



Role of MRI in Characterization of Occult Breast Lesions in High Risk Population

Thesis

**Submitted for partial fulfillment of the
MD Degree in Radiodiagnosis**

By

Caroline Atef Youssef

M.B., B.Ch, M.Sc Ain Shams University

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Hisham Mahmoud

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

***Assist. Prof. Dr. Mohsen Gomaa
Hassan***

Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ayman Mohamed Ibrahim

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Department of Radiodiagnosis

2012

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, thanks to **GOD**, to whom I relate any success in my life as I owe Him for his great care, support and guidance.

Words do fail me when I come to express my sincere indebtedness, appreciation and profound gratitude to my **Professor. Dr. Hesham Mahmoud**, Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his moral support, valuable supervision, encouragement and resourceful knowledge which enabled me to fulfill this work.

Special thanks are due to **Assist. Prof. Dr. Mohsen Gomaa**, Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for dedicating much of his precious time and for his willing assistance and continuous advice.

Also I would like to thank **Dr. Ayman Mohamed Ibrahim**, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help, constant guidance and kind support.

Finally, I would like also to express my deepest gratitude to my family, my husband and my daughter for their loving support and encouragement which pushed me forward in every step in my life and helped me throughout the hard times.

Caroline Atef

Contents

	Page no.
• List of figures	I
• List of tables	XIV
• List of abbreviations	XVI
• Introduction and Aim of the work	1
• Review of Literature	8
• Anatomy of the breast	8
• Pathology of breast cancer	35
• Physical Principles and Technical aspects of contrast-enhanced breast MRI	64
• Manifestations of breast carcinoma in CE-MRI	103
• Patients and Methods	141
• Results	154
• Illustrative cases	169
• Discussion	220
• Summary and conclusion	240
• References	245
• Arabic summary	258



مقدم من الطيبة

الكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة و ماجستير الاشعة التشخيصية
جامعة عين شمس

أستاذ الأشعة التشخيصية

أستاذ مساعد الأشعة التشخيصية

مدرس الأشعة التشخيصية

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

□ □ □ □

List of Figures

Fig. no.	Title	Page no.
Fig.1.	Schematic presentation of sagittal views of the breast.....	11
Fig.2.	Benign duct ectasia. (A) Postcontrast sagittal fat-suppressed 3D FSPGR image (B) Subtraction of the precontrast image from the postcontrast image.....	13
Fig.3.	Medial breast with pectoralis major muscle overlying ribs and intercostal muscles.....	14
Fig.4.	Laterally in the breast the pectoralis minor is visualized behind the pectoralis major muscle.....	15
Fig.5.	(A) Multiple normal appearing level I axillary lymph nodes posterior to pectoralis major muscle. (B) Pectoralis major muscle and pectoralis minor muscle. Axillary artery identified. (C) Serratus anterior muscle. (D) Lateral to mid-breast. (E) Medially, axillary artery can still be seen. Pectoralis major muscle anterior to chest wall. (F) Medial breast. (G) Internal mammary artery anterior to the heart.....	16
Fig. 6.	Ectopic breast tissue in the axillary tail on a lateral image.....	17
Fig.7.	Arterial supply to the breast.....	18
Fig.8.	Lateral thoracic artery and branches.....	19
Fig.9.	Internal mammary artery.....	20
Fig.10.	Maximum intensity projection of breast demonstrates course of vessels.....	20
Fig.11.	(A) MLO view demonstrates dense parenchyma with no abnormalities. (B) Contrast-enhanced MRI in the same patient is positive for a small invasive ductal carcinoma that was likely obscured due to surrounding isodense breast tissue on the mammogram.....	22
Fig.12.	(A and B) Initial study in the fourth week of the menstrual cycle in a premenopausal woman. (C	

	and D) Follow-up examination in 2 months in the second week of the cycle shows marked decrease in the overall enhancement.....	24
Fig.13.	Nipples on MRI may (A) enhance intensely, (B) mildly, or (C) not at all, depending on blood supply.....	26
Fig.14.	Diagram of the lymphatic drainage of the upper limb and breast. The lateral nodes drain the upper limb. The subareolar plexus drains by collecting trunks into the axillary nodes. The pectoral nodes drain most of the breast. The apical nodes receive the lymph from the other axillary groups. Retropectoral (R) and transpectoral (T) routes are also shown.....	29
Fig.15.	Schematic division of axillary lymph nodes. Level I nodes are located inferior and lateral to the pectoralis minor muscle (PMM), level II nodes are located deep to the PMM, and level III nodes are superior and medial to the PMM.....	30
Fig.16.	Sagittal fat-suppressed T1-weighted sequence that demonstrates a normal crescentic-shaped axillary lymph node with a fatty hilum.....	31
Fig.17.	The appearance of normal lymph nodes on various sequences. (A) Sagittal non-fat-suppressed T1-weighted sequence: intermediate-to-high signal intensity consistent with a fatty hilum. (B) Sagittal fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequence: lymph node has intermediate-to-high signal intensity. (C) Sagittal fat-suppressed postcontrast T1-weighted sequence: homogeneous enhancement of the lymph node....	32
Fig.18.	Benign lymph node with vessel radiating to hilum.....	33
Fig.19.	(A) Region of interest placed over a round homogeneously enhancing mass in the upper outer quadrant. (B) Kinetic analysis demonstrates washout. As atypical appearing lymph nodes may mimic carcinoma, attention to T2-weighted images can help in confirming the presence of a	

	lymph node. If any doubt persists, biopsy is recommended.....	34
Fig.20.	(A) T2-weighted image of a lymph node demonstrates high signal. Note lymph node is associated with a vessel and contains a fatty hilum, further confirming benignity. (B) Corresponding postcontrast T1 weighted image.....	34
Fig.21.	Age-specific incidence rates for breast cancer in U.S. white women (1969–1971).....	39
Fig.22.	Lobular carcinoma in situ. A, Three ductolobular units (terminal ductolobular units, lobules), all drained by the same small extralobular duct, exhibit a solid small cell neoplastic proliferation that also extends into the extralobular duct as pagetoid spread. B and C, Higher magnifications of a lobule showing complete effacement by a uniform small cell neoplastic proliferation.....	47
Fig.23.	A–D, Architectural features of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). A–C, Three examples of high-nuclear-grade (NG III) DCIS with different architectural patterns. A, Solid growth pattern; B, cribriform growth pattern; C, micropapillary growth pattern; D, contrasting micropapillary DCIS with low nuclear grade (NG I) at the same magnification.....	48
Fig.24.	Paget's disease limited to the nipple epidermis. Note the distribution of the Paget cells from the basal portion of the epidermis into the stratum corneum. Paget cells are carried by the growth of the epidermis to its surface, like melanoma, but many Paget cells tend to exhibit maturation with nuclear and cytoplasmic changes similar to that of the adjacent nonneoplastic keratinocytes.....	49
Fig.25.	Invasive carcinoma. Nests of gland-forming tumor cells infiltrate surrounding fatty breast tissue in a haphazard fashion (<i>arrows</i>), forming the leading edge of the tumor. An incidental benign cyst is present on the right.....	52
Fig.26.	Invasive carcinoma, scirrhus type. Irregular groups of tumor cells are embedded within	

	extremely dense collagen, such that the actual tumor cells are histologically the relative minority component of the mass.....	53
Fig.27.	Invasive lobular carcinoma, classic form. Tumor cells surround a benign ductal structure, creating a targetoid pattern. The cells invade in a linear fashion (single file) and have relatively uniform nuclei without mitoses.....	54
Fig.28.	Focus, mass, and non-mass-like lesion. Types of enhancement after administration of contrast material in MRI of the breast: multiple foci, each under 5 mm in size (a), enhancing mass (b), and non-space occupying non-mass-like lesion (c).....	78
Fig.29.	Different phases of the signal time course of a dynamic series and the respective kinetic criteria.....	82
Fig.30.	Algorithm for breast MRI interpretation.....	84
Fig.31.	Intraductal cancer in the right breast with “benign” enhancement kinetics. (A) Postcontrast subtracted image with ROI in the DCIS seen. (B) Corresponding signal time course.....	88
Fig.32.	Invasive breast cancer with “benign” appearing morphologic features (A) Precontrast T1-weighted GE image of the dynamic series. (B) First postcontrast T1-weighted GE image of the dynamic series. (C) Fourth postcontrast T1-weighted GE image of the dynamic series. (D) Postcontrast subtracted image of the first postcontrast image. (E) Signal time course.....	89
Fig.33.	Significant fibrocystic disease in a 48-year-old patient (A) Precontrast T1-w GE of the dynamic series. (B) Postinitial phase postcontrast T1-w GE of the dynamic series. (C) Postinitial phase postcontrast subtracted of the dynamic series. (D) T2-w TSE image. (E) T2-we TSE image. (F) ROI placement and signal time course.....	90
Fig.34.	Transverse fat suppressed T2 weighted MR image of a patient with histopathologically proven malignant breast tumor showing hyperintense breast tumor. The MRSI grid encompasses the normal and tumor area of breast	

	with small rectangles showing the voxels. B) Shows the MR spectrum obtained from a voxel from the normal portion of the breast. C) Shows the MR spectrum obtained from a voxel positioned inside the tumor	93
Fig.35.	Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging.	94
Fig.36.	MR T1 Perfusion color maps.....	97
Fig.37.	Fat suppressed vascular sequence.....	97
Fig.38.	Comparison of images acquired on the same volunteer at (A) 1.5 T and (B) 3.0 T using the same 4-channel bilateral breast coil design and pulse sequence in each case.....	99
Fig.39.	Intraindividual variability of enhancement in a premenopausal woman. MIP of subtraction images in a 38-year-old woman. Low uptake of the contrast material 7 days after the beginning of the menstrual cycle (a). Stronger enhancement 1 year later, on the 11th day of menstrual cycle (b).....	105
Fig.40.	High parenchymal density in digital mammography in comparison to CE-MRI of the breast. Digital mammography in MLO view demonstrates high parenchymal density type IV according to the American College of Radiology. MRI after administration of contrast material shows high transparency of the parenchyma (MIP; MRI-density type I).....	106
Fig.41.	Myxoid fibroadenoma of the breast on MRI. Lobulated, well-defined mass with endotumoral septation in the lateral aspect of the left breast. Additional small tumor with similar signal behavior in the center of the right breast. Presentation in MIP technique (a). High water content of both tumors in T2-IR single slice with improved visualization of the endotumoral septations (b). Left tumor in precontrast T1-WI (c) and in single slice subtraction image (d). Signal-to-time curve in the tumor, with strong initial increase (>160%) and post-initial plateau (e). Histology after percutaneous core biopsy: myxoid fibroadenoma.....	106

- Fig.42.** MRI in a patient with extreme fibrocystic changes. Digital mammography in CC view shows extremely high density type IV according to the American College of Radiology and clearly reduced sensitivity (**a, b**). Multiple micro- and macro-cysts in both breasts on ultrasound (**c**: right breast, **d**: left breast). Demonstration of the multitude of cysts in both breasts in the MIP presentation of water-sensitive IR images (**e**). High reliability for the detection of breast cancer in the MIP of the MRI subtraction images (**f**). Demonstrating only few small foci (MRM-density type I)..... 108
- Fig.43.** MRmammography (T1-weighted postcontrast image) abscess with ring shaped peripheral enhancement..... 109
- Fig.44.** Postoperative scarring (*arrows*) in MRI. MRI after breast conserving therapy in a patient with a history of a small breast cancer 3 years ago. The T1-W precontrast image showed a scar with an architectural distortion accompanied by a fat necrosis (**a**). Subtraction image after administration of contrast material demonstrated no enhancement within the scar (**b**). Follow up over more than 2 years confirmed the diagnosis of a postoperative scar..... 110
- Fig.45.** Postoperative changes. a Ultrasonography. Hypoechoic scar with posterior acoustic shadowing. b Mammography. Hyperdense, spiculated scar. c MRmammography (T1 weighted precontrast image). Spiculated mass with low signal intensity. d MR mammography (subtraction image). No contrast enhancement..... 111
- Fig.46.** Postradiation changes, a Ultrasonography. Diffuse increase in echogenicity and skin thickening due to edema. b Mammography. Diffuse increase in parenchymal density and skin thickening due to edema. c MR mammography (T2-weighted image), Linear, spotty increase of signal intensity due to edema. d MR

- mammography (subtraction image). No contrast enhancement..... 112
- Fig.47.** Seroma. a Ultrasonography. Well-circumscribed, hypoechoic mass. b Mammography. Left craniocaudal projection. Lateral. Well-circumscribed hypodense mass. c MR mammography (T2-weighted image). Well-circumscribed seroma with high signal intensity. d MR mammography (subtraction image). Well-circumscribed seroma with low signal intensity. no contrast enhancement..... 113
- Fig.48.** Rim enhancement from recent surgery is typically distinguishable from significant amount of residual disease. A, A 50 year-old woman with large palpable breast mass status post surgery. MRI demonstrated thin enhancement around the postoperative cavity. B, A 42-year-old woman underwent an excisional biopsy yielding invasive lobular carcinoma extending to the margins, and MRI was performed to assess residual disease. A large postoperative seroma cavity with an air-fluid level is seen, compatible with the postoperative state. In addition, residual mass is noted along the posterior margin of the biopsy cavity and extends inferiorly toward the nipple. Mastectomy confirmed the presence of extensive residual invasive lobular carcinoma..... 113
- Fig.49.** MR mammography (T1-weighted precontrast image). Fat-containing lymph node..... 114
- Fig.50.** Radial scar with associated small breast cancer. Digital mammography in MLO projection (a, b). Density type ACR IV. Architectural distortion in the center of the left breast (b), better seen on magnification mammogram (c). MRI demonstrates asymmetric uptake of the contrast material (left> right). Small hypervascularized nodule in the center of the left breast (d), without water signal on T2 IR image (e, MIP technique). Reproducibility of the architectural distortion on precontrast T1-W gradient echo sequence (f), with the enhancing ill-defined lesion in the center

	of the distortion (g, single slice subtraction image). Histology after MR-guided vacuum biopsy: 3 mm invasive ductal carcinoma within a radial scar.....	116
Fig.51.	A 44-year-old woman with known invasive lobular carcinoma. Note spiculation of mass.....	117
Fig.52.	A 28-year-old woman with palpable invasive ductal carcinoma demonstrating peripheral rim enhancement, a suspicious sign for malignancy.....	118
Fig.53.	A 67-year-old woman treated 2 years ago in the contralateral breast for invasive lobular carcinoma underwent screening breast MRI examination, which demonstrated segmental clumped enhancement along the 6-o'clock axis. Subsequent mammogram and ultrasound were negative. MRI-guided needle localization was performed, yielding high-grade extensive ductal carcinoma in situ, solid and cribriform types.....	118
Fig.54.	A 55-year-old woman with biopsy-proven invasive ductal carcinoma underwent preoperative MRI for extent of disease evaluation. MRI demonstrated an irregular heterogeneously enhancing mass.....	118
Fig.55.	A 35-year-old woman with strong family history of breast cancer and a new palpable finding. MRI demonstrated an irregular mass with heterogeneous internal enhancement. Pathology yielded fibroadenoma.....	119
Fig.56.	A 48-year-old woman with palpable finding. MRI demonstrated a lobulated mass with homogeneous enhancement and nonenhancing internal septations. Subsequent ultrasound demonstrated the mass, and ultrasound core biopsy was performed, yielding fibroadenoma....	119
Fig.57.	A 37-year-old woman with mass suspicious for breast carcinoma underwent MRI evaluation for extent of disease. MRI demonstrated a mass that has washout kinetics. Several images were obtained at time points following contrast	

	injections and plotted on a graph. Note the rapid uptake of contrast and the rapid washout, suspicious for malignancy.....	120
Fig.58.	A 42-year-old woman with known right breast carcinoma presented for staging MRI examinations. <i>A</i> , In the contralateral left breast, a suspicious enhancing lesion was identified. Region of interest is manually placed over the enhancement. <i>B</i> , Curve is generated at three time points following contrast injection. Note the malignant features of the curve.....	121
Fig.59.	Large high-grade DCIS in MRI. Digital mammography of both breasts in medio-lateral view. Shrinking sign of the parenchymal structures of the right breast without any associated microcalcifications (a, b). Large non-mass-like enhancement in the center of the right breast in MIP technique (c) and on single slice subtraction image (d). Histology: high-grade DCIS.....	123
Fig.60.	Small high-grade DCIS in MRI. Digital mammography of the left breast in CC view. No suspicious findings. Macrocalcification (a). Small dendritic enhancement (non-mass-like lesion) in the lateral part of the left breast in MIP technique (b) and on single slice subtraction image (c). Histology after preoperative MR-guided needle localization: high-grade DCIS of 24 mm size.....	123
Fig.61.	Small IDC in mammographically dense breasts. Digital mammography of both breasts demonstrates normal findings. Density ACR type III. BI-RADS right 1, left 1 (a, b). Depiction of an 8 mm invasive ductal breast cancer in the lateral parts of the left breast with surrounding non-mass-like enhancement, suspicious for extensive intraductal component. MR-density type I. Histology after US-guided core biopsy (second-look) and open biopsy: IDC(c).....	125
Fig.62.	Preoperative local staging in a patient with a	

	trifocal breast cancer. Digital mammogram of a patient with left-sided nipple retraction demonstrates dense parenchymal tissue (ACR type IV), unsuspecting diffuse calcifications, and nipple retraction (b) . MRI depicted a small enhancing lesion directly behind the left nipple (d) , the index tumor in the lateral part of the left breast (also seen on ultrasound, e), and a third enhancing nodule in the lower outer quadrant (f) . Visualization of all three tumors in MIP technique (c)	126
Fig.63.	MRI in patient with CUP syndrome (carcinoma of unknown primary). Pathologic enlargement of a lymph node in the right axilla was noticed by this patient. Histopathology revealed a metastasis of an adenocarcinoma. Mammography and ultrasound did not show any suspicious lesion. T2-W MR imaging demonstrated lymph node metastasis in the right axilla (a, MIP of T2 images) . MIP of subtraction images depicted the primary carcinoma of 8 mm size in the lateral parts of the right breast (b) . Open biopsy after needle localization confirmed this diagnosis (IDC).....	127
Fig.64.	Invasive ductal carcinoma. a Histologic specimen. b Mammography. c Ultrasonography. d MR mammography (subtraction image).....	128
Fig.65.	Small invasive lobular breast carcinoma in mammographically dense breasts. Digital mammography of both breasts demonstrates normal findings. Density ACR type III. (a, b) . Demonstration of an 11 mm lesion in the center of the left breast. MR-density type I.....	129
Fig.66.	Mucinous carcinoma. a Histologic specimen. Extracellular mucin lakes with a few clusters of tumor cells.b Mammography. Microlobulated mucinous carcinoma. c Ultrasonography. MR mammography (subtraction image).....	130
Fig.67.	Tubular carcinoma. a Mammography. Typical tubular carcinoma. b Mammography. c Ultrasonography. d MR mammography	

	(subtraction image).....	131
Fig.68.	Inflammatory breast cancer. a Clinical presentation of inflammation. b Mammography. Inflammatory breast cancer of right breast. c Ultrasonography. Inflammatory breast cancer changes on right image (normal image on left). d MR mammography (subtraction image). Inflammatory breast cancer with increased enhancement of skin and intra mammary structures.....	132
Fig.69.	Invasive papillary carcinoma. MR mammography (subtraction image).....	133
Fig.70.	Local recurrence. a Mammography, right MLO projection. Inconspicuous findings after lateral lumpectomy. b MR mammography of patient in a (subtraction image). Triangular contrast enhancement at surgery site. c MR mammography (T1- weighted precontrast image). Postoperative scar located centrally in the left breast (mammogram showed inhomogeneous dense breast tissue without suspicious findings). d MR mammography (subtraction image). Local recurrence, 8 mm in diameter.....	134
Fig.71.	Phyllodes tumor. a Ultrasonography. Well-circumscribed. hypoechoic mass. b Mammography (enlarged detail view). Well-circumscribed. Isodense mass. c MR mammography (T2-weighted image). Lobulated, partially cystic mass. d MR mammography (subtraction image). Lobulated mass with inhomogeneous contrast enhancement.....	135
Fig.72.	Sarcoma of the breast. a Ultrasonography (panoramic view). Indistinct, hypoechoic mass with echogenic rim. b Mammography. Right MLO projection. Lobulated, partially indistinct, hyperdense mass. c MR mammography (MIP). Lobulated mass with inhomogeneous contrast enhancement. d Macroscopic specimen. Lobulated mass with central necrosis.....	136
Fig.73.	Lymphoma of the breast. a Mammography. right	