



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS DEPARTMENT**

**OPTIMIZATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF
IRRIGATION IMPROVEMENT STRUCTURES
CASE STUDY: DOWNSTREAM CONTROL GATES IN
VEGETATED OPEN CHANNELS**

by

YOSRY HUSSEIN MOHAMED KHAFAGY

M.SC. Civil Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2004
B.SC. Civil Engineering, Zagazig University, 1991

A THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree
of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In Civil Engineering
(Irrigation and Hydraulics Department)

SUPERVISED by

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdellateef Mohamed
Professor of Irrigation Design, Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Nahla Mohamed Abdel Hamid Abou El Atta
Professor of Irrigation Design, Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Magdy Mohamed Hosny
Director of Survey Research Institute, National Water Research Center

Cairo – Egypt – 2010

**OPTIMIZATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF
IRRIGATION IMPROVEMENT STRUCTURES
CASE STUDY: DOWNSTREAM CONTROL GATES IN
VEGETATED OPEN CHANNELS**

A Ph.D. Thesis Submitted
by

Yosry Hussein Mohamed Khafagy
In Civil Engineering (Irrigation and Hydraulics)

Examiners Committee

Name

Signature

Prof. Dr. Emad Habib

Department of Civil Engineering
College of Engineering
University of Louisiana, USA

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Mohamed Soliman

Professor of Irrigation and Drainage Design
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Lateef Mohamed

Professor of Irrigation Design
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Nahla Mohamed Abdel Hamid Abou El Atta

Professor of Irrigation Design
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Cairo – Egypt – 2010

Acknowledgement

Foremost, great thanks for ALLAH, the most beneficent and merciful, for giving me long live to this day and providing me with the willingness and strength to accomplish my tasks in this dissertation.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and grateful appreciation to the major supervisor **Prof. Mahmoud Abdel lateef**, professor of Irrigation and Hydraulics Design, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Sham University. He has unselfishly given of his time, guidance, advice, and continuous encouragement he provided during the implementation phases of this dissertation, the analysis and interpretation of the results which to a large extent has led to the completion of this study.

My great respect and appreciation to **Prof. Nahla Abou El Atta**, professor of Irrigation and Hydraulics Design, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Sham University, the member of the supervising committee for her strong support, valuable remarks, fruitful scientific observations, guidance, and her significant analysis related in depth to the dissertation.

My deep thanks and grateful appreciation to **Prof. Magdy Hosny**, Director of the Survey Research Institute, National Water Research Center, the member of the supervising committee for his support, strengthening, valuable remarks, fruitful scientific observations, guidance, and his significant analysis related directly to the dissertation.

My grateful appreciation and gratitude to the Hydraulic research Institute – National Water Research Center – Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation (MWRI) and all its staff, especially, **Dr. Abdel Azim Mohammed Ali**, **Dr. Ahmed El Balasy** and **Eng. Mostafa El Samny**, and all the institute technicians for their assistance and help in preparing for the laboratory works.

My extreme appreciation and respect to **Mr. Robert J. Rowbottom**, the senior consultant, Land and Water Management, Euroconsult MOTT MacDonald, for his valuable editing of this thesis until it reached this presented level of quality.

Indeed, my extreme gratitude is to the Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation (MWRI) represented in **H.E. the Minister, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nasr Allam** and heads of departments and directors for their support, strengthening, enhancement, and encouragement they provided during the life-time span of this dissertation.

Last but not least, I owe too much to my dear **Parents**, especially my **late Father**, God bless his spirit, and my respected **Wife** and kids **Amany**, **Hanan** and **Karim** for their sacrifice, self-denial, extreme donation, and full support, during the completion of this dissertation.

Abstract of Dissertation

Aquatic weeds growth is a one of the major problems which affect the flow characteristics in open irrigation watercourses, especially the small ones of 5-meters and less bed width which represent more than 80% of the irrigation network in Egypt, where the submerged weeds play an essential role in reducing the efficiency of water conveyance by developing a heading up in the water surface profile and increasing the response time of water supply required for irrigation, especially at the downstream reaches, as well as malfunctioning operation of control gates.

The principle of applying the on-demand water supply scheme is mainly to introduce downstream flow control gates to assure the availability of continuous flow throughout irrigation canals. Operation of these downstream control gates is absolutely a water level dependent, so that any change in the water level will affect the operational performance of the gate, and as a result, the amount of the flow ordered by the demand side will be no longer satisfied. The change in the gate's operation water level is mainly attributed to the existence of submerged flexible aquatic weeds with different reach lengths and densities at certain locations in the downstream zone of the canal.

The objective of this research study is to address the actual values of water levels, flowing discharges, operation status and main guidelines and proposed solution, which to some extent, optimize the operation of the gates subjected to weeds infestation in the downstream reaches and to give the prediction of the entire configuration of the weeds infestation. This of course will assist the water supply manager on taking the necessary measures for carrying out the canals weed control programs.

In order to achieve the goals of this study, five sets of experimental data points (400 runs) were carried out in the hydraulic laboratory of the hydraulic research institute (HRI). In addition, More than (200 runs) were conducted in SOBEK mathematical model to verify the experimental data points and simulate some other possible cases of weeds infestation. Each set included five runs at two different water depths (14 cm as maximum water depth and 11 cm as a minimum water depth) and different five gate openings for two cases of weeds infestation density. The flowing applied discharges were (7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 L/s).

The results of this study have revealed that the existence of submerged aquatic weeds with different densities at different locations in reaches of different lengths downstream the control gate in small canals, will result in the following: i- reduction in flowing discharges ranges from 17 to 66% in case of water level control, ii- a heading up of about (5 – 66%) of the design water depth at downstream the gate, iii- At any location of weeds

infestation, the recorded heading up is directly proportional to the flowing discharges, i.e., the maximum the flowing discharges the maximum the recorded heading up (it ranges from 18 to 41 % for Y_0 equals 0.43b, and ranges from 35 to 66 % for Y_0 equals 0.33b, v- the value of Manning's roughness coefficient increases by (4 – 12) times its original value according to the weeds density and design water depth and flowing discharges, and iv- a decrease of (10 – 46%) in the design water depth at tail reaches was recorded.

Based on the results of this study, guidelines and adjusted operation rules of the downstream control gate were introduced to optimize the gate's operation so that it can respond to the actual situation and the status of the weeds at downstream reaches in small canals.

The entire data points of this research were used and elaborated in one programmable control concept through a software program (excel spread sheets based) deals with these data in two models. The first model builds on the data points recorded in the case B (flow control by a fixed water level downstream the control gate " Y_2 " equals the design water depth " Y_0 "), sensitized in the model as a signal came from sensor1 located at the measuring station downstream the gate, and the concurrent recorded tail water depth (Y_3) is less than (Y_0), sensitized also as a simultaneous signal came from sensor2 located at the tail of the canal. Here, the actual discharge due to weeds Q_w is less than the design discharge (Q_0). The second model builds on the results of the diagnostic model and the configuration of the weeds infestation, where it will deal with the processed values which correspond to the flow conditions in case A (flow control by keeping constant discharge (Q_w equals Q_0)). The operation of this model is to allow the gate operation (open and close) until the signals of sensor1 (Y_2 is greater than Y_0 to the limit that is not exceeding the freeboard) and signal of sensor2 (Y_3 almost equals Y_0).

These mutually operated models gave the simplest way to get use of the data points of this present study in order to have a decision on the critical time of implementing the weed control program, especially during the periods of lack of financial resources of weed control program at a certain time. Also, it is the best tool to get use of and optimize the operational performance of the control structure without any malfunctioning due to false response to false signals of the system.

As a conclusion, the developed model also addresses the management of small irrigation watercourses from socio-economic and physical efficiency points of view, where it results in reducing the total losses encountered during the water conveyance in small branch canals and eradicating the water conflicts at downstream users.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	i
ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATION.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF PHOTOS.....	xiv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiv
LIST OF SELECTED SYMBOLS.....	xvii
CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Overview.....	3
1.3 Research Objectives.....	4
1.4 Research Structure.....	5
CHAPTER 2:LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Operation and Control Concept of Canals.....	8
2.2.1 Control Concepts.....	8
2.2.2 Upstream and Downstream Control.....	9
2.2.3 Merits of Downstream Control.....	9
2.3 Flow Behavior in Natural Open Channels.....	10
2.3.1 Flow in Channels with Surface Roughness.....	10
2.3.2 Flow in Channels with Flexible or rigid Roughness	11
2.3.3 Flow in Channels with Simulated Vegetation.....	18
2.3.3.1 Simulated Rigid Roughness.....	18
2.3.3.2 Simulated Flexible Roughness.....	26
2.4 Vegetation Effect on Hydraulic Structures.....	41
2.4.1 Flow Characteristics under gates.....	41

Table of Content

2.4.2	Flow Characteristics under gates provided with a sill.....	54
CHAPTER 3: THEORETICAL APPROACH		
3.1	Introduction.....	65
3.2	Dimensional Analysis.....	66
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL WORK PROGRAM		
4.1	Introduction.....	70
4.2	Experimental Facilities.....	70
4.2.1	The Flume.....	70
4.2.2	Sliding Head Gate.....	73
4.2.3	Stilling Basin.....	74
4.2.4	Flap Tail Gate.....	74
4.2.5	Point Gauge.....	74
4.2.6	Flexible Roughness Elements.....	75
4.2.7	Velocity Meter.....	77
4.2.8	Ultrasonic Flow Meter.....	77
4.3	Experimental Work Program.....	78
4.3.1	Experimental Procedures.....	78
4.3.2	Experimental Measurements	80
CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL ANALYSIS “SOBEK MATHEMATICAL MODEL		
5.1	Introduction.....	83
5.2	Sobek Modules and Product Lines.....	83
5.2.1	Sobek Rural – Product Line	85
5.2.2	Sobek Urban – Product Line	86
5.2.3	Sobek River – Product Line	87
5.3	Model Assumptions and Basic Equations	87
5.3.1	Sobek Rural – Channel Flow	88
5.4	Numerical Analysis Program	90
5.4.1	Model Schematization	90
5.4.2	Boundary Conditions and Model Calibration	91

Table of Content

5.4.3	Model Profile and Test Runs	94
CHAPTER 6: ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		
6.1	Introduction.....	97
6.2	Effect of Weeds Densities on the Hydraulic Characteristics of the Open Channel Flow.....	97
6.2.1	Effect of weeds densities on downstream gate water level (Y_2)	98
6.2.2	Effect of weeds densities on tail water level (Y_3)	106
6.2.3	Effect of weeds densities on Manning Coefficient (n_w).....	109
6.2.4	Effect of weeds densities on flowing discharges (Q_w)	113
6.2.5	Effect of weeds densities on the energy loss over the weed zone.....	119
6.2.6	Effect of weeds densities on the gate discharge coefficient (C_d)	122
6.3	Effect of Weeds Locations on the Hydraulic Characteristics of the Open Channel Flow.....	126
6.3.1	Effect of weeds locations on downstream gate water level (Y_2)	127
6.3.2	Effect of weeds locations on tail water level (Y_3)	136
6.3.3	Effect of weeds locations on Manning Coefficient (n_w).....	139
6.3.4	Effect of weeds locations on flowing discharges (Q_w)	143
6.3.5	Effect of weeds locations on the energy loss over the weed zone.....	148
6.3.6	Effect of weeds locations on the gate discharge coefficient (C_d)	151
6.4	Effect of the Length of Vegetated Reach on the Hydraulic Characteristics of the Open Channel Flow.....	153
6.4.1	Effect of the length of infested reach on downstream gate water depth (Y_2).....	153
6.4.2	Effect of the length of infested reach on tail water depth (Y_3).....	156
6.4.3	Effect of the length of infested reach on flowing discharges (Q_w).....	159
6.5	Results Conversion from Model to Prototype.....	163
CHAPTER 7: PROGRAMMING ADAPTATION OF DSCG'S OPERATION		

Table of Content

7.1 Introduction.....	164
7.2 Diagnosis Model.....	164
7.3 Adaptation Model (Correction Model).....	169
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
8.1 Conclusions	178
8.2 Recommendations for future works	181
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	182
ANNEXES	
Annex A: Samples of Experimental Measurements and Results	190
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Page
(1-1) Schematization of irrigation network in Egypt	2
(2-1) Relation between Manning's roughness, n and VR (after U.S. Soil Conservation Service, 1954)	16
(2-2) Flows over rough surface (after chow, 1959).....	21
(2-3) Branched Flexible Roughness Elements (after El-Hakim and Salama, 1992).....	33
(2-4) Water Surface profiles for different weeds heights (after El Samman, 1999).....	38
(2-5) Critical case of weed infestation in small earthen open channels (after Khafagy Y. H. M., 2004).....	40
(2-6) Contraction coefficient Variation C_c versus a/Y_u for vertical sluice gate (after Fangmeier and Strelkoff, 1968).....	46
(2-7) Comparison between experimental and theoretical C_d for vertical sluice gate. (after Fangmeier and Strelkoff, 1968).....	46
(2-8) Comparison between the developed theoretical formula and the other experimental studies for submerged flow, (after Talaat, 1988).....	52
(2-9) Relationship between coefficient of energy loss, C_L and transition shape ratio, B_2/B_3 . (after Salama, 1993).....	60
(2-10) Non dimensional velocity profiles upstream transition structures. (After Salama, 1993).....	60
(2-11) Non dimensional velocity profiles downstream transition structures. (After Salama, 1993).....	61
(2-12) Relationship between C_d and a/y_u (After Gado T. A., 2003).....	63
(2-13) Relationship between C_L and a/y_u (After Gado T. A., 2003).....	63

Table of Content

(3-1) Definition Sketch	67
(4-1) Flume Layout and cross sections.....	71
(4-2) Schematized weeds distribution along the flume bed.....	78
(4-3) Cases of flow control schemes, A and B.....	80
(5-1) Typical mathematical model cross sections.....	90
(5-2) Mathematical model schematization.....	91
(5-3) Computed and measured roughness values for Weeds Case: S1D1, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b = 14 cm	93
(5-4) Computed and measured roughness values for Weeds Case: S1D2, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b = 14 cm.....	94
(5-5) SOBEK wizards for running any module activity.....	95
(5-6) SOBEK wizards for performing test runs.....	95
(6-1) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 7$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D1....	100
(6-2) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 11$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D1...	100
(6-3) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 15$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D1...	100
(6-4) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 7$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D2...	101
(6-5) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 11$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D2...	101
(6-6) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 15$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b and D2...	101
(6-7) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 7$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D1...	102
(6-8) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 11$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D1...	102
(6-9) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 15$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D1...	102
(6-10) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 7$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D2...	103
(6-11) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 11$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D2...	103
(6-12) Water surface profile for $Q_0 = 15$ L/s, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b and D2...	103
(6-13) Y_2 -D Curves for different flowing discharges, $Y_0 = 0.43$ b = 14 cm.....	104
(6-14) Y_2 -D Curves for different flowing discharges, $Y_0 = 0.33$ b = 11 cm.....	104

Table of Content

(6-15) Y_3 -D Curves for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$	108
(6-16) Y_3 -D Curves for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$	108
(6-17) Variation of Manning Coefficient (n) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$	111
(6-18) Variation of Manning Coefficient (n) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$	111
(6-19) Effect of weeds' density (D_i) on flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$	116
(6-20) Effect of weeds' density (D_i) on flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$	116
(6-21) Nomograph (a) relation between Froude number (F_r), Manning coefficient (n), Downstream gate water depth (Y_2), and Tail water depth (Y_3) for different weeds' density and $Y_0=14\text{cm}, S_1=35L_f$	118
(6-22) Monograph (b) relation between Froude number (F_r), Manning coefficient (n), Downstream gate water depth (Y_2), and Tail water depth (Y_3) for different weeds' density and $Y_0=11\text{cm}, S_1=35L_f$	118
(6-23) Effect of weeds' density on the energy loss (ΔE) over the weed zone for different flowing discharges, $Y_0 = 0.43b=14\text{cm}$	121
(6-24) Effect of weeds' density on the energy loss (ΔE) over the weed zone for different flowing discharges, $Y_0 = 0.33b=11\text{cm}$	121
(6-25) Variation of discharge Coefficient (C_d) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $a=9\text{ cm}$	123

Table of Content

(6-26) Variation of discharge Coefficient (C_d) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$, $a=9\text{ cm}$	124
(6-27) Effect of high weeds' density on the relation between the discharge coefficient and gate openings, for different flowing discharges and $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$	124
(6-28) Effect of low weeds' density on the relation between the discharge coefficient and gate openings, for different flowing discharges and $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$	125
(6-29) Effect of high weeds' density on the relation between the discharge coefficient and gate openings, for different flowing discharges and $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$	125
(6-30) Effect of low weeds' density on the relation between the discharge coefficient and gate openings, for different flowing discharges and $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$	126
(6-31) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=7\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	129
(6-32) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=9\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	129
(6-33) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=11\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	130
(6-34) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=13\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	130
(6-35) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=15\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.43b=14\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	131
(6-36) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=7\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	131
(6-37) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=9\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	132
(6-38) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=11\text{ L/s}$, $Y_0=0.33b=11\text{cm}$, $L_w = 3\text{m}$	132

(6-39) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=13$ L/s, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm, $L_w = 3$ m	133
(6-40) Water surface profile for different weeds locations with high density D_1 , $Q=15$ L/s, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm, $L_w = 3$ m	133
(6-41) Y_2 – weeds location for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm, $L_w = 3$ m ...	134
(6-42) Y_2 – weeds location for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm	134
(6-43) Y_3 – weeds location for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm	138
(6-44) Y_3 – weeds location for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm	138
(6-45) Variation of Manning Coefficient (n) with the variation in weeds locations for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm	141
(6-46) Variation of Manning Coefficient (n) with the variation in weeds locations for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm	141
(6-47) Effect of weeds' locations on flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm	146
(6-48) Effect of weeds' locations on flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.13b=11$ cm	146
(6-59) Effect of weeds' location on the energy loss (ΔE) over the weed zone for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm	150
(6-50) Effect of weeds' location on the energy loss (ΔE) over the weed zone for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.33b=11$ cm	150
(6-51) Variation of discharge Coefficient (C_d) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3$ m, $Y_0=0.43b=14$ cm, $a=9$ cm.....	152

Table of Content

(6-52) Variation of discharge Coefficient (C_d) with the variation in weeds density (D_i) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $L_w=3m$, $Y_0=0.33b=11cm$, $a=9$ cm.....	152
(6-53) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the downstream heading up (Y_2) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.43b=14cm$	154
(6-54) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the downstream heading up (Y_2) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.33b=11cm$	154
(6-55) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the tail water level (Y_3) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.43b=14cm$	158
(6-56) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the tail water level (Y_3) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.33b=11cm$	158
(6-57) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the flowing discharge (Q_w) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.43b=14cm$	160
(6-58) Effect of weeds' infestation length on the flowing discharge (Q_w) for different flowing discharges, $D_1=0.25$ stem/cm ² , $S_1=0.35L_f$, $Y_0=0.33b=11cm$	160
(7-1) Cases of flow control schemes, A and B.....	167
(7-2) Flow chart diagram for the proposed diagnostic and correction models.....	173

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo No.	Page
(4-1) Flume preparation work	72
(4-2) Vertical sliding head gate	73
(4-3) Water level measurement by the Pointer Gauge.....	74
(4-4) Simulation of weeds (Weeds arrangements).....	75