

Correlation between mitral annular peak systolic excursion (MAPSE) by m-mode and peak systolic velocity by tissue Doppler imaging and LV systolic function assessed by biplane Simpson's method

Thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of the master degree in cardiology

By

Enas Ahmad Sabry

MB, B.Ch

Ain Shams University

Under the supervision of

Professor Doctor/ Bassem Wadeea

Professor of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Doctor/ Hazem Mohamed Khorshid

Lecturer of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2011-2013

Introduction

An accurate assessment of left ventricular function is important in the diagnosis and management of several cardiac diseases. The most widely used measurement of left ventricular systolic function is the ejection fraction, which provides important prognostic information in these patients (**John et al., 1997**).

Ejection fraction by definition is

EF=EDV-ESV/EDV in normal individuals it varies 50-70%

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is used to provide inclusion criteria for certain medical therapies, such as implantation of a cardiac defibrillator or to begin adjuvant chemotherapy for cancer. Its use is also important in the serial assessment of left ventricular function in many patients for the determination of timing of medical or surgical interventions (**Stuart et al., 2010**).

The imaging modalities which assess LVEF are;

1- Echocardiography:

Echocardiography is the most common & widely spread method for estimation of LVEF because it's almost available at every facility, easy to perform, no side effects, with reliable results & fit for all patient, on the other hands it requires a well trained sonographer, there is interpersonal variability and some people has bad echogenic window especially obese persons, smokers and patients with pervious CABG (**Tuncay et al., 2000**).

2-contrast angiography:

Measurement of left ventricular dimensions by left ventricular angiography was first described during late 1950s but now it's obsolete because it's an invasive method which carries out the risks of strokes, infection, perforationetc, in addition to adverse effects of dye used and it's not available in every center. Nowadays it's considered as a historic method (**John et al, 1997**).

3-Radionuclide techniques of blood pool and first pass imaging:

It represents a reliable and quantitative method of measurement of left ventricle EF especially it doesn't depend on LV geometry yet it is not available in many centers, requires well trained personal and has the limitations of radioactive material (**John et al., 1997**).

4-Electron beam computed tomography (EBCT):

EBCT allows quantitative measurement of LV EF based on precise measurement of end systolic & end diastolic dimensions giving an accurate results but it has 2 major drawbacks radiation exposure and use of iodinated contrast media which limits its use (**John et al., 1997**).

5-Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI):

It's the latest method for measurement of EF, its considered as the gold standard because its most accurate, easier to perform, lack interpersonal variation and has nearly no side effects yet it's not used commonly because it's

costly, can't be used with patients who suffer from claustrophobia or on permanent pacemakers or having some types metal clips (for cerebral aneurysms) or some sort of metal stents (**Tuncay et al., 2000**)

They have all evolved separately and have different normal values for each technique. Studies have shown that these modalities do not provide interchangeable values, supporting the conclusion that the serial assessment of ejection fraction with different techniques should be avoided and the same modality should be used for each follow-up assessment (**Stuart et al., 2010**).

Aim of the Study

To validate the correlation of mitral annular plane systolic excursion (MAPSE) by m-mode and peak systolic velocity by tissue Doppler imaging as surrogates for estimation of LV function in comparison to LV systolic function as assessed by biplane Simpson's method.

Patients and Methods

Patients:

The study will include 100 patients with age ranging between 20–75 years, divided into 2 groups:

- **Group A:**

This group will include 50 patients with normal ejection fraction as assessed biplane Simpson's method

- **Group B:**

This group will include 50 patients with sub normal ejection fraction as assessed biplane Simpson's method.

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients with arrhythmia.
- Valvular stenosis.
- Significant valvular regurgitation.
- Regional wall motion abnormality.
- Mitral annular calcification.
- Also patients with poor echogenicity who were difficult to determine their EF with Simpson's biplane method were excluded.

Methods:

All the patients included in the study will be subjected to:

- **Thorough history taking.**
- **Thorough clinical examination (general and local).**
- **Echocardiography:**
 - By using ultrasound system (vivid 7, GE, USA) and a 1–5 MHz phasedarray transducer, images

will be acquired with the subject in the left lateral decubitus position, at end expiration for a minimum of four consecutive cardiac cycles, 2D images were obtained in the parasternal long axis, parasternal short axis (mid-level), apical two and four chamber views. Optimization was performed using harmonic imaging, gain, dynamic range, frequency, sector width and focus to improve signal-to-noise ratio and provide optimal endocardial definition. Images were acquired ensuring the largest cavity lengths, and with less than 20% difference between apical four and two chamber views.

➤ **Biplane modified Simpson's method:**

The biplane Simpson's software on the GE vivid-7 ultrasound system utilized a template system mapping the LV cavity allowed adjustments to be made to fit the map to the endocardial boundaries. This was performed for apical four- images at both end-diastole and systole. End-diastole was taken by identifying the frame with the largest LV cavity, end-systole was selected by identifying the frame with smallest LV cavity cross-sectional area in both apical views prior to mitral valve opening. End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, ejection fraction, were calculated according to modified Simpson's method.

➤ **MAPSE (mitral annulus plane systolic excursion):**

By the same concept of using TAPSE (tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion) as indicator of RV systolic performance which comes from the fact RV contraction is characterized by predominant longitudinal shortening and that decreases in TAPSE correlates with progressive decrease in RV function. Similarly, the value of MAPSE is obtained using M-mode echocardiography. It is the difference between end systolic and end diastolic distance from the mitral annulus to the apex. This is obtained by placing the cursor on the lateral and medial aspects of the mitral annulus (P. Ballo, et al., 2008).

➤ **Mitral annular Peak systolic velocity by Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI):**

Pulsed TD imaging of systolic annular velocity will be performed from The apical 4-chamber view by placing a 5-mm sample volume at the junction between basal lateral myocardium and lateral mitral annulus, Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI) provides information on systolic function through its systolic mitral annulus velocity Wave (Sm), reflecting the peak velocity of shortening of the myocardial fibers oriented in the longitudinal direction.

The data collected will be analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science). It will be presented using the Mean and Standard deviation .

References

Piercarlo Ballo, Arianna Bocelli, Andrea Motto¹, and Sergio Mondillo. Concordance between M-mode, pulsed Tissue Doppler, and colour Tissue Doppler in the assessment of mitral annulus systolic excursion in normal subjects *European Journal of Echocardiography* (2008) 9, 748–753

Stuart Self , Jane Allen and David Oxborough Echocardiographic methods for quantification of left ventricular ejection fraction: are they interchangeable?, *Leeds, UK Ultrasound* 2010;18:73-81

Dae-Won Sohn , In-Ho Chai , Dong-Jun Lee , Hee-Chan Kim , Hyo-Soo Kim , Byung-Hee Oh, Myoung-Mook Lee , Young-Bae Park , Yun-Shik Choi , Jung-Don Seo and Young-Woo Lee Assessment of Mitral Annulus Velocity by Doppler Tissue Imaging in the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function MDA journal of *American college of cardiology volume* 30, issue 2, august 1997, pages 474-480.

Yong-Jin Kim, MD, and Dae-Won Sohn, Mitral Annulus Velocity in the Estimation of Left Ventricular Filling Pressure: Prospective Study in 200 Patients *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography Volume* 13 Number 11, page 980-985

Ruggero Ama, Patrick Segers, Carl Roosens, Tom Claessens, Pascal Verdonck and Jan Poelaert The Effects of Load on Systolic Mitral Annular Velocity by Tissue Doppler Imaging *Anesth Analg* 2004;99:332–8)

Piercarlo Ballo, Arianna Bocelli, Andrea Motto, and Sergio Mondillo Concordance between M-mode, pulsed Tissue Doppler, and colour Tissue Doppler in the assessment of mitral annulus systolic excursion in normal subjects
Received 5 November 2007; accepted after revision 2 March 2008; online publish-ahead-of-print 2 April 2008

A.Romberger Phd, MD, Thomas behrenbeck Determination of ventricular E.F a comparison of available imaging methods john
Mayo clin. Proc 1997;72;860-870

Anders Thorstensen, Havard Dalen, Brage Høyem Amundsen, Svein Arne Aase1, and Asbjørn Stoylen Reproducibility in echocardiographic assessment of the left ventricular global and regional function, the HUNT study
Received 16 July 2009; accepted after revision 4 November 2009; online publish-ahead-of-print 3 December 2009

MIGUEL A. QUINONES, ALAN D. WAGGONER, LAWRENCE A. REDUTO, JEAN G. NELSON, JAMES B. YOUNG, WILLIAM L. WINTERS, LAIR G. RIBEIRO, AND RICHARD R. MILLER, A New, Simplified and Accurate Method for Determining Ejection Fraction with Two-dimensional Echocardiography .*circulation by American heart association*

Tuncay Hazırolan1, Barış Taşbaş1, Merve Gülbiz Dağoğlu1, Murat Canyığıt1, Gülcan Abalı2, Kudret Aytemir2, Ali Oto2, Ferhun Balkancı Comparison of short and long axis methods in cardiac MR imaging and echocardiography for left ventricular function

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*Thanks first & last to **Allah** for helping me to proceed & complete this work.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude, sincere appreciation and respect to **Prof. Dr. Bassem Wadea**, Professor of Cardiology, Ain Shams University, for the continuous encouragement, guidance and support he gave me throughout the whole work. It has been a great honor for me to work under his generous supervision.*

*I would like to express my deepest thanks and great gratitude to **Dr. Hazem Khorshid**, Lecturer of Cardiology, Ain Shams University, for his great help, meticulous supervision and precious remarks during all stages of preparation of this work.*

*I hereby wish to express my supreme gratitude and respect to **El Galaa Family Hospital, General Dr. Bahaa EL Morsy**, Headmaster of El Galaa Military Hospital for his kind guidance and support throughout the study. A special tribute and appreciation to Cardiology team for their continuous encouragement and great help.*

*I would also like to express my thanks and appreciation to my **family** for their support and encouragement. No words could fulfill my gratitude for everything they did to me.*

Abbreviations

AUC: area under the curve

ARVC: arrhythmogenic right ventricular
Cardiomyopathy

ATP: adenosine triphosphate

AV: arteriovenous

CAD: coronary artery disease

CMR: cardiac magnetic resonance

DCM: dilated Cardiomyopathy

DD: diastolic dysfunction

DM: diabetes mellitus

EBCT: electron beam computed tomography

EDRVOTD: end-diastolic RV outflow tract diameter

EF: ejection fraction

ESRVOTD: end-systolic RV outflow tract diameter

Fig: figure

FS: Fractional shortening

HCM: Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

HF: heart failure

HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

HTN: hypertension

Lat: lateral

LV: left ventricle

LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction

MAPSE: mitral annular peak systolic excursion

MDCT: multi-detector computed tomography

Med: medial

MLCV: maximal longitudinal contraction velocity

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging

mm: millimeter

ms: millisecond

mSv: Millisievert

NO: number

PSV: peak systolic velocity

PW: pulsed wave

ROC: Receiver operating characteristic

RV: right ventricle

RVEDA: Right ventricular end diastolic area

RVFAC: Right ventricular fractional area change

RVOT-SF: Right ventricular outflow tract shortening fraction

RVESA: RV end systolic area

SERCA: sarcoendoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} -ATPase

SPECT: single photon emission computed tomography

SR: sarcoplasmic reticulum

TDI: tissue Doppler imaging

TAPSE: Tricuspid annular peak systolic excursion

Yrs: years

List of Tables

Table		Page
Table (1)	The percentage of males and females in the study.	56
Table (2)	the relation between the age and the risk factors	56
Table (3)	Descriptive statistics for the normal group.	57
Table (4)	Descriptive statistics for the abnormal group	58
Table (5)	The mean of both normal and abnormal groups	58
Table (6)	The cutoff point, sensitivity and specificity of TD Med.	61
Table (7)	The cutoff point, sensitivity and specificity as regard TD Lat	63
Table (8)	The cutoff point, sensitivity and specificity as regard MAPSE Med	64
Table (9)	The cutoff point, sensitivity and specificity as regard MAPSE Lat	66
Table (10)	The relation between MAPSE Lat. with DM and HTN.	66
Table (11)	The relation between MAPSE Med. with DM and HTN	67
Table (12)	The relation between TD Med. with DM and HTN	68
Table (13)	The relation between TD Lat. with DM and HTN	69
