Effect of ACE Gene Polymorphism on Response to steroid therapy In children with nephrotic syndrome

Thesis submitted in Partial fulfillment for the requirement of the M.Sc degree in Pharmacology

By:

Hanaa Ahmed Nabil Abd El Aziz

Demonstrator of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Sohir Abo El-Azm

Professor of Pharmacology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Sanaa S. Abd El-Shafy

Professor of Clinical Pathology Head of Clinical Pathology Department Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suif University

Dr. Maha Saber

Lecturer of Pharmacology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2008

Abstract

This study is an attempt to assess the possible effect of ACE Insertion/Deletion polymorphism on response to steroid in Egyptian children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome.

To achieve this goal 53 patients diagnosed as idiopathic nephritic syndrome were studied. Median age at onset of the disease was 4 years (range, 1.5–13.0), visiting nephrology clinic, Pediatric Hospital of Cairo University with regular follow up period for minimum of 6 months, including 36 males (67.9%) and 17 females (32.1%). All patients received in the initial episode of nephrotic syndrome prednisolone in a dosage of 2 mg/kg/day in 3 divided doses for 4 weeks(6 weeks in the case of steroid non responsiveness), followed by a single 2 mg/kg dosage in the morning of every other day for 4 weeks. After that the alternate-day treatment continued but the dosage was reduced by 12.5% every 2 weeks for the following 3-4 months.

Key Words:

Angiotensinogen - Blood urea nitrogen. - CyclosporineA.

Contents

ITEM

PAGE

Acknowledgment	ii
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
Introduction	
Aim of Work	4
Review of Literature	
 Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics 	5
 Angiotensin II and the kidney from physiology 	
to pathology	11
 Angiotensin converting enzyme gene 	
Childhood nephritic syndrome	
Steroid therapy	
Patients and method	63
Results	
Discussion	
Summary	
Conclusion	
References	
Appendix-1: List of abbreviations	
Arabic summary	

Acknowledgment

First of all, I would like to thank God for helping me in finishing this work. I would like to thank my family for their support during this work with special thanks to my Mom, Dad and my husband.

I wish I could express my most sincere thanks, deep respect and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Sohir Abo El Azm, Professor of pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her generous help and professional guidance in the supervision and careful review of the work.

And I wish to express my most sincere thanks and deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Sanaa Abdel Shafy, Head of Clinical Pathology Department, Beni Suif University, for her faithful guidance, and her supervision and support during the work.

I wish also to express all my thanks and appreciation for Dr. Maha Saber, Lecturer of pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her generous help and scientific support during this work.

I wish also to express all my thanks and appreciation for Dr. Samar Sabry Lecturer of pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her assistance in performing sampling from patients.

A special thanks to Dr. Hoda Ebrahim, Lecturer Assistant of Community Medicine Department, Cairo University, in performing the statistical analysis.

Lastly, I would like to thank all the staff members of the molecular biology laboratory, Dr Inas Abdel Latif, MD, chemists: Sohir Mahmoud, Yasser Ramadan and Yasser salah, who gave me unfailing assistance.

The Candidate

List of Figures

ITEM

PAGE

Figure (1): Polygenic Determinants of Drug Response	8
Figure (2): Chemical structure of cortisol	42
Figure (3): Chemical structure of prednisolone	43
Figure (4): Genomic mechanisms of glucocorticoids in human cells	49
Figure (5): Nonspecific nongenomic mechanisms of glucocorticoids	52
Figure (6): Confirmation of DNA extraction by gel-electrophoresis	68
Figure (7): ACE Genotyping. agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under ultraviolet transillumination	69
Figure (8): Distribution of response pattern of steroid therapy	72
Figure (9): Distribution of response pattern in patients with age of onset less than 4 years (<4ys) and patients with age of onset more than 4 years (>4ys)	74
Figure (10: Distribution of response pattern in hypertensive and normotensive groups on presentation	75
Figure (11): Distribution of response pattern in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study	76
Figure (12): ACE I/D genotype distribution among all patients	77
Figure (13): ACE I/D genotype distribution in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes	78
Figure (14): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in patients with steroid sensitive (SS) and non-steroid sensitive (Non-SS) nephrotic syndrome	79



Figure (15): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in terms of D+, D-genotypes in patients with steroid sensitive (SS) and non-steroid sensitive (Non-SS) nephrotic syndrome	80
Figure (16): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in patients with age of onset less than 4 years (<4ys) and patients with age of onset more than 4 years (>4ys)	81
Figure (17): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in patients with age of onset less than 4 years (<4ys) and patients with age of onset more than 4 years (>4ys) in terms of DD, and Non-DD genotypes.	82
Figure (18): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in male and female patients.	84
Figure (19): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in male and female patients in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes	85
Figure (20): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in hypertensive and non-hypertensive groups on presentation	86
Figure (21): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in hypertensive and non-hypertensive groups on presentation in terms of DD and non-DD genotypes.	87
Figure (22): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study	88
Figure (23): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study in terms of DD, and Non-DD genotypes.	89
Figure (24): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in different biopsy Results	91
Figure (25): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in different biopsy results in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes	91
Figure (26): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in cyclophosphamide responders and Non-responders	92

Figure (27): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in (FR) and (IFR) patients.	94
Figure (28): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in (FR) and (IFR) Patients	95

List of Tables



Table (1): Survey of polymorphic genes relevant to drug response	7
Table (2): Genetic polymorphism in disease modifying genes that can influence Drug response	9
Table (3): Association studies of ACE I/D polymorphism in IgA nephropathy and disease progression.	27
Table (4): Distribution of response pattern of steroid therapy	72
Table (5): Demographic and clinical findings in patients with steroid sensitive (SS) and non-steroid sensitive (Non-SS)	73
Table (6): Distribution of response pattern in patients with age of onset less than 4 years (<4ys) and patients with age of onset more than 4 years (>4ys)	74
Table (7): Distribution of response pattern in hypertensive and non-hypertensive groups on presentation.	75
Table (8): Distribution of response pattern in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study	76
Table (9): ACE I/D genotype distribution among all patients	77
Table (10): ACE I/D genotype distribution in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes	77
Table (11): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in patients with steroid sensitive (SS) and non-steroid sensitive (Non-SS) nephrotic syndrome	79
Table (12): Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in terms of D+, D-genotypes in patients with steroid sensitive (SS) and non-steroid sensitive (Non-SS) nephrotic syndrome	80



Table (13):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in patients with age of onset less than 4 years (<4ys) and patients with age of onset more
Table (14):	than 4 years (>4ys)
Table (15):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in male and female patients83
Table (16):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in male and female patients in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes
Table (17):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in hypertensive and non-hypertensive groups on presentation
Table (18):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in hypertensive and non-hypertensive groups on presentation in terms of DD and non-DD genotypes
	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study
	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in stable renal function (SRF) and declining (DRF) groups at the end of study in terms of DD, and Non-DD genotypes
Table (21):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in different biopsy results90
Table (22):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in different biopsy results in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes91
	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in cyclophosphamide responders and Non-responders
Table (24):	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in (FR) and (IFR) patients93
	Distribution of ACE gene polymorphism in (FR) and (IFR) patients in terms of DD and Non-DD genotypes94

INTRODUCTION

It is a great challenge for physicians and pharmacologists that different patients respond in different ways to the same medication. These differences are often greater among members of a population than they are within the same person at different times (Vesell, 1989). The existence of large population differences with small intra-patient variability is consistent with inheritance as a determinant of drug response; it is estimated that genetics can account for 20 to 95 percent of variability in drug disposition and effects (Kalow et al., 1998). Although many nongenetic factors influence the effects of medications, including age, organ function, concomitant therapy, drug interactions, and the nature of the disease, there are now numerous examples of cases in which interindividual differences in drug response are due to sequence variants in genes encoding drug-metabolizing enzymes, drug transporters, or drug targets (Evans & Jonson, 2001). Unlike other factors influencing drug response, inherited determinants generally remain stable throughout a person's lifetime (Evans & Mcleod, 2003).

Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is one of the commonest renal problem encountered in children. It is difficult to predict at onset, the clinical course in terms of steroid responsiveness or resistance (Patil et al., 2005).

There is considerable percentage of patients with NS who fail to respond to steroid therapy. In *the Hemodialysis and Transplantation Center and Pediatrics, Istanbul, Turkey*, of the 114 patients who received steroid therapy, 30 children had an initial response, 25 children had

infrequent relapse, 19 had frequent relapse, 25 had steroid dependence and 15 children had steroid resistance (Gulati et al., 1994).

Steroid responsiveness appears to be the single most important clinical parameter in differentiating patients of primary NS and is of even greater significance than the histological features on initial biopsy. It is however, difficult to predict at onset the course of the disease in a particular child in terms of steroid responsiveness or steroid resistance (Patil et al., 2005).

Various genetic markers have been studied to predict susceptibility and course of NS. Increased activity of angiotensin-II (Ang II) alters variety of growth factors and has been shown to have detrimental effect on renal disease progression (Patil et al., 2005). The angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) gene carries insertion (I) and deletion (D) polymorphism within its intron 16. The presence of D-allele in the ACE gene has been reported as a probable genetic risk factor for idiopathic nephritic syndrome (INS). The D-allele may be related to poor responsiveness to steroid therapy (Sasongko et al., 2005).

The D allele has a dominant effect and is associated with higher plasma (ACE) and (Ang II) levels. (Ang II) may promote proliferation of mesangial cells and matrix, leading to subsequent glomerulosclerosis. Furthermore, (Ang II) promotes alterations in renal hemodynamics that can contribute to increased intraglomerular pressure, glomerular volume and hyperfiltration. Accordingly, the effects of (Ang II) may provide a possible mechanism by which the DD genotype of the ACE gene contributes to a risk factor in renal damage or disease progression in renal disorders (**Tsai et al., 2006**).

ACE gene I/D polymorphism has been evaluated in NS, especially in small groups of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) patients, with conflicting results on histology, treatment responses and disease progression (Serdaroglu et al., 2005).

Some studies proved the relation between the ACE gene polymorphism and the response to steroid therapy in childhood patients with INS while other studies could not prove this relation.

This study is an attempt to determine the effect of ACE gene polymorphism on the response to steroid therapy in Egyptian children with INS. This work is aiming that in the future, the patient with INS may either receive a steroid therapy or may be subjected to an alternative line of management according to his genotype.

Aim of work

- To study the Pharmacogenetics in children with (INS) through assessing the effect of ACE gene polymorphism on the response to steroid therapy.
- To study the prevalence of the three ACE gene insertion/deletion polymorphisms in this group of patients.

Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics

Introduction:

Pharmacogenomics involves the application of genomics technologies such as gene sequencing, statistical genetics and gene expression analysis to drugs in clinical development and trials. Since many diseases develop as a result of a network of genes failing to perform correctly, pharmacogenomics can identify the genes or loci which are involved in determining the responsiveness to a given drug. In this way, genetic characterization of patient populations is becoming an integral part of the drug discovery and development process. Pharmacogenomics may aim to capitalize on these new molecular insights to discover new therapeutic targets and interventions and to elucidate the constellation of genes that determine the efficacy and toxicity of specific medications (EMILIEN et al., 2000).

The field of pharmacogenomics began with a focus on drug metabolism, (Ingelman-sundberg et al., 1999). But in recent years; there has been an increasing focus on genetic polymorphisms in drug targets, with an interest in defining their impact on drug efficacy and/or toxicity. A drug target is defined as the direct protein target of a drug (e.g., a receptor or enzyme), proteins involved in the pharmacologic response (e.g., signal transduction proteins or downstream proteins), or proteins associated with disease risk or pathogenesis that is altered by the drug. The broad objective of drug target pharmacogenomics research is to identify the inherited basis for interindividual variability in drug response and toxicity, particularly when this variability is not explained by

differences in drug concentration (pharmacokinetics) (**Evans & Johnson**, **2001**). (Table-1) shows some examples that are already identified in both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic aspects.

Pharmacogenomics beyond monogenic traits:

Because most drug effects are determined by the interplay of several gene products that influence the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of medications, including inherited differences in drug targets (e.g., receptors) and drug disposition (e.g., metabolizing enzymes and transporters), polygenic determinants of drug effects have become increasingly important in pharmacogenomics (Evans & McLeod, 2003).

Recent studies with warfarin are an excellent example (Figure-1). Previous work had identified variable metabolism by CYP2C9 as a major contributor to variable responses to the drug. In 2004, coding-region mutations in VKORC1, encoding a subunit of the vitamin K epoxide reductase complex (the pharmacologic target for the drug), were found to cause a rare syndrome of warfarin resistance. Subsequently, common variants in VKORC1 have been found to account for a much greater fraction of variability in warfarin response (21%) than do variants in CYP2C9 (6%) (38). The CYP2C9 variants are in the coding region and alter enzyme activity, whereas the VKORC1 variants are noncoding and are thought to alter expression of the protein (**Roden et al., 2006**).