

AIN-SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department
The Optical Spectrometer and Its Engineering Applications
A Dissertation Submitted by

Eng. Tarek Abdel-Mottalib Al-Saeed

In Partial Fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Electronics and Communications Engineering

Supervised by

Prof.: Diaa Abdel-Maguede Khalil Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams University Prof. Hesham Sayed Ramadan Haddara Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams University

ABSTRACT

Faculty of Engineering

Ain Shams University

Name of the Researcher: Tarek Abdel-Mottalib Al-Saeed

Title of the Dissertation: The Optical Spectrometer and Its Engineering Applications.

In Partial Fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

This research presents a general analysis for the wedge interferometer used in the Fourier Transform Spectrometer. The wedge interferometer is superior over conventional Michelson interferometer in immunity against mechanical vibration of the moving mirror. It also reduces sampling errors. This is done by using two wedges one stationary and one moving. The optical path difference results from the lateral motion of the moving wedge. In this work we study the effect of miniaturizing both interferometers and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of the wedge spectrometer when compared to conventional Michelson Spectrometer. Diffraction effects in both spectrometers are studied by considering a Gaussian beam and calculating the visibility degradation against different parameters of the interferometer. We found that the wedge interferometer performs better than the Michelson interferometer. Further, the dispersion effects in the wedge due to variation of the refractive index of the wedge material studied. We study the dispersion effect using approximate equation. Then, we propose a rigorous algorithm to cancel the dispersion the dispersion effect. Finally, the degradation of signal-to-noise ratio due to different source is considered. We presented the effect of error sampling due to error in moving arm. We discuss the error in linear motion of the mirror in Michelson and the linear lateral motion of the wedge. We also discuss the error of misalignment of the wedge due to rotation of the moving wedge about

the center of the base of this wedge. Finally, the temperature effects on the refractive index of the material of the wedge and the theram expansion of the wedge are analyzed. We found that the wedge is superior for error in linear motion. For small motion error the Michelson interferometer is superior due to temperature effects. The rotation specifically of order milliradian, the wedge gives bad results and bad signal-to-noise-ratio.

Keywords: Michelson interferometer, wedge interferometer, Fourier transform spectrometer, dispersion, diffraction, SNR.

SUMMARY

Faculty of Engineering

Ain Shams University

Name of the Researcher: Tarek Abdel-Mottalib Al-Saeed

Title of the Dissertation: The Optical Spectrometer and Its Engineering Applications.

In Partial Fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Electronics and Communications Engineering

The dissertation work is intended mainly for the study and analysis of the wedge interferometer when used as a Fourier Transform Spectrometer. The work is motivated by the great interest on miniaturized interferometers, especially for hand held applications. On the course of the analysis we study the diffraction effects in both Michelson and wedge interferometers and compare between them. Further we discuss the dispersion effects in the wedge due to variation of refractive index of the material of the wedge. Finally, we discuss the signal-to-noise-ratio in both interferometers and compare them.

The dissertation consists of six chapters. The first chapter explains the concept of optical spectrometer. Then we discuss the working concepts of the dispersion spectrometer and the Fourier transform spectrometer showing the main advantages of the Fourier transform spectrometer. Finally we introduce the wedge interferometer as a new configuration of the FT spectrometer more immune to mechanical vibrations

In the second chapter we present optical modeling of the wedge. This is done by studying the Gaussian beam transformation as it passes through a glass prism. We consider oblique incidence of the Gaussian beam on the interface between two dielectric media and derive an equation for spot size transformation in two perpendicular planes. The resultant beam will generally be an elliptic Gaussian beam. The theoretical results from such Gaussian optics model are compared to the results obtained experimentally.

In the third Chapter, we consider the diffraction effects in both Michelson and wedge interferometers. A Gaussian optical model is used to predict the optical behavior of the interferometers and estimate its visibility. The visibility variations with optical path difference, detector size, initial spot size of the beam, wavelength and wide band spectrum are studied. We compared visibility in both interferometers for monochromatic beams. For quantitative performance evaluation, we defined two parameters describing the error in the spectrum and its overlap with the source spectrum.

In the fourth chapter we consider the dispersion in the wedge interferometer due to variation of the refractive index with wavelength. We first analyze the problem of dispersion approximately, then we develop a model for rigorously compensate the dispersion induced by the wedge. We used the parameters: error and overlap, defined in the Chapter 3 to evaluate the spectra obtained by the proposed rigorous model.

In the fifth chapter, we consider the signal to noise ratio, SNR, in FT spectrometer. First, we consider the noise resulting from error in sampling and linear vibration in the moving mirror in the conventional Michelson and in the moving wedge interferometers. Then, we discuss both the effect of temperature variation and sampling error on the resultant SNR. The temperature fluctuations effect on the SNR is also considered. Finally, we consider wedge misalignment, caused by rotation of the moving wedge about the center of its base and its effect on the SNR.

Finally, chapter six present the conclusion and future work

List of Symbols

Refractive index
Wavelength
Wavenumber
Interferogram
Source and recovered spectra
Factor when multiplied by motion of the wedge
we obtain optical path difference
Phase
Lateral Motion of the wedge
Factor for transformation of the Gaussian beam
spot size
Rayleigh distance
Position of beam waist
Visibility
Initial beam radius
Beam divergence angle
Spectral width
Propagation constant

List of Abbreviations

OPD Optical path difference SNR Signal to noise ratio

FTS Fourier transform spectrometer

FTIR Infrared Fourier transform spectrometer

MEMS Micro electromechanical systems
AOTF Acousto-Optic Tunable Filter

NIR Near Infra Red

CCD Charge Coupled Device PMT Photomultiplier Tube

FFTS Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer

SOI Silicon Over Insulator ILS Instrument Line Shape

FPGA Field-Programmable Gate array

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the supervisiors:

- 1- Prof.\Diaa Abdel-Maguede Khalil
- 2- Prof.\Hesham Sayed Ramadan Haddara

For their continuous support and encouragement

List of Publications

- 1- Tarek A. Al-Saeed and Diaa A. Khalil, "Dispersion compensation in moving-optical-wedge Fourier transform spectrometer" Applied Optics, 10 Jul. 2009, vol.48, no.20, pp.3979-3987.
- 2- Tarek A. Al-Saeed and Diaa A. Khalil,"Diffraction effects in optical microelectromechanical system Michelson interferometers" Applied Optics, 10 Jul. 2010, vol.49,no.20, pp.3960-3966.
- 3- Tarek A. Al-Saeed and Diaa A. Khalil,"Spot size effects in miniaturized moving-optical-wedge interferometer", Applied Optics, 10 Jun. 2011, vol.50, no.17, pp.2671-2678.
- 4- Tarek A. Al-Saeed and Diaa A. Khalil," Signal to Noise Ratio Calculation in a moving-optical-wedge Spectrometer", Applied Optics. 2012, 20 October, vol.51, no.30, pp.7206-7213.

List of Figures

Fig.(1.1) Theory of optical spectrometer	4
Fig.(1.2) Diffraction by a reflective plane grating.	
Fig.(1.3) Geometry for focal distances and focal ratios	
Fig.(1.4) Anamorphic magnification.	
Fig.(1.5) Fabry Perot interferometer	
Fig. (1.6) Demonstration of the transmittance of Fabry Perot	
interferometer	. 14
Fig(1.7) By applying an acoustic wave the light beam undergoes	
deflection at output by an angle θ	. 15
Fig.(1.8) Block diagram of Acousto-optic tunable filter	
Fig.(1.9) Fourier transform optical spectrometer	
Fig.(1.10) Michelson Interferometer	
Fig.(1.11) Throughput advantage	
Fig.(1.12)Multiplex advantage	
Fig. (1.13) Box car window	
Fig.(1.14) Boxcar function spectrum, $L_x = \Delta$.	
Fig.(1.15) Convolution of the boxcar spectrum with a single line at v_1	
Fig.(1.16) Two lines separated by $0.5/L_x$.	
Fig.(1.17) Two lines separated by $0.73/L_x$.	
Fig.(1.18) Moving-optical-wedge interferometer	. 39
Fig.(1.19) First alternative of the wedge interferometer	. 40
Fig.(1.20) Second alternative to the wedge interferometer	
Fig.(2.1) Coordinate systems for the incident, reflected, and refracted	
beam	
Fig.(2.2) Setup without prism	.47
Fig.(2.3) Setup with prism	.48
Fig.(2.4) Photo of the beam.	.49
Fig.(2.5) Intensity profile	
Fig.(2.6) Photo of the beam.	
Fig.(2.7) Intensity profile.	
Fig.(2.8) Photo of the beam.	. 54
Fig.(2.9) Intensity profile	. 54
Fig.(2.10) beam radius y direction	
Fig.(2.11) Beam radius x direction.	. 56
Fig.(3.1) Ordinary Michelson Interferometer	.61
Fig.(3.2) Radii of the first fringe, the moving arm beam, and the	
reference arm heam	66

Fig.(3.3) Radii of the first fringe, the moving arm beam, and the
reference arm beam. 67
Fig.(3.4) Plot of the intensity of light
Fig.(3.5) Visibility as a function of OPD for different spot sizes74
Fig.(3.6) Visibility as a function of OPD for different detector radii 75
Fig.(3.7) Visibility as a function of detector radius for different initial
spot sizes
Fig.(3.8) Visibility as a function of detector radius for different values of
OPD
Fig.(3.9) Visibility as a function of wavelength for different initial spot
sizes
Fig.(3.10) Visibility as a function of wavelength for different detector
radii
Fig.(3.11) Comparison of other work.
Fig.(3.12) Moving optical wedge interferometer
Fig.(3.13) Plot of the intensity.
Fig.(3.14) Plot of the axial ratio.
Fig.(3.15) Visibility versus wavelength for different spot sizes
Fig.(3.16) Visibility versus wavelength for different detector sizes 90
Fig.(3.17) Visibility versus detector size for different spot sizes 91
Fig.(3.18) Visibility versus detector size for different OPD
Fig.(3.19) Visibility versus OPD for different detector sizes
Fig.(3.20) Visibility as a function of OPD with different peaks94
Fig.(3.21) Interferogram.
Fig.(3.22) Spectra
Fig.(3.23) (3.23-a) Error; (3.23-b) overlap as a function of detector size.
Fig.(4.1) Ordinary Michelson Interferometer
Fig. (4.2) Moving-optical-wedge interferometer
Fig. (4.3) Refractive index as a function of wavelength using the
Sellmeier equation and the proposed approximate equation
Fig. (4.4) Source spectrum and recovered spectrum for a specific case 113
Fig. (4.5) Interferogram as a function of lateral displacement of the
wedge
Fig. (4.6) Interferogram as a function of lateral displacement of the
wedge
Fig. (4.7) Error and overlap integral as a function of dispersion strength.
Fig.(4.8) Error and overlap integral as a function of height of second
Gaussian 124

Fig.(4.9)Error and overlap integral as a function of the separation	
between Gaussians. 126	5
Fig.(4.10)Flow chart for the proposed method for dispersion	
compensation 128	3
Fig.(4.11) Refractive index as a function of wavelength	
Fig.(4.12) Another configuration with wedges flipped vertically and	
moving wedge moves laterally131	l
Fig.(4.13) Error and overlap as a function of dispersion strength G 132	
Fig.(4.14) Error and overlap as function of the second Gaussian height h .	
Fig.(4.15) Error and overlap as a function of separation of the two	
Gaussians. 135	5
Fig.(4.16) Another configuration with wedges flipped vertically and	
motion along the hypotenuse	7
Fig.(4.17) Error and overlap as a function of G, dispersion strength 138	3
Fig.(4.18) Error and overlap as a function of the height of the second	
Gaussian. 139)
Fig.(4.19) Error and overlap as a function of the separation between the	
two Gaussians.)
	_
Fig. (5.1) Conventional Michelson interferometer	
Fig. (5.2) Moving-optical-wedge interferometer	
Fig. (5.3) The factor f_1 against wedge angle for Silicon and Glass 148	
Fig. (5.4) Rotated wedge	
Fig.(5.5) Comparison of SNR dependence on sampling error	
Fig.(5.6) SNR for both Michelson and wedge interferometers	
Fig.(5.7) Variation of SNR with maximum random temperature variation	
in Wedge interferometer	3
Fig.(5.8) SNR variation Michelson Wedge with random position error	
and random temperature. Temperature variation 20°±1° in one case and	
the other 20°±0.5°, keeping the same resolution in both interferometers	
)
Fig.(5.9) SNR of Michelson interferometer versus wedge interferometer	
for different α with random temperature variations around $20^{\circ}\pm1^{\circ}$ 160)
Fig.(5.10) SNR vs. position error for three different resolutions in the	
wedge interferometer	Ĺ
Fig.(5.11) Signal to noise ratio versus random temperature variation for	
thermal expansion and for refractive index effects only	
Fig.(5.12) Spectra for ideal case and the case with random misalignment	
angle with maximum 1mrad	5

Fig.(5.13) SNR for Wedge interferometer with random misalignment	
angle	166

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	iii
SUMMARY	V
List of Symbols	vii
List of Abbreviations	viii
Acknowledgement	ix
List of Publications	X
List of Figures	xi
Introduction	1
Chapter 1	3
Fourier Transform Optical Spectrometers	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Optical Spectrometers	3
1.2.1 Dispersive Optical Spectrometers	3
1.2.2 Tunable Filter Spectrometer	12
1.2.3 Fourier Transform Optical Spectrometer	18
1.3 Fourier Transform Optical Spectrometer	18
1.3.1 Advantages of Fourier Transform Optical Spectrometer	
1.3.2 Characteristics of Fourier Transform Spectrometer	
1.3.3 MEMS Fourier Transform Spectrometer	
1.4 Moving-Optical-Wedge FTS	
1.4.1 Other Configurations of the Wedge interferometer	
-	
1.4.2 The proportionality Constant <i>f</i>	4 1