



!

!

Evaluation of rubber composites as shielding materials against ionizing radiation

Thesis

Submitted to

Faculty of Science,

Ain Shams University

By

Mona Kamal Atia

B.Sc. of chemistry (2001),

2010

For

The degree of

M. Sc. In chemistry

APPROVAL SHEET

Title of the thesis

Evaluation of rubber composites as shielding materials against ionizing radiation

Name of the candidate

Mona Kamal Atia

Thesis Advisors

Thesis Approved

Prof. Dr. Abdel –Gawad Rabie,
Prof. Dr. of Organic Chemistry,
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mansour Abdel-Aziz.
Prof. Dr. of Radiation Chemistry,
National Center for Radiation Research
and Technology (NCRRT),
Atomic Energy Authority.

Prof. Dr. Eglal Raymond Souaya
Prof. Dr. of Inorganic Chemistry,
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University.

Credit

Prof. Dr. Fakhry El-Basuiny
Head of chemistry department

!
!
!
!

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

" الحمد لله الذى هدانا لهذا وما كنا لنهتدى
لولا أن هدانا الله "

صدق الله العظيم

(سورة الأعراف الآية ٤٣)

!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!
!

To my parents,
Brother,
dearest uncles,
family and my
! friends.

!

!

!!°!!

!

!! !!!!!!!!! !ÿ!!!ÿ!! !!!°! !!

! !!!!!!!!!!!!!!! !!!!! !!!!!!! !!!!! !!!!! !! !

! !!!!!!! !!!!! !!!!! !!!!! !!!!! !!!!!

! !!!!!!!!!!!!!!! !! !!!!!!! !!!!! !!!!!

!

! / !!!!! / / !!!!!!!!!! !ÿ!!!ÿ!! !!!

! !!!!!!! !!!!! !!!!! !.

*!
!
!
!*

Acknowledgment

!

I would like to express my deep gratitude and Thanks to Prof. Dr. Abdel –Gawad Rabia, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University and Prof. Dr. Eglal Remoan Soia, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for their interest, and deep concern in this work,

Deepest thanks and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mansour Abdel-Aziz Prof. Dr. of Radiation Chem., National Center for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT), Atomic Energy Authority, for suggesting, planning the point of research, his eminent supervision, and valuable discussion. Also, for his encouragement and support throughout this work,

My special gratitude and thanks to associate prof. Dr. Hani Amer, for his Facilities provided, and discussion.

My deep thanks are also extended to Head and all staff members of Radiation chemistry Department, NCRRT, for their help, technical assistance and encouragement.

My appreciation and gratitude go to my father, may God bless his soul, and my mother, brother and all members of my dear family, for their loving support, continuous backing, prayers all through my life, and understanding during the tiring period in which this work was accomplished.

!

CONTENTS

No.		Page
	Contents	I
	List of figures	IV
	List of tables	VI
	Abstract	X
	Aim of work	XI
	CHAPTER 1	1
	INTRODUCTION	
I.	Types of Emissions	2
1.	Ionizing radiation	3
1.1.	Types of ionizing radiation	4
1.1.1.	Gamma Emissions:	5
1.1. 2.	The Fundamental Law of Gamma-Ray Attenuation	7
1.2.	Interaction of Radiation with Matter	10
1.2. 1.	Interactions of Alpha Particles	11
1.2. 2.	Interactions of Beta Particles	12
1.2.3.	Gamma-Ray Interactions with Matter	13
1.2.3. I.	The Photoelectric Effect	13
1.2.3. II.	The Compton Effect	16
1.2.3. III.	Pair Production	18
1.3.	Quantities and units used for radiation	21
1.4.	Types of radiation exposure	23
1.4.1.	External exposure	23
1.4.2	Methods for Reducing External Exposure	24
1.4.2. I.	Time	24
1.4.2. II.	Decay	24
1.4.2. III.	Distance	25
1.4.2. IV.	Shielding:	26
1.4.3.	Internal exposure:	28
1.5.	Radiation Chemistry of Polymers	32

CHAPTER 2	37
LITERATURE REVIEW	
CHAPTER 3	54
MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES	
3.1. Materials	54
a- Raw materials:	54
b- Fillers	55
c- Additives	56
d- Monomers	58
e- Solvent	60
3.2. Experimental Techniques	61
a- Mixing	61
b- Preparation of samples	61
c- Irradiation procedure:	63
3.3. Measurements:	68
3.3.1. Gamma ray attenuation coefficients measurement	68
3.3.2. Mechanical properties	70
3.3.3. Physico-chemical measurements	71
CHAPTER 4	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
3.1. Attenuation coefficient of γ -rays in SBR composite	73
3.1.1. Testing of different types of SBR rubber as shielding materials.	74
3.1.2. The linear attenuation coefficient for SBR-1502 formulations containing different types of monomers	75
3.1.3- The linear attenuation coefficient for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentrations of lead oxide	78

3.2. Mechanical properties	83
3.2.1. Effect of SBR type on the mechanical properties of the composites:	83
3.2.2-Effect of different monomers on mechanical properties	84
3.2.3- mechanical properties of cured samples without sulfur	90
3.2.4. Effect of different concentrations of red lead oxide on mechanical properties	93
3.2.5. The effect of irradiation doses on the mechanical properties of the samples contains different concentration of lead oxide.	99
3.2.6. The effect of high irradiation doses on the hardness of samples containing different concentration of lead oxide.	102
3.3. Physical properties	105
3.3.1. Physical properties of SBR formulations containing different monomers.	105
3.3.2. Physical properties of SBR formulations containing different concentrations of lead oxide.	111
SUMMARY	114
CONCLUSIONS	118
REFERENCES	120
ARABIC SUMMARY	

List of Figures

	Pages
Fig. 1.1: the electromagnetic spectrum.	6
Fig. 1.2: Transmission of gamma rays through lead absorbers.	10
Fig 1.3: A schematic representation of the photoelectric absorption process.	14
Fig. 1.4: Linear attenuation coefficient of NaI showing Contributions from photoelectric absorption, Common scattering and pair .production.	16
Fig. 1.5: A schematic representation of Compton scattering.	17
Fig. 1.6: A schematic representation of pair production.	20
Fig. 1.7: Decay of radiation field after shutdown	26
Fig. 2.1 : Schematic Set-up of Gamma Ray Spectrometer for use in Gamma Spectrometry	70
Fig. 3.1: The linear attenuation coefficient of the SBR/lead oxide composites as a function of the lead oxide concentration	81
Fig. 3.2: The relation between the T.S and the irradiation dose for the SBR samples containing unmodified and modified lead oxide.	89

Fig. 3.3: The relation between the elongation and irradiation dose for the SBR samples containing unmodified and modified lead oxide.	90
Fig. 3.4: The relation between the T.S and the lead oxide content for the SBR / lead oxide composites irradiated to different irradiation dose	96
Fig. 3.5: The relation between the elongation and the lead oxide content for the SBR / lead oxide composites irradiated to different irradiation doses.	97
Fig. 3.6: The relation between soluble fraction and irradiation dose for the SBR samples containing unmodified and modified lead oxide	109
Fig. 3.7: The relation between the swelling and irradiation dose for the SBR samples containing unmodified and modified lead oxide	110

List of Tables

	Pages
Table 2.1: formulation of styrene butadiene rubbers: SBR1502, SBR70 and their blend.	64
Table 2.2: formulations of SBR1502 containing different types of monomer.	65
Table 2.3: formulations of SBR1502 containing lead oxide and their blend	66
Table 2.4: formulations of SBR1502 containing different concentrations of lead oxide.	67
Table 3.1: The linear attenuation coefficient (μ) and the half value layer (HVL) for the different types SBR.	74
Table 3.2: The gamma rays linear attenuation coefficient μ (cm^{-1}) value for SBR formulations containing different types of monomers and irradiated to different doses of γ -radiation.	76
Table 3.3: The HVL (cm) value for SBR formulations containing different types of monomers and irradiated to different doses of γ -radiation	77
Table 3.4: The linear attenuation coefficient (cm^{-1}) for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentration of lead oxide and irradiated to different.	79

Table 3.5: The HVL (cm) for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentration of lead oxide and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation	80
Table 3.6: The tensile strength and the elongation at break of the different SBR formulations.	83
Table 3.7: The tensile strength (MPa) of SBR-1502 formulations containing different types of monomers and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation.	85
Table 3.8: The elongation at break (%) of SBR-1502 formulations containing different types of monomers and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation.	86
Table 3.9: The tensile strength (MPa) of the samples contains monomers in absence and present of sulfur.	92
Table 3.10: The elongations at break (%) of the samples contain monomers in absence and present of sulfur.	92
Table 3.11: The tensile strength (MPa) for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentrations of red lead oxide and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation.	94

Table 3.12: The elongation at break (%) for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentrations of leads oxide and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation.	95
Table 3.13: The tensile strength (MPa) for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentrations of lead oxide and irradiated to high irradiation doses	100
Table 3.14: The elongations at break (%) for SBR-1502 formulation containing different concentration of lead oxide and irradiated to high irradiation dose.	101
Table 3.15: The shore A hardness for SBR-1502 formulations contains different concentrations of red lead oxide and irradiated to high irradiation doses.	103
Table 3.16: The shore D hardness for SBR-1502 formulations containing different concentrations of red lead oxide and irradiated to high irradiation doses.	104
Table 3.17: The soluble fraction in toluene for SBR composites containing 300phr lead oxide and different types of monomer and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation	107

Table 3.18: The swelling % in toluene for SBR composites containing 300phr lead oxide and different types of monomer and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation	108
Table 3.19: The soluble fraction for SBR1502 formulation containing different concentrations of Lead oxide and irradiated to different doses of gamma irradiation.	112
Table 3.20: The swelling % in toluene for SBR1502 formulation containing different concentrations of lead oxide and irradiated to different doses of gamma radiation.	113