

# **Propofol-Dexmedetomidine versus Propofol-Ketamine for Anesthesia of Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio- Pancreatography (ERCP)**

**Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Degree of M.D  
in Anesthesia**

*By*

**Dr. Rasha Behery Youssef Zeedan**

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Mai Wedad Abdalla**

Professor of Anesthesia and ICU  
Cairo University

**Ass. Prof. Sahar Mohamed Moustafa EL Shall**

Assistant Professor of Anesthesia and ICU  
Cairo University

**Dr. Ahmed Ibraheem Abdel Khaliq El Sombaty**

Lecturer of Anesthesia and ICU  
Cairo University

**Dr. Nasr Mahmoud Abdalla**

Lecturer of Anesthesia And ICU  
Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2014



## Acknowledgement

*I am deeply thankful to "Allah" by the grace of whom, this work was possible.*

*I would like to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude to Prof/ Mai Wedad Abdalla, Professor of anesthesia and ICU, Cairo University, for her kind supervision, valuable advises and constructive guidance were the real driving force in the initiation progress and completion of this thesis.*

*I am greatly indebted to Ass.prof/ Sahar Mohamed Moustafa El Shall, Assistant professor of anesthesia and ICU, Cairo University, for her greatest and unforgettable help and for her valuable comments, suggestions and close supervision. She dedicated much of her effort and time for the direction of this work.*

*My sincerest and cordial thanks to Dr/ Ahmed Ibraheem Abdel Khaliq El Sombaty, Lecturer of anesthesia and ICU, Cairo University, for his valuable and great supervision and help in preparing, discussing and presenting this work in a satisfactory level.*

*My profound thanks go to Dr/ Nasr Mahmoud Abdalla, Lecturer of Anesthesia And ICU, Cairo University, for his indispensable help and continuous encouragement. His effort was the backbone of the practical part of this work.*

*Lastly but not the least I should like to express my appreciations to the patients for their cooperation throughout my work.*

*Dr/ Rasha Behery Youssef Zeedan*

### **Abstract**

The ideal method for anesthetic management during ERCP varied between deep sedation and general anesthesia with preference for general anesthesia over sedation.

#### **Aim of the study:**

To compare the effect of propofol-dexmedetomidine versus ketamine-propofol combination in patients undergoing ERCP as regard intra-procedural hemodynamic parameters (HR and MAP), total propofol consumption and recovery criteria that involved post-procedural hemodynamic parameters (HR and MAP), post-procedural pain, PONV, cognitive dysfunction Respiratory adverse events and measurement and recording of recovery time.

#### **Material and methods:**

Patients ERCP, age 20-50ys old, ASA II-III, were randomly allocated in two groups each of which was 30. **Group-I** received dexmedetomidine loading 1µg/kg slow IV over 15min then infused at a rate of 0.5µg/kg/h by syringe pump. **Group II** received Ketamine 1mg/kg slow IV over 15min then infused at a rate of 0.5mg/kg/h by syringe pump. **Both groups** received propofol; 1-2mg/kg induction – then 5mg/kg/h IV infusion, 0.5mg/kg boluses guided by hemodynamic parameters, atracurium 0.5mg/kg intubating dose followed by 0.1mg/kg every 20min. Cuffed ETT was inserted and CMV. By the end of the procedure, patients turned supine and reversed by administration of neostigmine (0.05mg/kg) + atropine (0.01mg/kg). Extubation was performed after fulfillment of the criteria of extubation.

#### **Conclusion:**

Dexmedetomidine-propofol combination was better than ketamine-propofol combination as regard; hemodynamic parameters (intra- and post-procedural), PONV, cognitive functions and recovery time. Incidence of pain had no clinical significant value between both groups. Total propofol consumption had no clinical significant difference between both groups.

#### **Recommendation:**

Dexmedetomidine - propofol combination as TIVA technique for ERCP requires further studies with recommendation to include; different types of patients; geriatric, critically ill and increasing the sample size of patients.

Keyword: ERCP- TIVA- PONV- PPH-SOD

---

## List of Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Abbreviations .....	ii
List of Tables .....	v
List of Figures .....	vii
Abstract.....	viii
Introduction .....	1
Objectives and Aim of the Work.....	4
<b>Part I: Review</b>	
<b>Chapter 1: Pharmacological aspects of:</b>	
• Dexmedetomidine .....	5
• Propofol.....	17
• Ketamine .....	33
<b>Chapter 2: Perioperative Management of Patients with Hepatic Insufficiency .....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Anesthetic Implications of Anesthesia for Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography (ERCP) .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Part II:</b>	
• <b>Materials and Methods .....</b>	<b>97</b>
• <b>Results .....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Conclusion and recommendations .....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>Arabic Summary.....</b>	<b>--</b>

***List of Abbreviations***

<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>Full term</i>
<b>ABP</b> .....	Arterial Blood Pressure
<b>ACLS</b> .....	Advanced Cardiac Life Support.
<b>ACTH</b> .....	Adreno-Cortico- Trophic Hormone.
<b>ADH</b> .....	Anti-Diuretic Hormone.
<b>ALT</b> .....	Alanine Amino-Transferase
<b>ALT</b> .....	Alanine Amino-Transferase.
<b>ASA</b> .....	American Society of Anesthesiologists.
<b>ASGE</b> .....	American Society of Gastro-Enterology.
<b>AST</b> .....	Aspartate Amino-Transferase
<b>AV</b> .....	AV: Atrio-Ventricular.
<b>α</b> .....	Alpha
<b>BP</b> .....	Blood Pressure.
<b>β</b> .....	Beta
<b>c-AMP</b> .....	cyclic-Adenosine Mono-Phosphate.
<b>CBF</b> .....	Cerebral Blood Flow.
<b>CMRO<sub>2</sub></b> .....	Cerebral Metabolic Rate for O <sub>2</sub> consumption.
<b>CNS</b> .....	Central Nervous System
<b>COP</b> .....	Cardiac Output
<b>CSF</b> .....	Cerebro-Spinal Fluid
<b>CT</b> .....	Computed Tomography
<b>ECG</b> .....	Electro-Cardio-Graphy
<b>EEG</b> .....	Electro-Encephalo-Graphy
<b>ERCP</b> .....	Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography.
<b>ETT</b> .....	Endo-Tracheal Tube.
<b>EUS</b> .....	Endoscopic Ultra-Sound.
<b>GA</b> .....	General Anesthesia.
<b>GABA</b> .....	Gamma Amino-Butyric Acid.

---

***List of Abbreviations***

---

<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>Full term</i>
<b>GI</b> .....	Gastro-Intestinal.
<b>GIT</b> .....	Gastro-Intestinal Tract.
<b>h</b> .....	Hour
<b>H2</b> .....	Histamine receptor type 2.
<b>HBF</b> .....	Hepatic Blood Flow.
<b>HPS</b> .....	Hepato-Pulmonary Syndrome.
<b>HR</b> .....	Heart Rate.
<b>HRS</b> .....	Hepato-Renal Syndrome.
<b>ICP</b> .....	Intra-Cranial Pressure.
<b>IgG</b> .....	Immuno-globulin G.
<b>IM</b> .....	Intramuscular.
<b>INR</b> .....	International Normalized Ratio.
<b>IOP</b> .....	Intra-Ocular Pressure.
<b>IV</b> .....	Intravenous.
<b>IVC</b> .....	Inferior Vena Cava.
<b>LFTs</b> .....	Liver Function Tests.
<b>Log</b> .....	Logarithm.
<b>LT</b> .....	Left.
<b>MAP</b> .....	Mean Arterial Pressure.
<b>MELD</b> .....	Mortality in End Stage Liver Disease without liver transplantation.
<b>MRCP</b> .....	Magnetic Resonance Cholangio-Pancreatography.
<b>MRI</b> .....	Magnetic Resonant Image.
<b>NMDA</b> .....	N-methyl-D-Aspartate.
<b>NPO</b> .....	NPO: Nothing Per Os.
<b>NSAID</b> .....	Non Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs.
<b>PACU</b> .....	Post-Anesthesia Care Unit.
<b>PADS</b> .....	Post-Anesthesia Discharge Scoring System.

***List of Abbreviations***

<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>Full term</i>
<b>PEEP</b> .....	Positive End Expiratory Pressure.
<b>PEP</b> .....	Post-ERCP Pancreatitis.
<b>PONV</b> .....	Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting.
<b>PPH</b> .....	Porto-Pulmonary Hypertension.
<b>PSA</b> .....	Procedural Sedation Analgesia.
<b>PT</b> .....	Prothrombin Time.
<b>P-value</b> .....	Probability value.
<b>QT-c</b> .....	QT interval corrected to heart rate.
<b>R</b> .....	Rectus.
<b>RA</b> .....	Regional Anesthesia.
<b>RT</b> .....	Right
<b>S</b> .....	Sinister
<b>SA</b> .....	Sino-Atrial.
<b>SD</b> .....	Standard Deviation.
<b>Sec</b> .....	Second
<b>SOD</b> .....	Sphincter of Oddi Dysfunction.
<b>SPO2</b> .....	Oxygen saturation in pulsating blood flow.
<b>SPSS</b> .....	Statistical Program for Social Science.
<b>TIPS</b> .....	Trans-jugular Intra-hepatic Porto-systemic Shunt.
<b>TIVA</b> .....	Total Intravenous Anesthesia.
<b>UOP</b> .....	Urine Output.
<b>US</b> .....	Ultra-Sonography.
<b>V/Q</b> .....	Ventilation/ Perfusion.
<b>VAS</b> .....	Visual Analogue Scale.
<b>Vd</b> .....	Volume of distribution.

## List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b><u>Table of review:</u></b>		
Table (1):	Causes of hepatic dysfunction based on liver function tests results .....	52
Table (2):	Characteristic features of viral hepatitis .....	53
Table (3):	Child-Pugh scoring system to assess the severity of liver disease.....	61
Table (4):	The severity of encephalopathy is measured in four stages (grades) .....	61
Table (5):	Survival statistics according to Child-Pugh class.....	62
Table (6):	Stages of recovery .....	91
Table (7):	Patients will be assigned points of 0, 1, and 2 for each of the following .....	92
Table (8):	Post-anesthesia Discharge Scoring System (PADS) for Determining Home-Readiness. ....	95
Table (9):	Patients will be assigned points of 0, 1, and 2 for each of the following .....	104
<b><u>Table of results:</u></b>		
Table (1):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard demographic data: .....	111
Table (2):	Relation between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard ASA classification: .....	111
Table (3):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard intra-procedural heart rate (HR) beats/min: .....	112
Table (4):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard intra-procedural MAP (mmHg).....	113
Table (5):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard total dose of propofol (mg): .....	114
Table (6):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural heart rate (beats/min). ....	115
Table (7):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural MAP (mmHg).....	116
Table (8):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard PONV.....	117
Table (9):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard pain score (VAS).....	118
Table (10):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural cognitive dysfunction in the form of hallucination, agitation and irritability. ....	119

## List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (11):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard respiratory complications. ....	120
Table (12):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard recovery time (min). ....	120
Table (13):	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard acute endoscopic complications. ....	121

## List of Figures

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b><u>Fig. of review:</u></b>		
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	The physiology of fight & flight .....	6
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Mechanism of action.....	8
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Chemical structure of propofol.....	17
<b>Fig. (4):</b>	Chemical structure of ketamine.....	33
<b>Fig. (5):</b>	Algorithm for management of surgical patients with abnormal liver function.....	62
<b>Fig. (6):</b>	Duodenoscopic image of two pigment stones extracted from common bile duct after sphincterotomy .....	74
<b>Fig. (7):</b>	Fluoroscopic image of common bile duct stone seen at the time of ERCP. The stone is impacted in the distal common bile duct. A nasobiliary tube has been inserted .....	74
<b>Fig. (8):</b>	Fluoroscopic image showing dilatation of the pancreatic duct during ERCP investigation. Endoscope is visible .....	75
<b><u>Fig. of results:</u></b>		
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard intra-procedural HR. ....	112
<b>Fig (2):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard intra-procedural MAP.....	113
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard total dose of propofol (mg). ....	114
<b>Fig (4):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural HR (beats/min).....	115
<b>Fig (5):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural MAP.....	116
<b>Fig (6):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard PONV .....	117
<b>Fig (7):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard pain score (VAS).....	118
<b>Fig. (8):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard post-procedural cognitive dysfunction and hallucination. ....	119
<b>Fig. (9):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard recovery time (min). ....	120
<b>Fig. (10):</b>	Comparison between dexmedetomidine and ketamine as regard acute endoscopic complications. ....	121

## INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and treatment of pancreaticobiliary pathologies and its use has increased in recent years. It is performed orally via an endoscope. It takes longer time and more complex to perform than other parallel procedures. It is extremely painful and irritating procedure when conducted without anesthesia. To ensure immobility, sufficient analgesia and the avoidance of coughing, gagging or nausea, patients should be deeply sedated or anesthetized and monitoring should be performed while undergoing ERCP procedures (1).

In general, most of endoscopic procedures are done under moderate sedation. The target level of sedation and the agent chosen will depend on *the characteristics of endoscopic procedures* (the degree of invasiveness, level of procedure-related discomfort or pain, the need of patients to lie relatively motionless and the duration of the procedure), *patient's factors* (age, co-morbidities, anxiety and patient preference) and *the need for patients cooperation*. Deep sedation or GA should be considered for patients who have been difficult to manage with moderate sedation and are anticipated to be poorly responsive to sedative; this includes patients who have a long term use of narcotics, benzodiazepines, alcohol, or neuropsychiatric medications (1).

In fact, most complications in GI endoscopy are related to sedation; include cardiopulmonary events such as hypoxemia, hypoventilation, airway obstruction, apnea, arrhythmia, hypotension, and vasovagal episodes (2). *Raymonds and his colleagues (2006)* (3) supported a continued preference for GA rather than conscious sedation for ERCP especially when a complex and painful interventions are planned in prone position.

Dexmedetomidine, is a stereoisomer of medetomidine, was approved by Food and Drug Administration at the end of 1999 for use in human as a short term medication (< 24h). It is a highly selective  $\alpha_2$ -agonist; eight times higher specificity for receptors compared to clonidine (4). Dexmedetomidine has a perioperative sedative, analgesic and anxiolytic properties similar to benzodiazepines but it has less side effects and better hemodynamics (4). Dexmedetomidine provides analgesia with ceiling effect at doses > 0.5 $\mu$ g/kg thus this effect is not dose-dependent (5). Patients receiving dexmedetomidine experienced modest, dose-dependent impairment of short term memory. Dexmedetomidine is not a powerful anti-emetic. Dexmedetomidine has been shown to cause much less respiratory depression than other sedatives. However, co-administration of dexmedetomidine with other anesthetic agents, sedatives, hypnotics, or opioids is likely to cause additive effects (4). Dexmedetomidine attenuates, but not completely abolishes, stress-induced sympatho-adrenal responses protecting the patients from noxious sympathetic stimulation and hemodynamic changes which is one of anesthetic goals (6). It is necessary to monitor ABP even after discontinuation of dexmedetomidine as its infusion has induced long lasting decrease in mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) in healthy volunteers with a maximum decrease of 14% for 0.25 $\mu$ g/kg and 16% for 0.5 $\mu$ g/kg at 60min after discontinuation (7). No study has described the long term use of dexmedetomidine but adaptive changes and withdrawal syndrome like those seen with clonidine can be expected from dexmedetomidine (6).

Propofol is a non-barbiturate sedative hypnotic, its popularity as a procedural sedation analgesia (PSA) agent is growing rapidly due mainly to its favorable pharmacokinetic profile as the lipid solubility confers a quick onset and short recovery time. It has also the advantages of functioning as an

anti-emetic, anticonvulsant, antipruritic and amnestic agent. Although it is extremely effective and potent, propofol use is limited by a relatively high incidence of dose-dependent hypotension and respiratory depression (8).

Ketamine is a phencyclidine derivative. It is unique in that it is a dissociative anesthetic. It provides excellent amnesia and analgesia and preserves muscle tone, maintaining airway reflexes and spontaneous respiration. Despite its obvious advantages over other agents, some practitioners are hesitant to use ketamine alone secondary to its propensity to cause vivid and frightening emergent reactions; additionally significant adverse effects including; sympathomimetic effects and vomiting and excessive salivation even when administered in sedating doses (9).

It is postulated that combining propofol-ketamine may preserve sedation efficacy while minimizing their respective adverse effects. This is due partly to the fact that many of the aforementioned potential adverse effects are dose-dependent and when used in combination the doses administered of each can be reduced. Also, the CVS effects of each are opposing in action, thus theoretically balancing each other out when used together. The theoretical advantages of this combination produce more stable hemodynamic and respiratory profile that were tested and found to be true in group of healthy volunteers receiving GA (10). Effectiveness of the two agents in combinations has been recently demonstrated and this new combination could allow a novel induction agent with favorable effect on hemodynamic. To date, this combination is known as ketofol has not yet been standardized as an induction agent (11).

## **OBJECTIVES AND AIM OF THE WORK**

This study was performed to compare the effects of propofol/dexmedetomidine versus propofol/ketamine combinations for anesthesia of patients undergoing ERCP as regard intra-procedural hemodynamic parameters in the form of heart rate (HR) and mean arterial pressure (MAP), total propofol consumption by the end of the procedure, as well as, recovery criteria that included post-procedural hemodynamic parameters in the form of HR and MAP, pain, post-procedural nausea and vomiting, cognitive functions and post-procedural respiratory adverse events; in the form of apnea, labored breathing and desaturation arterial; O<sub>2</sub> saturation (SPO<sub>2</sub>) < 94% on room air. Recovery time was also recorded and compared between both groups.

## **DEXMEDETOMIDINE**

Alpha-2 agonists have several beneficial actions during the perioperative period. They decrease sympathetic tone, with attenuation of neuroendocrine and hemodynamic responses to anesthesia and surgery reduce anesthetic and opioid requirements and cause sedation and analgesia. They allow psychomotoric function to be preserved while letting the patient rest comfortably. With this combination of effects,  $\alpha_2$  agonists may offer benefits in the prophylaxis and adjuvant treatment of perioperative myocardial ischemia. Furthermore, their role in pain management and regional anesthesia is expanding (*12*).

Dexmedetomidine, a stereoisomer of medetomidine, was approved by the FDA at the end of 1999 for use in human as a short term medication (< 24h) for analgesia and sedation in the ICU. Its unique properties render it suitable for sedation and analgesia during the whole perioperative period (*13*).

### **Physiology of the $\alpha_2$ receptor:**

Adrenergic receptors were originally differentiated into  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  receptors on the basis of the rank order of potency of various natural and synthetic catecholamines in different physiologic preparations. It was believed that activation of either  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ - adrenergic receptors produced excitatory effects in some tissues and inhibitory effects in others. Later a subclass of  $\alpha$  adrenoceptors was discovered that regulates the release of neurotransmitters. From this it was inferred that the receptors are located at a pre-synaptic site. However, classification of the receptors on the basis of anatomic location alone is a problematic, because  $\alpha_2$  receptors have been also been found at the post-synaptic and extra-synaptic sites. Pre-synaptic  $\alpha_2$