

pressure loss coefficient is inversely proportional to the distance between the

two seats in the two stage valves. Cavitation occurrence decreases if the area

ratio of the valve is reduced and the distance between the two stages decreased.

The inherent characteristics of the valve are also affected by the geometry

parameters of the two stage valve.

Keywords: cavitation – two-stage valve – pressure control

Ш

#### **Acknowledgment**

I would like to express my appreciation to my advisory committee: Prof. Ahmmed EL.Baz and Prof. Abd El.Aziz Morgan, of the Mechanical Power Engineering Department at Ain Shams University for the considerable and valuable amount of advice, guidance and assistance which they gave me during this research work.

My gratitude also goes to Mr. Sherif Abd El monaim, there are not enough words to describe your excellent work. You are the heart and soul of the Fluid machines laboratory.

Special thanks to Eng. Ibraheem ElSageer my manager at my work for giving time to complete this work.

Eng. Abd El.Hameed Salama the mechanical office manager in Alexandria for Construction Company, I am so grateful for the valuable information you gave to me.the most special thanks goes to my Parents and Sisters, you gave me your unconditional support and love through all this long process.

## **Table of contents**

STATEMENT	Ι
REASERCER DATA	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTSII	I
ABSTRACTIV	V
TABLE OF CONTENTSV	Ί
NOMENCLATUREVI	I
LIST OF FIGURESVII	Ι
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Introductory Remarks	1
1.2 Objectives of The Present Work	2
1.3 Out-Line of Thesis	2
CHAPTER 2: Literature Review	3
CHAPTER 3: Experimental Set-Up	8
3.1 Introduction	8
3.2 Test Rig of Control Valve	8
3.3 Single Stage Valve Design	9
3.4 Two Stage Valve Design	9
3.5 Experimental Procedure	0
CHAPTER 4: Discussion of Results	5
4.1 Introduction	5

4.2 Definitions of Valve Performance and Parameters	15
4.2.1 Valve Characteristic coefficients	15
4.2.2 Cavitation Parameter	16
4.3 Pressure Distribution along Single Stage Valve	18
4.4 Pressure Distribution along Two Stage valve	21
4.5 Valve Characteristics	26
4.6 Cavitation Index Results for the control ValveModels	27
CHAPTER 5: Conclusion	54
5.1 Conclusion and Recomandes for Future work	54
5.2 Prospective future works	55
REFERENCES	58
APPENDIX	A-1

### **NOMENCLATURE**

Ar Area ratio

C<sub>v</sub> Flow Coefficient

d Pipe diameter

F Frequency

K Pressure loss coefficient

L Distance between the two seats

P Pressure

Q Volumetric flow rate

Re Reynolds number

V Fluid velocity

 $\Delta P$  Pressure drop across valve

**σ** Cavitation parameter

ρ Fluid density

μ Fluid dynamic viscosity

#### Subscript

1 First stage

2 Second stage

d Downstream

u Upstream

I Local

v Vapour

## **List of figures**

Fig 3.1	Schematic diagram of the test rig						
Fig 3.2	Flow meter calibration						
Fig 3.3	Single stage valve design (dimensions in mm)						
Fig 3.4	Single stage valve real capture						
Fig 3.5	Two stage valve design (dimensions in mm)						
Fig 3.6	Two stage valve real capture						
Fig 4.1	Pressure distribution along fully open single stage valve (Ar=0.31)						
Fig 4.2	Pressure distribution along 80% open single stage valve (Ar=0.31)						
Fig 4.3	Pressure distribution along 60% open single stage valve (Ar=0.31)						
Fig 4.4	Pressure distribution along 50% open single stage valve (Ar=0.31)						
Fig 4.5	Pressure distribution along fully open single stage valve (Ar=0.19)						
Fig 4.6	Pressure distribution along 80% open single stage valve (Ar=0.19)						
Fig 4.7	Pressure distribution along 60% open single stage valve (Ar=0.19)						
Fig 4.8	Pressure distribution along 50% open single stage valve (Ar=0.19)						

Fig 4.9	Pressure distribution along fully open single stage valve (Ar=0.097)			
Fig 4.10	Pressure distribution along 80% open single stage valve (Ar=0.097)			
Fig 4.11	Pressure distribution along 60% open single stage valve (Ar=0.097)			
Fig 4.12	Pressure distribution along 50% open single stage valve (Ar=0.097)			
Fig 4.13	Pressure distribution along fully open single stage valve (Ar=0.0625)			
Fig 4.14	Pressure distribution along 80% open single stage valve (Ar=0.0625)			
Fig 4.15	Pressure distribution along 60% open single stage valve (Ar=0.0625)			
Fig 4.16	Pressure distribution along 50% open single stage valve (Ar=0.0625)			
Fig 4.17	Measured pressure loss coefficient versus Re for all single stage valves at fully open position.			
Fig 4.18	Measured pressure loss coefficient along single stage valves at fully open position.			
Fig 4.19	Pressure distribution along fully open two stage valve $(Ar_1=0.31, Ar_2=0.31, L_1=100 \text{ mm})$			
Fig 4.20	Pressure distribution along 80% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.31, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =100 mm)			
Fig 4.21	Pressure distribution along 60% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.31, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =100 mm)			
Fig 4.22	Pressure distribution along 50% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.31, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =100 mm)			
Fig 4.23	Pressure distribution along fully open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.19, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =100 mm)			

Fig 4.24	Pressure distribution along 80% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.19, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, $L_1$ =100 mm)		
Fig 4.25	Pressure distribution along 60% open two stage valv (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.19, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =100 mm)		
Fig 4.26	Pressure distribution along 50% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.19, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =120 mm)		
Fig 4.27	Pressure distribution along fully open two stage valv (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =120 mm)		
Fig 4.28	Pressure distribution along 80% open two stage valve ( $Ar_1$ =0.097, $Ar_2$ =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.29	Pressure distribution along 60% open two stage valve ( $Ar_1$ =0.097, $Ar_2$ =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.30	Pressure distribution along 50% open two stage valve ( $Ar_1$ =097, $Ar_2$ =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.31	Pressure distribution along fully open two stage valv (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =120 mm)		
Fig 4.32	Pressure distribution along 80% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =120 mm)		
Fig 4.33	Pressure distribution along 60% open area two stag valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =120 mm)		
Fig 4.34	Pressure distribution along 50% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, $L_1$ =120 mm)		
Fig 4.35	Pressure distribution along fully open two stage valve ( $Ar_1$ =0.097, $Ar_2$ =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.36	Pressure distribution along 80% open two stage valve ( $Ar_1$ =0.097, $Ar_2$ =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.37	Pressure distribution along 60% open two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =0.097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, $L_1$ =80 mm)		
Fig 4.38	Pressure distribution along 50% open area two stage valve (Ar <sub>1</sub> =097, Ar <sub>2</sub> =0.31, L <sub>1</sub> =80 mm)		

Fig 4.39	Measured pressure loss coefficient versus Re for all two stage valves at fully open position
Fig 4.40	Measured pressure loss coefficient along two stage valves at fully opened position (Pin=1.3 bar) $Ar_2 = 0.31$
Fig 4.41	Pressure loss coefficient versus Re for model (1) of two stage valve and single stage valve at fully open position (Ar=0.31)
Fig 4.42	Pressure loss coefficient versus area ratio for fully open valve (Re=16000) L/D = 1
Fig 4.43	Pressure loss coefficient versus distance between the two seats for the two stage valves at fully open position (Re=16000) (Ar=0.097)
Fig 4.44	Characteristic curve for single stage valves
Fig 4.45	Characteristic curve for two stage valves
Fig 4.46	Cavitation chart for single stage valve models
Fig 4.47	Cavitation chart for two stage valve models

#### Chapter 1

#### Introduction

#### 1.1 <u>Introductory Remarks</u>

A control valve plays an important role in a closed pipeline system and is widely used in a variety of industries such as chemical engineering and petrifaction. Among control valves, a globe valve is commonly used as a flow regulation device under extremely high pressure conditions. For example, the inlet pressure may be higher than 100 bars and from time to time 200 bars. For a globe valve, which conveys liquids, cavitation is a serious and destructive problem during its operation because pressure may drop owing to the variation of velocity according to Bernoulli's equation. The inception of cavitation occurs when the local pressure in a globe valve drops from the increase of velocity and is below the corresponding saturated vapor pressure of a working liquid at a specific temperature. Vapors begin to form in bubbles in low pressure regions and then burst immediately from pressure recovery as they flow downstream, resulting in vibration and erosion. Additionally, this not only causes vibration of the valve body but also induces a high noise level. Because of cavitation, a globe valve is damaged quickly. It is common to replace damaged globe valves in a pipeline system every few months in petroleum industry. Replacing globe valves damaged by cavitation in a factory becomes a regular and costly affair; this is an important issue in the design of a globe valve. Currently, most valve