ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF RODENTS INFESTING AGRICULTURAL AREAS AND NEW CONTROL APPROACHES

BY

FATMA MOUSTAFA METWALLY MOUSTAFA

B. Sc. Agric., (Plant Protection), Ain Shams University, 1978

A Thesis Submitted in Partial FulfIllment

of

The Requirment for the Master Degree

In

Environmental Science Department of Agricultural Science

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Amged M. K. Sobeiha

Professor of Chemistry & Toxicity of Pesticides., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud E. A Tharwat

Professor of Agricultural Zoology, Plant Protection Dept., Faculty of Agricultural, Ain Shams Universty.

Prof. Dr. Aly H. EL- Sherbiny

Director, Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate Agricultural Research Center

APPROVAL SHEET

ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF RODENTS INFESTING AGRICULTURAL AREAS AND NEW CONTROL APPROACHES

\mathbf{BY}

FATMA MOUSTAFA METWALLY MOUSTAFA

B. Sc. Agric., (Plant Protection), Ain Shams University, 1978

Th	is thesis for M. Sc. degree has been approved by:
Pro	of. Dr. Sohail Samy Soliman
	Prof. of Mammology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University
Pro	of. Dr. Mohamed Said Saleh El-Zemaity
-	Professor of Chemistry & Toxicity of Pesticides, Faculty of
	Agriculture, Ain Shams University.
Pro	of. Dr. Mahmoud E. A Tharwat
	Professor of Agricultural Zoology, Faculty of Agricultural, Ain
	Shame University

Prof. Dr. Amged M. K. Sobeiha

Professor of Chemistry & Toxicity of Pesticides, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Date of examination: 27/6 / 2005

ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF RODENTS INFESTING AGRICULTURAL AREAS AND NEW CONTROL APPROACHES

BY

FATMA MOUSTAFA METWALLY MOUSTAFA

B. Sc. Agric., (Plant Protection), Ain Shams University, 1978

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the Master Degree
in
Environmental Science

Department of Agricultural Science Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams University

2005

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authoress is very indebted to *Prof. Dr. Amged M. K. Sobeiha*, Head of Pesticide& Pest control, Plant Protection Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for supervising this work, without him, this work could not be completed.

Thanks are due to *Prof. Dr. Mahmoud E. A. Tharwat* Prof. of Agricultural Zoology, Plant Protection Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable assistance and helpful suggestions during the preparation of this manuscript and for contributing an enormous amount of time in supervising this work.

Thank are due to *Prof. Dr. Aly Hasan A. El- Sherbiny*, Professor of Vertebrate Ecology, Director, Centeral Laboratory for Agricultural Climat, Agricultural Research Center, for his kind advice and continuous help and encouragement throughout all the stages of this investigation.

Words cannot also express my gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Karima Azam*, Assistant Prof. of Harmful Animals, Plant Protection Research Institute, for her keen interest, continuous encouragement, offering facilities and valuable guidance.

Thanks are also due to *Dr. Waheed Gabr*, Assistante Prof. of Harmful Animals, Plant Protection Research Institute, for his encouragement and help during the course of this work.

God bless every kind hand that helped to make this work of value.

ABSTRACT

The main objectives of the current research were to study the protection of the environment and the balance by using compounds that have no harmful effect on the none target species, with high efficiency against harmful rodents, to stop the outbreak problem which happens in some areas with ecological pressures.

Questionnaire studies of residents using a prepared questionnaire showed no significant differences between both regions, between houses with and without animal rooms, methods of control and between current and 1-6 month ago infestation. LSD test showed significant differences between day and night as a factor and night and day and between houses with birds, cats, sheep and cattle. Differences between regions, burned red bricks, hard surface, paved floor, houses without animal rooms and fairly clean houses were significant. For Kafer Hakim village shows difference between burned red bricks, sun dried bricks and unclean houses.

The roof rat, (*Rattus rattus*) and the Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) were the main rats caught from Shalakan village. Norway rat had the highest number for animal room locations in all months. Location and seasonal variations showed no effect except for food store.

Laboratory studies showed that Santonic (Worm seed) "sheah" had the highest repellency effect among other soaking plant water with no significant difference.

Another laboratory study showed that the addition of carbon disulphide (10ppm) to crushed maize attracted rats to the food (the acceptability increased by 14% over control). Carbon disulphide had more attractance effect than ethyl acetate. The high trapping success was recorded in the case of traps treated with carbon disulphide.

The effect of Librax^R (0.001%) as antistomachache added to zinc phosphide (1% and 0.5%) increased food consumption and acceptability with higher mortality for 0.25%. Statistical analyses showed no

significant differences. In free choice tests, second day consumption increased by 2.72 and 1.29 fold for treated and untreated with zinc phophide Librax^R group than the first day, respectively.

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Rodent field studies	3
2.1.1. Questionnaire studies for residents of different	
agricultural areas:	3
2.1.2. Survey and population dynamics of different rodent	
species	4
2.2. Laboratory studies	10
2.2.1. Repellent studies	10
a- Plant repellents	10
b- Chemical repellents	14
c- Predator odors as repellents	17
2.2.2. Attractant studies	18
a- Food acceptance	18
b- Effect of odor materials	23
2.2.3. Overcoming rat bait shyness	25
2.2.3.1. Zinc phosphide bait shyness problem	25
2.2.3.2.Overcoming bait shyness trails	26
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	29
3.1. Rodent Field studies	29
3.1.1. Questionnaire studies for residents of different	
agricultural areas	29
3.1.2. Survey and population dynamic of rodent species for	
four seasons	32
3.1.2.1. Live trap method.	32
3.1.2.2. Food consumption method	35
3.2. Laboratory studies	35
3.2.1. Repellent studies	35

	Page
3.2.1.1. Long period plant soaking water studies	37
3.2.2. Attractant studies	37
3.2.2.1. Attractant materials	38
a- Carbon disulphide	38
b- Ethyl acetate	38
Rodenticide	38
a-Difenacoum	
3.2.2.1. The effect of carbon disulphide and ethyl acetate on	
food consumption	38
3.2.2.2. The effect of carbon disulphide (15 ppm) and ethyl	
acetate (1%) on rodent trapping under field	4.4
conditions	41
3.2.3. Overcoming rat bait shyness	42
a- Librax tablets	41
b- Rodenticides used	42
3.2.3.1. Effect of Librax ^R on rat consumption from bait	
treated with zinc phosphide (no-choice test)	42
3.2.3.2. Effect of Librax ^R on rat acceptance of zinc phosphid	
baits treated after consuming sublethal dose	
(no-choice and free-choice test)	43
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
4.1. Rodent field studies	
4.1.1. Questionnaire studies for residents of different	
agricultural areas	45
4.1.2. Survey and population dynamics of different rodent	
species in Shalakan village at Kalubiya governorate	70
4.2. Laboratory study	89
4.2.1. Repellent studies	89

	Page
4.2.1.1. Long period plant soaking water studies	89
4.2.2. Attractant studies	96
4.2.2.1. The effect of carbon disulphide on roof rat (<i>Rattus</i>	
rattus) consumption of crushed maize and	
difenacoum (0.005%) bait	96
4.2.2.2. The effect of ethyl acetate (1%) consumption of	
crushed maize and difenacoum (0.005%) bait	99
4.2.2.1. The effect of ethyl acetate 0.01% on consumption of	
•	102
crushed maize and difenacom (0.005%) bait	102
4.2.2.3. The effect of the two chemical odors on rodent	
trapping under field conditions	103
4.2.3. Overcoming rat bait shyness	105
4.2.3.1. Effect of (0.001%) Librax ^R drug as antstomachache	
with zinc phosphide bait (1%, 0.5% and 0.25%) no-	
choice test	105
. 4.2.3.2. Effect of Librax ^R on rat acceptance of zinc phosphid	
baits treated after consuming sublethal dose	
S	111
(no-choice and free-choice test)	117
	117
6. REFERENCES	124
ARABIC QUESTIONNAIRE FORM	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Rat infestation in buildings at Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	46
2	Effect of ceiling type on the rat infestation in Shalakan and	
	Kafr Hakim houses	48
3	Effect of outer surface type on the rat infestation in houses at	
	Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	50
4	Effect of floor type on the rate of rat infestation in houses at Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	51
5	Effect of door tightness on the rat infestation rate in houses	
	at Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	53
6	Rate of rat infestation in houses in certain locations and	
	buildings with tight and untight windows	55
7	Effect of cleanliness on rat infestation in houses at Shalakan	
	and Kafr Hakim	57
8a	The relationship between time of the day and the activity of	
8b	rats in Shalakan and Kafr Hakim villages	59
δυ	Analysis of variance for time of the day when rat were seen	59
	by residents	
9a	Rat infestation history in Shalakan and Kafr Hakim houses	61
9b	Analysis of variance for the effect of infestation history	61
10a	The type of animal housed under investigation in Shalakan	
	and Kafr Hakim villages	64
10b	Analysis the type of animals housed under investigation in	
	shalakan and Kafr Hakim villages	64
11	Effect of houses with and without animal rooms on the rat	
	infestation in Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	66
12a	Effect of houses with and without animal rooms on rat	
	control methods in Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	68
12b	Analysis of variance for effect of houses with and without	
	animal rooms of method of rat control	68
13a	Certain factors related with rat infestation in Shalakan and	
1 J a	Cortain factors related with fat intestation in Shafakall and	

	Kafr Hakim
13b	Analysis of variance for time of the day when rat were seen
	by residents
14	Monthly numbers of (<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>) and (<i>Rattus rattus</i>) caught by Live traps from four locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate during the period from September
	2002 to August 2003
15	Survey of rodent species in four locations at Kalubiya governorate (Shalakan village) during the period from
16	September 2002 to August 2003
	Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate during the period from September 2002 to August 2003
17	Monthly rat consumption of crushed maize (g) at Shalakan
	village, Kalubiya governorate from four locations during the
	period from September 2002 to August 2003
18	Seasonal rat consumption (g) of crushed maize for four
	locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate during
	the period from September 2002 to August 2003
19	Comparison between live traps method and food
	consumption method at Shalakan village, Kalubiya
	governorate during the period from September 2002 to
	August 2003
20-	Repellent effect of certain plant soacking water on the
20a	average daily consumption (g) for wild roof rat (R. rattus)
	under free choice laboratory condition
20b	Analysis of variance for repellent effect of certain plant
200	soacking water on the average daily consumption (g) for
	wild roof rat (R. rattus) under free choice laboratory condition

		Page
21a	Comparison studies on the effect of carbon disulphide and ethyl acetate (1% and 0.01%) on the average consumption in grams of crushed maize and difenacoum anticoagulant rodenticide 0.005% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) in the laboratory	98
21b	Analysis of variance for comparison studies on the effect of carbon disulphide and ethyl acetate (1% and 0.01%) on the average consumption in grams of crushed maize and difenacoum anticoagulant rodenticide 0.005% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) in the laboratory	98
22	Number of rodent species caught using the carbon disulphide (15ppm) and ethyl acetate odor treated and untreated traps in	
23a	Nubaria village, Beheira governorate Average daily consumption (g) of different concentrations of zinc phosphide bait by wild roof rats (<i>R. rattus</i>) groups when treated and untreated with Librax ^R under laboratory	104
23b	non-choice conditions	106106
24a	Average daily consumption (g) of zinc phosphide bait 0.025% and 0.5% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) group with Librax ^R treated and untreated in non- and free- choice tests	112
24b	Analysis of variance for Average daily consumption (g) of zinc phosphide bait 0.025% and 0.5% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) group with Librax ^R treated and untreated in non-and free-choice tests	112

VII

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Shalakan, Kalubiya governorate	30
2	Kafr Hakim governorate.	31
3	Questionnaire form	33
4	Picture of treated and untreated bags used for repellent	
	tests	36
5	Attractant test cage	39
6	Effect of day on the rat activity in Shalakan and Kafr	
	Hakim villages as reported by residents	60
7	History occurance of rat infestation in exanimed areas.	62
8	The type of animal housed under investgation in	
	Shalakan and Kafr Hakim	65
9	Monthly number of Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus)	
	caught from four locations at Shalakan village,	
	Kalubiya governorate during the period from	
	September 2002 to August 2003	74
10	Monthly number of roof rat (Rattus rattus) caught from	
	four locations at Shalakan village,Kalubiya governorate	
	during the period from September 2002 to August	
	2003	75
11	Total number of rat in four locations during the period	
	from September 2002 to August 2003	77
12	Seasonal number of (<i>R. norvegicuse</i>) caught from four	
	locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate	
	during the period from September 2002 to August	
	2003	80
13	Seasonal number of roof rat (<i>R</i> . <i>rattus</i>) caught by traps	
	from four locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya	
	governorate during the period from September 2002 to	

VIII

		Page
	August 2003	81
14	Monthly rat consumption of crushed maize (g) in four locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate during the period from September 2002 to August 2003	84
15	Seasonal rat consumption of crushed maize (g) in four locations at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate during the period from September 2002 to August	97
16	Total monthly number of rats caught by live traps during the period from September 2002 to August 2003 at Shalakan village, Kalubiya governorate	90 87
17	Monthly rat consumption of crushed maize (g) during the period from September 2002 to August 2003.	91
18	Repellent effect of certain plants soaking water on the average food consumption of wild roof rat under free-choice laboratory conditions	93
19	A picture of showing various effects of 5 plant soaking water as repellents on rat damage to	95
20	The effect of carbon disulfide (10 ppm) and ethyl acetate (1% and 0.01%) on average consumption in grams of crushed maize and difenacoum by roof rat	
	(R. rattus)	100
21	Average daily consumption (g) of different concentrations of zinc phosphide bait by wild roof rat groups when treated and untreated with Librax ^R under	
22	laboratory conditions	107
23	Average daily consumption (g) of zinc phosphid bait 0.025% and 0.5% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) groups	110

		Page
	with librax treated and untreated in none and free choice conditions	113
24	Acceptability% of zinc phosphide bait 0.025% and	
	0.5% by wild roof rat (<i>R. rattus</i>) groups with Librax ^R treated and untreated in non- and free -choice conditions	114