

Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Fish Diseases and Management

Advanced Studies on Control of Some Bacterial Diseases Affecting Seabream and Seabass

Thesis Presented by

Mahmoud Mehani Oan Abou Okada

(B. V. Sc., 2010, Cairo University)

(M.V.Sc., 2013, Cairo University)

For the degree of Ph.D. of Veterinary Medical Science (Fish Diseases and Management)

Under the supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of Fish Diseases and Management Department Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Magdy Ibrahim Hanna

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Fish Diseases and Management

Approval sheet

This is to approve that the dissertation presented by Mahmoud Mehani Oan Abou Okada to Cairo University titled: "Advanced Studies on Control of Some Bacterial Diseases Affecting Seabream and Seabass" for the Ph.D. degree in Veterinary Medical Science (Fish Diseases and Management) has been approved by the examining committee:

Prof. Dr. Ismail Abd El-Moneim Eissa

Professor and Head of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Marzouk

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aziz Ahmed (Supervisor)

Professor and Head of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Magdy Ibrahim Hanna (Supervisor)

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

Mehandeley ed

Marzo

Date: 13/11/2017

Supervision sheet

Supervision committee

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of Fish Diseases and Management

Department,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Magdy Ibrahim Hanna

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Cairo University.

Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Fish Diseases and Management

Name: Mahmoud Mehani Oan Abou Okada

Date of birth: 5/2/1987 **Nationality:** Egyptian

Degree: Ph.D. **Specialization**: Fish Diseases and

Management

Title of thesis: Advanced Studies on Control of Some Bacterial Diseases

Affecting Seabream and Seabass

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of Fish Diseases and Management Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Magdy Ibrahim Hanna

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

Abstract

European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) and gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) are marine species of great economic importance and these species are vulnerable to seasonal serious mortality episodes causing severe economic losses, particularly in Mediterranean aquaculture. Seasonal mortality episodes targeting both seabream and seabass cultured in semi-intensive earthen pond and intensive concrete pond, Damietta province, Egypt was conducted during 2016 and 2017. During summer mortality episode, fifty freshly dead and moribund sea bream fish were collected while thirty freshly dead and moribund sea bass were collected during winter mortalities. Clinically, the collected fish revealed hemorrhages around the mouth, operculum, at the base of pectoral and anal fins, inflammation of anal opening, tail fin erosion and ulceration at caudal peduncle area. Morpho-chemical tests were performed to describe the phenotypic picture and biochemical properties of pure colonies using API®20NE and API®20E system. Definitive confirmation of bacterial isolates was performed by PCR targeting 16SrRNA, collagenase, toxR, aerolysin and 16SrDNA genes revealed 39 isolates (Vibrio species), 31 (Vibrio alginolyticus), 8 (Vibrio parahemolyticus), 8 (Aeromonas hydrophila) and 5 (Pseudomonas fluorescens), respectively. Vibrio alginolytcus was the most prevalent bacterial pathogen for sea bass and sea bream 56.7% and 28%, respectively followed by Vibrio parahemolyticus 18% in sea bream then Aeromonas hydrophila, and Pseudomonas fluorescens 26.7% and 16.7% in sea bass. Antibiogram of isolates showed sensitivity to oxytetracycline, doxycycline, flumequine, and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, sharp increase in ammonia and nitrite, decreased dissolved oxygen and ability to scavenge iron from iron-binding proteins are the most possible triggering factors for initiation, establishment and spread of infections. Infectivity test of isolates revealed that the LD50 of Vibrio alginolyticus (VAS7) and Vibrio parahemolyticus (VP3) for sea bream was 3×10^5 CFU/g fish and $1 \times$ 106 CFU/g fish, respectively while the LD50 of Vibrio alginolyticus (VAK16), Aeromonas hydrophila (AHK6) and Pseudomonas fluorescens (PFK12) for sea bass was 5×10^4 CFU/g fish, 7.5×10^5 CFU/g fish and 1.7×10^6 CFU/g fish. Experimental immunization of sea bass by formalized polyvalent bacterin applied by different routes for control of some bacterial diseases showed RPS% of 88.9%, 50%, 33.3% and 25% for intraperitoneal, prolonged immersion, dip immersion and oral routes, respectively.

<u>Key words</u>: Vibrio species - Aeromonas hydrophila - Pseudomonas fluorescens - ToxR gene - LD50 - Polyvalent bacterin

DEDICATION

Dedicated to

My parents

, My wife

, My daughters

, My brothers

, My sisters

A my close friends

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My deepest praise and endless thanks to ALLAH for every gift I have been given in my life.

I am and forever will be grateful to my advisor **Prof. Dr.**Mohammed Abdel- Aziz Ahmed, professor and head of Fish

Diseases and Management Department, Faculty of Veterinary

Medicine, Cairo University, for suggesting and supervising this

work, for continuous support, valuable guidance, criticism,

encouragement, unlimited cordial help, and for his great effort in

presentation of this work.

I wish to express my deepest thanks to **Prof. Dr. Magdy Ibrahim Hanna**, professor of Fish Diseases and Management Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for supervision this work, for guidance and continuous support and continuous encouragement all over the work.

I am grateful to everybody who gave me hand during this study especially **Dr. Mahmoud El Hariri**, Assistant professor of Microbiology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for his valuable help during this work by allowing me to use Biotechnology laboratory during molecular work.

I would like to record my deepest thanks to all members of fish diseases and management department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University for their continuous support and help.

I must offer my cordial appreciation to all members of my family especially my wife (Noha) and my daughters (Judd and Saja) who's supported me in every way for their help and continuous moral support.

CONTENTS

Introduction		1-4
	Mariculture status in Mediterranean	5-7
	area	
	Gilthead sea bream and European	7-10
Literature	sea bass	
review	Vibriosis	10-32
	Aeromonas hydrophila	32-44
	Pseudomonas fluorescens	44-56
	Control of some bacterial diseases	56-63
Material and	Materials	64-73
Methods	Methods	74-92
Results		93-139
	Discussion	140-160
Conclusion and recommendations		161-162
Summary		163-166
References		167-190
Abbreviations		191-192
Arabic summary		1-4

List of tables

Table (1)	Fish species, location, number, weight, and length of examined fish	65
Table (2)	Target gene, oligonucleotide primer sequence and PCR amplicon (bp) of bacterial isolates	78
Table (3)	Thermal profile for PCR reaction of bacterial species	80
Table (4)	The different antimicrobial agents used in agar diffusion method and interpretation of its sensitivity	83
Table (5)	Experimental design of infectivity test (LD_{50}) of bacterial isolates in sea bream and sea bass.	87
Table (6)	Morpho-chemical characteristics of isolated bacteria from naturally infected sea bass and sea bream	99
Table (7)	Biochemical characteristics of isolated bacteria by using API®20 NE and API®20 E	103
Table (8)	Growth of bacterial isolates on peptone water supplement with different concentration of sodium chloride (salt tolerance test)	107
Table (9)	Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the retrieved isolates	114
Table (10)	Physicochemical parameters of collected water samples from semi-intensive farms and intensive concrete farm	115
Table (11)	The prevalence of bacterial infections among naturally infected sea bream and sea bass	116
Table (12)	The prevalence of bacterial pathogens and mixed infections among naturally infected sea bream and sea bass	118
Table (13)	Mortality pattern of sea bream challenged with intraperitoneal injection of V . alginolyticus (VAS7) or V . parahemolyticus (VP3) ($10^8 - 10^4$ CFU/fish) and observed for 7 days.	121
Table (14)	Mortality pattern of sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) or <i>A. hydrohila</i> (AHK6) or <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> (PFK12) (10 ⁸ – 10 ⁴ CFU/fish) and observed for 7 days	132
Table (15)	Mortality% of non-vaccinated (control) and vaccinated by intraperitoneal injection, prolonged immersion, dip and oral with a polyvalent vaccine against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> , <i>V. parahemolyticus</i> , <i>A. hydrophila and Ps. fluorecens</i> and relative percent survival (RPS) after challenge with these pathogens	137

List of figures

Figure (1)	(A) Marine fish semi-intensive earthen ponds in Dibah Triangle zone (DTZ), Damietta, Egypt. (B) Sea bass intensive concrete ponds in El-Manzalah, Damietta, Egypt. (C) Sea bass intensive concrete ponds supplemented with underground water and equipped with water paddles in El-Manzalah, Damietta, Egypt. (D) Elhawaria floating net cages, Alexandria, Egypt.	66
Figure (2)	Naturally infected sea bream showing hemorrhage at the base of pectoral fin (A) and detachment of scales (B)	94
Figure (3)	Clinical signs of naturally infected sea bass showing hemorrhages around the head, operculum (A), and fins (B).	94
Figure (4)	Clinical signs of naturally infected sea bass showing skeletal deformities and slight separation of head from the trunk of fish	95
Figure (5)	Clinical signs of naturally infected sea bass showing skeletal deformities and slight separation of head from trunk of fish (down) compared with normal fish (up)	95
Figure (6)	Clinical signs of naturally infected sea bass showing abdominal distension (A) with inflammation of anal opening (B)	96
Figure (7)	Clinical signs of naturally infected sea bass showing eye cataract (A)	96
Figure (8)	Naturally infected sea bass showing detached scales, tail erosion (A), anal fin hemorrhage (B) and ulceration (C)	97
Figure (9)	Postmortem findings of naturally infected sea bream showing congested liver (A)	98
Figure (10)	Postmortem findings of naturally infected sea bass showing pale liver (A)	98
Figure (11)	(A) Large yellow colonies of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> on TCBS agar. (B) Green colonies of <i>V. parahemolyticus</i> on TCBS agar. (C) Yellow colonies of <i>A. hydrophila</i> on TCBS agar. (D) Blue colonies of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> on TCBS agar. (E) Swarming appearance of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> on TSA agar supplemented with 2%NaCl.	100
Figure (12)	API®20 NE showing biochemical characteristics of V.	104

	alginolyticus	
Figure (13)	API®20 NE showing biochemical characteristics of <i>V. parahemolyticus</i>	104
Figure (14)	API®20 NE showing biochemical characteristics of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	105
Figure (15)	API®20 NE showing biochemical characteristics of Ps. fluorescens	105
Figure (16)	Agarose gel electrophoresis PCR products representing amplification of 700 bp amplicons of 16SrRNA gene of <i>Vibrio</i> species	108
Figure (17)	Agarose gel electrophoresis PCR products representing amplification of 737 bp amplicons of collagenase gene of <i>V. alginolyticus</i>	109
Figure (18)	Agarose gel electrophoresis PCR products representing amplification of 368 bp amplicons of toxR gene of <i>V. parahemolyticus</i>	110
Figure (19)	Agarose gel electrophoresis PCR products representing amplification of 309 bp amplicons of aerolysin gene of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	111
Figure (20)	Agarose gel electrophoresis PCR products representing amplification of 850 bp amplicons of 16SrDNA gene of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i>	112
Figure (21)	The prevalence of bacterial infection among naturally infected sea bream and sea bass	116
Figure (22)	The total prevalence of bacterial pathogens among naturally infected sea bream and sea bass	119
Figure (23)	Experimentally challenged gilthead sea bream showing detachment of scales (A) and skin darkening (B)	121
Figure (24)	Survival% of sea bream challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAS7)	122
Figure (25)	Survival% of sea bream challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. parahemolyticus</i> (VP3)	122
Figure (26)	Cumulative mortality% of sea bream challenged with	123

	intraperitoneal injection of (10^8-10^4) of bacterial suspensions and sterile PBS	
Figure (27)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited loss of balance and swimming upside down	125
Figure (28)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited hemorrhage at the base of pectoral fin (A)	125
Figure (29)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited hemorrhages around head and eye (A)	126
Figure (30)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited hemorrhages at the base of pectoral fin (A), anal fin (B) and at the area surrounding the site of intraperitoneal injection (C)	126
Figure (31)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited detached scales (A), severe ulceration at caudal peduncle area (B) and caudal fin erosion (C)	127
Figure (32)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16) exhibited pale liver (A) and pale gills (B)	127
Figure (33)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> (AHK6) exhibited hemorrhagic ulceration (A) and caudal fin erosion (B)	128
Figure (34)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> (AHK6) exhibited patchy hemorrhages at anal fin, dorsal fin and caudal peduncle area (A), multiple ulceration (B) and sloughing of caudal fin (C)	129
Figure (35)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> (AHK6) exhibited hemorrhages and ulceration around head (A)	129
Figure (36)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> (PFK12) exhibited caudal fin hemorrhage and erosion (A)	130

Figure (37)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> (PFK12) exhibited hemorrhage at the base of pectoral fin (A), anal fin and around the anal opening (B)	131
Figure (38)	Sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> (PFK12) exhibited hemorrhage at the base of pelvic fin (A)	131
Figure (39)	Survival% of sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>V. alginolyticus</i> (VAK16)	133
Figure (40)	Survival% of sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> (AHK6)	133
Figure (41)	Survival% of sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of <i>Ps. fluorescens</i> (PFK12)	134
Figure (42)	Cumulative mortality% of sea bass challenged with intraperitoneal injection of (10 ⁸ -10 ⁴) of bacterial suspensions and sterile PBS	134
Figure (43)	Mortality% of non-vaccinated (control) and vaccinated by intraperitoneal injection, prolonged immersion, dip and oral with a polyvalent vaccine against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> , <i>V. parahemolyticus</i> , <i>A. hydrophila and Ps. fluorecens</i> and relative percentage survival (RPS) after challenge with these pathogens	138
Figure (44)	Relative percent survival (RPS) of non-vaccinated (control) and vaccinated by intraperitoneal injection, prolonged immersion, dip and oral with a polyvalent vaccine against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> , <i>V. parahemolyticus</i> , <i>A. hydrophila and Ps. fluorecens</i> after challenge with these pathogens	139

1. INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture has a great potential to meet the growing demand for seafood and animal protein. Increased fish production of the country through aquaculture can be considered as a recent success story. Aquaculture represents about 74% of the total fish production. In 2012, the share of sea bass and sea bream had increased to (13,798 tons) and (14,806 tons), respectively (GAFRD, 2013).

European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus Labrax*) and gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) are currently produced in most countries bordering the Mediterranean Sea. The main producers are Greece, Turkey, Spain, Italy and France. Smaller producers are Portugal, Croatia, Cyprus, Israel, Malta, Egypt, Tunisia and Morocco (**FAO**, **2011**).

Bacterial pathogens consider the main causes of outbreaks in cultured Sea bream and Sea bass, these pathogens are Vibrio sp., Pseudomonas sp., Photobacterium damselae sp. Piscicida, Photobacterium damselae sp. damselae, Tenacibaculum maritimum, Streptococcus sp., Staphylococcus sp. and some Aeromonads (Toranzo et al., 2005)

The onset of outbreaks of fish diseases may be attributed to the suppression of fish immune system. Such immune-suppression is positively linked to the abrupt increase in water temperature, unionized ammonia, iron, copper, nitrite and salinity associated with

uprising depletion of dissolved oxygen. Immunologically, the abovementioned water quality results are well known to have direct impact on adaptive, innate and cellular immune responses (**Abdel-Aziz** *et al.*, **2013**).

Vibriosis is one of the most serious bacterial diseases in mariculture causing high mortality up to 100% with severe economic losses throughout the Mediterranean area (Sarjito et al., 2009)

Vibrio alginolyticus (V. alginolyticus) is a major fish pathogen within the genus Vibrio and has caused severe vibriosis with massive mortality in various fish species as sea bass and sea bream throughout the world. V. alginolyticus is always associated with deteriorating culture conditions or physical damage of cultured fish; and therefore, it is always considered as an opportunistic pathogen. The virulent properties of V. alginolyticus vary from species to species, and in some cases, virulence even varies within the same fish species (Balebona et al., 1998 and Zhu et al., 2000).

Aquaculture activities are suffering mortalities due to several disease outbreaks by bacterial pathogens. Among, *Aeromonas hydrophila* (*A. hydrophila*) considered as a major threat and easily spread through accidental abrasions, known as many symptoms namely, hemorrhagic septicemia, ulcers, exophthalmia and abdominal distension (Sahoo *et al.*, 2011 and Austin and Austin, 2012).

Pseudomonas fluorescens (Ps. fluorescens) is a common aquaculture pathogen, infecting both invertebrate and vertebrate