

The Effect of Repetitive Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation versus Botulinum Toxin Therapy on Focal Dystonias

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in Neurology

By

Ahmad Ibraheem Abdelbary

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Samia Ashour Helala

Professor of Neurology – Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Nevine Medhat El-Nahas

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Salma Hamed Khalil

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamad Mahmoud Fouad

Lecturer of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Dr. Alia Hassan Mansour

Lecturer of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University

2017

Acknowledgement

I thank Prof. Dr. Samia Ashour, Prof. Dr. Nevine El-Nahas, Prof. Dr. Salma Hamed, Dr. Alia Mansour, and Dr. Mohammad Fo'aad, with full appreciation for their guidance, understanding and decency.

Contents

List of abbreviations.....	vii
List of figures... ..	ix
List of tables... ..	xi
1. Introduction and Aims of the work... ..	1
2. Review of the literatures.....	11
I. Dystonia.....	13
II. Invasive and noninvasive neurostimulation	37
III. Basic knowledge of transcranial direct current stimulation... ..	45
IV. Botulinum Toxin Therapy... ..	57
3. Subjects and method... ..	65
4. Results (and summary)	77
5. Discussion... ..	135
6. Conclusions and Recommendations... ..	147
7. Summary... ..	155
8. Appendices.....	157
1. Appendix 1. Unified dystonia rating scale... ..	159
2. Appendix 2. Master sheet of tDCS group	161
3. Appendix 3. Master sheet of BoNT group... ..	163
9. References... ..	165
10. Arabic summary	179

List of abbreviations

a-tDCS	Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation
BOLD	Blood-oxygen-level dependent contrast imaging
BoNT	Botulinum toxin
CD	cervical dystonia
CH	Cluster headache
CNS	Central nervous system
CT	Computerized tomography
ctDCS	Cerebellar transcranial direct current stimulation
DBS	Deep brain stimulation
DF	Duration factor
DRD	dopa-responsive dystonia
EEG	Electroencephalography
fMRI	Functional magnetic resonance imaging
GABA	Gama amino butyric acid
HD-tDCS	high-definition tDCS
LTD	long term depression
LTP	Long term potentiation
mA	Mill amber
MD	myoclonus dystonia
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MSF	Motor severity factor
Mv	Mill volt
PD	Parkinson's disease
PG	pulse generator
PMd	dorsal premotor cortex

PTD	Primary torsion dystonia
p-value	Predictability value
RDP	rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism
SCS	Spinal cord stimulation
SD	Standard deviation
tACS	transcranial alternating current stimulation
TDCS	transcranial direct current stimulation
TENS	Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
tES	transcranial electrical stimulation
TMS	transcranial magnetic stimulation
tRNS	transcranial random noise stimulation
UDRS	Unified dystonia rating scale
VAS	Visual analogue score

List of Figures

Fig. 1: The neurobiological effects of tDCS... ..	48
Fig. 2: comparison between HD-tDCS & conventional tDCS	53
Fig. 3: Mechanism of action of botulinum neurotoxin	59
Fig. 4: Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).....	70
Fig. 5: ‘DL-2 electro-pulse stimulator’ device	71
Fig. 6: Electrodes and wires used with DL2 pulse- stimulator	72
Fig. 7: Botulinum toxin (Botox™) vial	73
Fig. 8: Comparison of DF % of improvement in tDCS subgroups	96
Fig. 9: Comparison of MSF percentage of improvement in tDCS subgroups	98
Fig. 10: Comparison of VAS percentage of improvement in tDCS subgroups	100
Fig. 11: Comparison of DF percentage of improvement in BoNT subgroups	114
Fig. 12: Comparison of MSF percentage of improvement in BoNT subgroups	116
Fig. 13: Comparison of VAS percentage of improvement in BoNT subgroups	118
Fig. 14: Comparison between tDCS vs. BoNT groups percentage of improvement	122

List of Tables

Table (1): Classification of dystonia based on three axes	16
Table (2): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group according to their Ages	81
Table (3): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group according to Gender, Etiology and Type.....	82
Table (4): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group	83
Table (5): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group.....	84
Table (6): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group.....	85
Table (7): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Cervical cases”	86
Table (8): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Cervical cases”	87
Table (9): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Cervical cases”	88
Table (10): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Upper Limb cases”	89
Table (11): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Upper Limb cases”	90

Table (12): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Upper Limb cases”	91
Table (13): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Lower Limb cases”	92
Table (14): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Lower Limb cases”	93
Table (15): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in tDCS group “Lower Limb cases”	94
Table (16): Comparison of Duration factor percentage of improvement “among tDCS subgroups” according to Site of dystonia	95
Table (17): Comparison of MSF percentage of improvement “in tDCS subgroups” according to Site of dystonia	97
Table (18): Comparison of VAS percentage of improvement “in tDCS subgroups” according to Site of dystonia	99
Table (19): Comparison of Duration Factor at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group.....	101
Table (20): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group.....	102

Table (21): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, After last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group.....	103
Table (22): Comparison of Duration Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Cervical cases”	104
Table (23): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Cervical cases”	105
Table (24): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Cervical cases”	106
Table (25): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Upper Limb cases”	107
Table (26): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Upper Limb cases”	108
Table (27): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Upper Limb cases”	109
Table (28): Comparison of duration factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Lower Limb cases”	110
Table (29): Comparison of Motor Severity Factor at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Lower Limb cases”	111
Table (30): Comparison of Visual Analogue Scale at initial basic assessment, after last session, 1 month and 3 month in BoNT group “Lower Limb cases”	112
Table (31): Comparison of Duration Factor percentage of improvement “in BoNT subgroups” according to Site of	

dystonia	113
Table (32): Comparison between MSF percentage of improvement “in BoNT subgroups” according to Site of dystonia	115
Table (33): Comparison of VAS percentage of improvement in “BoNT group” according to Site of dystonia	117
Table (34): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group according to Duration Score, MSF Score and VAS Basic Assessment Score	119
Table (35): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard Duration factor percentage of improvement from baseline.....	120
Table (36): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard MSF percentage of improvement from baseline.....	121
Table (37): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard VAS percentage of improvement from baseline.....	121
Table (38): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard Duration percentage of improvement from baseline “In cervical cases”	123
Table (39): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard MSF percentage of improvement from baseline “In cervical cases”	124
Table (40): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard VAS percentage of improvement from baseline “In cervical cases”	125
Table (41): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard Duration percentage of improvement from baseline “In Upper Limb cases”	126

Table (42): Comparison between tDCS group and BoNT group as regard MSF percentage of improvement from baseline “In Upper Limb cases”	127
Table (43): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group as regard VAS percentage of improvement from baseline “In Upper Limb cases”	127
Table (44): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group as regard Duration percentage of improvement from baseline “In Lower Limb cases”	128
Table (45): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group as regard MSF percentage of improvement from baseline “In Lower Limb cases”	129
Table (46): Comparison between tDCS group and Botox group as regard VAS percentage of improvement from baseline “In Lower Limb cases”	129