



TOWARDS INTEGRATION OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO- CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES IN THE EGYPTIAN URBAN TISSUE

By

Yasmina Sherif Sarhan

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Architectural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

TOWARDS INTEGRATION OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO-
CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES IN THE EGYPTIAN URBAN
TISSUE

By
Yasmina Sherif Sarhan

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Architectural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Rowaida Reda Kamel

Assoc. Prof. Asmaa Abdel-Atty

.....
Professor of Architecture and
Housing
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Associate Professor
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

TOWARDS INTEGRATION OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES IN THE EGYPTIAN URBAN TISSUE

By
Yasmina Sherif Sarhan

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Architectural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Rowaida Reda Kamel, Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Architecture and Housing, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Assoc. Prof. Asmaa Abdel-Atty, Member
Associate Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Shalaby, Internal Examiner
Professor of Architecture and Urban development, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Maha Samy Kamel, External Examiner
Professor of Architecture and Urban planning, Housing and Building National Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Yasmina Sherif Sarhan
Date of Birth: 19/01/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: yasmina_sarhan2000@hotmail.com
Phone: 01223450114
Address: Dokki, 22 D Haroon Street
Registration Date: 1/10/2013
Awarding Date:/....../2016
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Architectural Engineering

Insert photo here

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Rowaida Reda Kamel (Thesis Main Advisor)
Assoc. Prof. Asmaa Abdel-Atty (Thesis co-supervisor)

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Rowaida Reda Kamel (Thesis Main Advisor)
Assoc. Prof. Asmaa Abdel-Atty (Member)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Shalaby (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Maha Samy Kamel (External examiner)
Professor of Architecture and Urban Planning, Housing
and Building National Research Center)

Title of Thesis:

Towards Integration of Educational Socio-Cultural Typologies in the Egyptian Urban Tissue

Key Words:

Integrated infrastructural urban spaces; Sharing spaces; Socio-cultural typology; urban spaces adaptation; community based hub

Summary:

The main goal of research is to integrate different educational socio- cultural typologies with nearby surrounding society for enhancing their urban, social, economic, developmental aspect. Accordingly, the research investigates methods of integrating such typologies with the surrounding urban context and thus highlighting certain qualities that can develop an interactive social space with creative identity for the community. At the end of this study, the researcher will deduce the main architectural and urban design elements affecting the successful integration of the socio-cultural typology within the surrounding urban setting and with harmonious positive influences on the local community while satisfying their economic, social, and physiological community needs for the targeted groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would never have been able to finish my dissertation without the guidance of my committee members, help from my friends, and support from my family and husband, who always shared my worries and tried to help in many respects.

I would like to begin with stating my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Rowaida Reda Kamel for her excellent guidance, caring, patience, and providing me with an excellent atmosphere for doing research. Studying with her is a great pleasure for me whom I learned a lot both academically and personally.

My co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Asmaa Abdel-Atty, I am deeply grateful for her valuable suggestions, inspiring comments, intense guidance, and sincere support throughout the study. She supported my decision to investigate this topic that I was interested in since the early years of my architectural education. I am also thankful to her for supervising the use of correct grammar and consistent notation in my writings and for carefully reading and commenting on countless revisions of this thesis.

Special thanks to my professors Dr. rer. pol. Dipl.-Soz. Stefan Hochstadt, Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Martin Hoelscher, and Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hildegard Schröteler-von Brandt and colleagues especially Barbara Richter from Urban Design and Town Planning Master Program in NRW "Nordrhein westfalen", Germany, which was a cooperation with five Universities: HS Bochum, Siegen University, Dortmund, Cologne University, HS East Westphalia-Lippe, where I studied my premaster courses, who cordially encouraged and patiently supported me in all aspects of my life.

I would like to thank all staff and colleagues in German university in Cairo as well as my colleagues in Egypt for the friendly, cooperative and productive environment, which contribute to the success of this work.

I am sincerely thankful to all members of my family; to my sister Dina Sarhan, to my two brothers Mohamed and Ahmed Sarhan, to my cousin Mahmoud Sabry, and to my mother in law Wafaa Gobran for supporting me all the time.

I am particularly grateful to my parents Eng. Sherif Sarhan and Fawzia Eletreby for their endless love, support and understanding. I could not succeed without them.

Very special thanks go to my husband Dr.-Ing. Ahmed Y. Shash, who motivated me with his love, understanding, and his patience, to overcome many situations in my life in general and also my daughter Jana Shash, for adapting to my circumstances and encouraging me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
ABSTRACT	XIII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.2. RESEARCH PROBLEM	2
1.3. RESEARCH ENQUIRES	2
1.4. OBJECTIVES	3
1.5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	3
1.6. THESIS STRUCTURE	4
CHAPTER 2 :CHALLENGES OF THE URBAN INTEGRATED SOCIO- CULTURAL LAND USE TYPOLOGY IN EGYPT	9
2.1. INTRODUCTION	9
2.2. OBSERVATION : DISSATISFACTION OF SOCIO-CULTURAL REQUIREMENTS IN EDUCATIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES IN EGYPT	10
2.3. CHANGE OF NEEDS	13
2.3.1. Change of Children, Women and Youth Needs	13
2.3.2. Needs in Socio-cultural Public Spaces and Urban Expectations	16
2.4. SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY ADAPTATION	21
2.4.1. How Socio-cultural Typology adapt to Egyptian Environment	21
2.4.2. Benefits of an Integrated Socio-cultural Typology to Individuals and Communities	23
2.5. SOCIAL CHANGE AND URBAN SOCIETAL DEVELOPMENT	24
2.6. CONCLUSION	25
CHAPTER 3 : APPROACHES AND IMPACTS OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO- CULTURAL TYPOLOGY.....	27
3.1. INTRODUCTION	27
3.2. THE RELATION BETWEEN SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY AND REGENERATION OF SURROUNDING LEFT OVER PUBLIC SPACES	27
3.3. SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY ‘CURRENT DESIGN APPROACH	31
3.4. EXISTING THEORIES RELATED TO AN URBAN INTEGRATED SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY WITHIN URBAN FABRIC	39

3.5.	EXISTING APPROACHES RELATED TO AN URBAN INTEGRATED SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY	50
3.5.1	Integral Urbanism Approach	53
3.5.2.	Landscape Urbanism	53
3.6.	SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY DESIGN AND URBAN INTEGRATION	54
3.6.1.	Design for Physical Urban Integration	55
3.6.2.	Design for Socio – cultural Urban Integration	59
3.6.3.	Design for Economical Urban Integration	60
3.7.	IMPACTS OF INTEGRATED SOCIO-CULTURAL LAND USE URBAN TYPOLOGY	62
3.7.1.	Economic Impact	63
3.7.2.	Non-Economic Impact	63
3.7.2.1.	Socio-cultural Impact.....	63
3.7.2.2.	Enhanced Community Image.....	64
3.7.2.3.	Developmental Impact.....	64
3.7.2.4.	Physiological Impact	64
3.8.	CONCLUDED CRITERIA	65
3.9.	CONCLUSION	67

CHAPTER 4 : EXPLORING URBAN DISCOURSE OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES WORLDWIDE 70

4.1.	INTRODUCTION	70
4.2.	A8ERNA, ZAASTAND, NETHERLAND.	71
4.2.1.	The Spatial Attributes of A8ERNA, Zaastand, Netherland.	71
4.2.1.1.	Location.....	71
4.2.1.1.	Current Land use Urban Typology Structure.....	72
4.2.1.2.	Proposed Intervention of Accessibility and Spatial Connection	73
4.2.2.	Activities and Uses	74
4.2.3.	Analysis and Findings	74
4.2.3.1.	Applied Approaches	74
4.2.3.2.	Impact of Users Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology(A8ERNA Project)	76
4.2.3.3.	Impact of Urban Composition Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (A8ERNA Project)	78
4.2.3.4.	Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology	79
4.2.3.5.	Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric.....	80
4.3.	HARDBRUECKE ZURICH, SWITZERLAND:	82
4.3.1.	The Spatial Attributes of Hardbruecke, Switzerland	82
4.3.1.1.	Location.....	82
4.3.1.2.	Land use Urban Typology Structure:.....	83
4.3.1.3.	Accessibility and Spatial Connection	84
4.3.2.	Activities and Uses	85
4.3.3.	Analysis and Findings	85
4.3.3.1.	Applied Approaches	85
4.3.3.2.	Impact of Users Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology(Hardbruecke, Zurich)	87
4.3.3.3.	Impact of “Urban Composition Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Hardbruecke, Zurich)	89

4.3.3.4.	Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Hardbruecke, Zurich)	90
4.3.3.5.	Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric (Hardbrücke, Zurich)	91
4.4.	OLYMPIC SCULPTURE PARK AS AN EXTENSION OF THE SEATTLE ART MUSEUM, WASHINGTON	93
4.4.1.	The Spatial Attributes of Olympic Sculpture Park	93
4.4.1.1.	Location.....	94
4.4.1.2.	Current Land use Urban Typology Structure.....	94
4.4.1.3.	Proposed Intervention of Accessibility and Spatial Connection	95
4.4.2.	Activities and Uses	99
4.4.3.	Analysis and Findings	100
4.4.3.1.	Applied Approaches	100
4.4.3.2.	Impact of Users Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology(Olympic Sculpture Park)	101
4.4.3.3.	Impact of “Urban Composition Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Olympic Sculpture Park).....	103
4.4.3.4.	Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology	105
4.4.3.5.	Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric.....	107
4.5.	KUNSTHALL BY OMA	108
4.5.1.	The spatial Attributes of Kunsthall	108
4.5.1.1.	Location.....	109
4.5.1.2.	Current Land use Urban Typology Structure.....	109
4.5.1.3.	Proposed Intervention of Accessibility and Spatial Connection	110
4.5.2.	Activities and Uses	113
4.5.3.	Analysis and Findings	113
4.5.3.1.	Applied Approaches	113
4.5.3.2.	Impact of Users Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology(Kunsthall Project)	115
4.5.3.3.	Impact of Urban Composition Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Kunsthall Project)	116
4.5.3.4.	Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Kunsthall project).....	118
4.5.3.5.	Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric.....	119
4.6.	CONCLUSION	120
CHAPTER 5 : EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS.....		124
5.1.	INTRODUCTION	124
5.2.	EXPLORING URBAN DISCOURSE OF EDUCATIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGIES IN CAIRO	128
5.2.1.	Al Sawy Culture Wheel Complex, Zamalek-Cairo	128
5.2.1.1.	Location.....	128
5.2.1.2.	Complex History.....	128
5.2.1.3.	The spatial Attributes of Sawy Cultural Wheel	129
5.2.1.3.1.	Land use Urban Typology Structure.....	130
5.2.1.3.2.	Accessibility and Spatial Connection	130
5.2.1.4.	Activities and Uses	133
5.2.1.5.	Analysis and Findings.....	140
5.2.1.5.1.	Socio-cultural Dimensions and Urban Development.....	140
5.2.1.5.2.	Target Groups Perception from Questionnaire	140
5.2.1.5.2.1.	Relation to Urban Society.....	145

5.2.1.5.2.1.1.	Urban Society and Social Impact	145
5.2.1.5.2.1.2.	Urban Society and Physiological Impact.....	146
5.2.1.5.2.1.3.	Urban Society and Cultural Impact	147
5.2.1.5.2.1.4.	Community Image/Visibility	148
5.2.1.5.2.2.	Relation to Urban Economy	149
5.2.1.5.2.2.1.	Urban Society and Economic Impact	149
5.2.1.5.2.2.2.	Urban Society and Developmental Impact	152
5.2.1.5.2.2.3.	Political Impact	154
5.2.1.5.2.2.4.	Environmental Impact	155
5.2.1.5.2.3.	Conclusion	156
5.2.2.	Gezira Community Youth Center, Zamalik- Cairo	157
5.2.2.1.	The spatial Attributes of Gezira Community Youth Center.....	162
5.2.2.1.1.	Land use Urban Typology Structure.....	162
5.2.2.1.2.	Accessibility and Spatial Connection	173
5.2.2.2.	Activities and Uses	174
5.2.2.3.	Analysis and Findings.....	177
5.2.2.3.1.	Socio-cultural Dimensions and Urban Development.....	178
5.2.2.3.2.	Target Groups Perception.....	178
5.2.2.3.2.1.	Relation to Urban Society.....	183
5.2.2.3.2.1.1.	Urban Society and Social Impact	183
5.2.2.3.2.1.2.	Urban Society and Physiological Impact.....	184
5.2.2.3.2.1.3.	Urban Society and Cultural Impact	185
5.2.2.3.2.1.4.	Community Image and Visibility	186
5.2.2.3.2.2.	Relation to Urban Economy	187
5.2.2.3.2.2.1.	Urban Society and Economic Impact	187
5.2.2.3.2.2.2.	Urban Society and Developmental Impact	190
5.2.2.3.2.2.3.	Political Impact	192
5.2.2.3.2.2.4.	Environmental Impact	193
5.2.2.3.2.3.	Conclusion	194
5.3.	CONCLUSION	195
CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		199
6.1.	CONCLUSION	199
6.2.	RECOMMENDATIONS	202
6.3.	FUTURE RESEARCH	203
REFERENCES		204
APPENDIX E: INTERVIEW GUIDE SOCIO-CULTURAL TYPOLOGY COMMITTEE (EL SAWAY CULTURAL WHEEL).....		227

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Several Attempts (Models) about Changing in Human Needs	13
Table 3-1: Table Showing a Brief Description of Socio-cultural Typology Architectural Measurements	33
Table 3-2: A detailed Description of City Landscape Attribute, City Space Structure and Infrastructure	37
Table 3-3: A Detailed Description of Activities and Community Identity	38
Table 3-4: A Brief Comparison about the Three Urban Design Theories that define an Integrated Setting within the Urban Fabric	49
Table 3-5: Dominant Urban Design Ideals and Categories of Socio-Cultural Typology Analysis (an Emerging Integrated Urban Setting Typology)	52
Table 3-6: The Indicators of Impacts of Urban Integrated Socio-cultural Land-Use Typology within Urban Fabric	69
Table 4-1: Impacts of User Attributes on A8ERNA project, Zaastand, Netherlands	76
Table 4-2:: Impact of Urban Composition Attributes on A8ERNA project, Zaastand, Netherlands	78
Table 4-3: Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on A8ERNA Project, Zaastand, Netherlands	79
Table 4-4: Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric	80
Table 4-5: Impact of “Users Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology of Hardbrücke in Zurich	87
Table 4-6: Impact of “Urban Composition Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Hardbrücke, Zurich)	89
Table 4-7: Impact of city” physical and socio-cultural dimensions” on integrated socio-cultural Land-use Typology of Hardbrücke in Zurich	90
Table 4-8: Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric (Hardbrücke, Zurich)	91
Table 4-9: Impact of “Users Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Olympic Sculpture Park)	102
Table 4-10: Impact of “Urban Composition Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Olympic Sculpture Park)	104
Table 4-11: Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology	106
Table 4-12: Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric	107
Table 4-13: Impact of Users Attributes on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Kunsthall by OMA project)	115
Table 4-14: Impact of “Urban Composition Attributes” on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Kunsthall by OMA project)	117
Table 4-15: Impact of City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology (Kunsthall by OMA project)	118
Table 4-16: Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric	119
Table 4-17: A Summarized Comparison between Global Case Studies with each Attributes Impact Indication	121

Table 4-18: The Revisited Indicators of Methods of Integrating Socio-cultural Typology within the Surrounding Urban Context.....	122
Table 5-1: Possible Activities for all Users in El Sawy Cultural Wheel.....	133
Table 5-2: Table showing Possible Activities for both Youth and Children	137
Table 5-3: Table showing Activities for only Children.....	139
Table 5-4: Questionnaire Response Rate	140
Table 5-5: Gezira Youth Center Main Functional Components	163
Table 5-6: Activities and Uses that satisfies the Needs of Targeted Groups	174
Table 5-7: Questionnaire Response Rate	178
Table 5-8: Analyzing Impact of "User's Attributes" on Local Case Studies in Egypt	195
Table 5-9: Analyzing Impact of "Urban Composition Attributes" on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology	196
Table 5-10: Analyzing Impact of "City Physical and Socio-cultural Dimensions" on Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology in Egypt	197
Table 5-11: Analyzing Impacts (Qualities) of Resulted Integrated Socio-cultural Land-use Typology within Urban Fabric	198

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Thesis Methodology Track.....	4
Figure 1-2: Thesis Structure	7
Figure 2-1: Problem Tree – Diagram Showing Socio-Cultural Typology Causes and Effect	12
Figure 2-2: Connecting Optional Needs to Both Optional and Necessary Activities	15
Figure 2-3: Hierarchy of Human Needs and its Design Concerns	18
Figure 2-4: Different Examples of Leftover Spaces in Egyptian Context	22
Figure 3-1: Attributes of Regeneration of Surrounding Public spaces from Integration with Urban fabric especially Socio-cultural Typology	29
Figure 3-2: Main ACC Measurements of a Successful Socio-Cultural Typology Architectural Design.....	32
Figure 3-3: The Resulted Attributes from approaching Integration of Socio-cultural Typology with Urban Fabric on Urban Scale by Urban Architectural Approach.....	36
Figure 3-4: Giambattista Nolli, Map of Rome. 1748.	39
Figure 3-5: Robert F. Wagner, Sr. Houses. Upper East Side. New York.	40
Figure 3-6: Six Typologies of Urban Area Patterns of Solid and Voids.....	41
Figure 3-7: The Pantheon Rome, Detail from the Nolli, Map of Rome.....	41
Figure 3-8: Amsterdam South, the Landmark Housing District Designed by H. P. Berlage in 1915.....	42
Figure 3-9: Plan Diagram of Streets and Squares.	42
Figure 3-10: The Park within the City Grid.	43
Figure 3-11: Linear Open Space Systems within City.	43
Figure 3-12: Diagram of Types of Urban Solids and Voids Dialogue.....	44
Figure 3-13: Fumihiko Maki. Three Types of Spatial Linkage.....	44
Figure 3-14: Le Corbusier, Plan of Chandigarh, India.	45
Figure 3-15.: Kenzo Tango and Noriaki Kurokwa, Plan for a New Community, Cambridge, Massachusetts, M.I.T. 1960s.	46
Figure 3-16: Japanese Village Street.	46
Figure 3-17: Kenzo Tango. Expo 70. Osaka, Japan. 1970.	47
Figure 3-18: Regional Plan Association. The Three Dimensional Grid, 1969, Linkage Dominated Planning Theory During 1960s.....	47
Figure 3-19: Hierarchy of Approaches and Ideals that Target the Problem.....	51
Figure 3-20: Integral Urbanism Approach from Overlaying of Three Theories.....	53
Figure 3-21: Types of Elevated Bridges.....	57
Figure 3-22: Bridges Interchange Pattern.....	57
Figure 3-23: Analysis of Urban Composition	58
Figure 3-24: Different Types of Abandoned Infrastructural Spaces	62
Figure 3-25: Diagram describes How an Integrated Socio-cultural Land Use Typology can function and the Main Impacts on it	65
Figure 3-26: How study came up with the Concluded Criteria.....	66
Figure 4-1: An Overview to Communal Space of A8ERNA Project.....	71
Figure 4-2: Urban Section of Invented Urban Space	71
Figure 4-3: Before Integration of Surrounding Urban Setting.	72
Figure 4-4: Exploded Axonometry that schematics the Distribution of Different Uses	72
Figure 4-5: An Overview over Project Connection to Nearby Spaces.....	73
Figure 4-6: Variety of Adaptation of Left over Spaces by Different Activities.....	74

Figure 4-7: A Wide View of Heritage Park Community Center.....	82
Figure 4-8: Google Map of Location of Hardbruecke, Zurich, Switzerland.....	83
Figure 4-9: An Overview of land-use of Hardbruecke Project	83
Figure 4-10: An Overview to Project Urban Integration.....	84
Figure 4-11: A Quick View to Activities hosted by this Urban Landmark.....	85
Figure 4-12: An Overview to Communal Space of Laguna Piazza Molino Nuovo.....	93
Figure 4-13: Location of Olympic Sculpture park and Seattle Museum.....	94
Figure 4-14: Olympic Sculpture Park Project Site Before and After the Development and Integration with Surrounding.....	94
Figure 4-15: Layout Integration Zoning.....	94
Figure 4-16: Ground Floor Plan of Olympic Sculpture Park	95
Figure 4-17: A Detailed Section Provide Summary to What Has Happened in the Site Revitalization.....	96
Figure 4-18: An Aerial View to the Site Infrastructure and Traffic Circulation.....	96
Figure 4-19: Urban Integration from West Side.....	96
Figure 4-20: An Aerial View from North Side along the Seattle Waterfront	96
Figure 4-21: Reintegrating the Fractured Urban Fabric	97
Figure 4-22: First Step of Urban Integration, Inserting Museum at the Highest Point of Site.....	97
Figure 4-23: Second Step of Urban Integration, at which Main Gallery Opens Out to this Garden with Series of Terraces.....	98
Figure 4-24: Third Step of Urban Integration by a Shaped Path Way that connects all Parts of Site.....	98
Figure 4-25: Fourth Step of Urban Integration is the Redevelopment of Beach Front Ramp.....	98
Figure 4-26: Activities at the Shore.....	99
Figure 4-27: An Over View of the Activities occurred in the Integrated Setting	99
Figure 4-28: Provide Gathering Seating Area	99
Figure 4-29: Outdoor Seating Areas.....	99
Figure 4-30: A Perspective of Kunsthall by OMA.....	108
Figure 4-31: Location of Kunsthall in Germany	109
Figure4-32: The Two Bar Type Kunsthall Building	109
Figure 4-33: Kunsthall and The Museum Park Layout Integration to Urban Fabric Designed by OMA.....	109
Figure 4-34: Site Plan of the Proposed Site Integration of Kunsthall	110
Figure 4-35: The Continuous Circuit of Main Concept Design in the Kunsthall.....	110
Figure 4-36: Kunst Hall Urban Integration Methods	111
Figure 4-37: Street in the East/West Direction Bisecting under the Kunsthall1	112
Figure 4-38: Facade Integration with the Surroundings.....	112
Figure5-1: Different Google Earth Zooming in to El Sawy Cultural Wheel	129
Figure 5-2: A Panoramic View of El Sawy Cultural Wheel Space Structure	129
Figure 5-3: Plan and Section of "El sakkia"	130
Figure 5-4: Accessibility Connection.....	130
Figure 5-5: Accessibility Analysis	131
Figure 5-6: El Sakkia Spatial Connection	132
Figure 5-7: Exhibition Corridor from 26 th of July Entrance	133
Figure 5-8: Second Exhibition Hall of El Sway Cultural Wheel from 26 th of July Entrance	133
Figure 5-9: Jazz Music Concert in River Hall.....	133
Figure 5-10: Home Mothers Selling Her Products.....	134

Figure 5-11 :Tarawa Seating Area for Gathering and Studying.....	134
Figure 5-12: Bostan El Nil Seating Area.....	135
Figure 5-13: Sports Initiatives	136
Figure 5-14: Chocolate Festival in Sakkia	137
Figure 5-15: Graffiti Workshop.....	138
Figure 5-16: Examples of El Sakkia Workshops	138
Figure 5-17:”Let me think” Show	139
Figure 5-18:”Let me think” Workshop.....	139
Figure 5-19: Question Response	141
Figure 5-20: Question Response	141
Figure 5-21: Question Response	142
Figure 5-22: Question Response	142
Figure 5-23: Question Response	143
Figure 5-24: Question Response	144
Figure 5-25: Question Response	145
Figure 5-26: Question Response	146
Figure 5-27: Question Response	147
Figure 5-28: Question Response	148
Figure 5-29: Question Response	149
Figure 5-30: Question Response	150
Figure 5-31: Question Response	151
Figure 5-32: Question Response	152
Figure 5-33: Question Response	153
Figure 5-34: Question Response	154
Figure 5-35: Question Response	155
Figure 5-36: Edited After Google Maps Location of Gezira Youth Center	157
Figure 5-37: Timeline of Gezira Youth Center	158
Figure 5-38: Presidential Decision to Allocate Gezira Youth Center for Youth	159
Figure 5-39: Old and New Pictures of Gezira Youth Center	160
Figure 5-40: Before and After Development of Gezira Youth Center	161
Figure 5-41: Site under Construction	161
Figure 5-42: A Panoramic View of Gezira Youth Center	162
Figure 5-43: An Aerial View of Model of Gezira Youth Center	162
Figure 5-44: Gezira Youth Center on of the Main Entrances	163
Figure 5-45: Vistiar Building	163
Figure 5-46: Swimming Pool Changing Rooms	163
Figure 5-47 :Main Gezira Youth Center Swimming Pool.....	164
Figure 5-48: Children and Olympic Swimming Pool	164
Figure 5-49: An Aerial Perspective Over More than 50 Play Fields	164
Figure 5-50: Hotel overlooking the Nile	165
Figure 5-51 : Main Stadium	165
Figure 5-52: Gezira Youth Center Mosque	165
Figure 5-53: Eight Tennis Courts of Gezira Youth Center	165
Figure 5-54: Squash Building.....	166
Figure 5-55: Zoom In to Kids Playing Area.....	166
Figure 5-56: Kids Playing Area and Bike Zone	166
Figure 5-57: Amphi Theater with Its Facilities	167
Figure 5-58: Amphi Theatre of Gezira Youth Center	167
Figure 5-59: Gymnasium Equipments.....	167
Figure 5-60: Kick Boxing Hall.....	167