

**SOLUBILITY OF BIODENTINE AND ITS BOND
STRENGTH TO RESIN COMPOSITE AFTER
DIFFERENT STORAGE PERIODS**

**Thesis
Submitted to Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University**

**In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Master degree in Dental Biomaterials Science**

**By
Reem Magdy Khallaf
Instructor of Dental Biomaterials
Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University**

**Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
2017**

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ghada Atef Alian

Professor of Dental Biomaterials
Biomaterials department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University

Prof. Dr. Dalia Ibrahim El-Korashy

Professor of Dental Biomaterials
Head of Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University

Acknowledgement

All praise to Allah, for giving me the strength, patience, and persistence to complete this journey.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ghada Atef Alian, Professor of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University for her great support and precious time in guiding and teaching me. It was a great honor working under her supervision.

I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Dalia Ibrahim El-Korashy, Professor and Head of the Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University for her encouragement and for generously offering her valuable time and expertise. Without her guidance it would not have been possible to conduct this research

I would also like to extend my thanks to all staff members of Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University for their encouragement and help throughout this research. My special thanks to Ahmed El-Banna, Assistant lecturer of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University.

I wish to acknowledge the help provided by Khaled Adel, Assistant lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University for his aid in the Fractographic analysis data.

Dedication

I dedicate this work to:

My loving parents; for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

My beloved husband; I certainly would not have accomplished this without his everlasting encouragement, patience, commitment and support.

My sister and brother; for their endless support.

And my warmest love goes to my beloved daughter, Layan, the one who enlightens my heart with joy.

To all my family and friends, thank you for your prayers.

List of contents

	Page
Intoduction.....	1
Review of literature.....	4
1. Historical background of tricalcium silicate cements.....	4
2. Properties of MTA.....	5
3. Modifications in MTA.....	7
3.1. Modifications to reduce the setting time.....	7
3.2. Modifications to improve the handling characteristics....	9
3.3. Alternative radiopacifiers.....	9
3.4. Modifications to improve the flow.....	10
3.5. Modifications to increase the washout resistance.....	11
4. Biodentine.....	11
4.1. Composition and setting reaction.....	11
4.1.1. Composition.....	11
4.1.2. Setting reaction.....	13
4.2. Properties of Biodentine.....	14
4.2.1. Physical and chemical properties	14
4.2.1.1. Setting time.....	14
4.2.1.2. PH.....	14
4.2.1.3. Calcium ion release.....	15
4.2.1.4. Radiopacity.....	15
4.2.1.5. Porosity.....	16
4.2.2. Mechanical properties.....	17
4.2.2.1. Compressive strength.....	17

4.2.2.2.	Hardness.....	18
4.2.3.	Biological properties.....	19
4.2.3.1.	Bioactivity.....	19
4.2.3.2.	Antibacterial effect.....	20
4.2.3.3.	Biocompatibility.....	21
4.2.4.	Dentine /Biodentine interface.....	23
4.3.	Applications of Biodentine.....	24
4.3.1.	Pulp capping.....	25
4.3.2.	Pulpotomy.....	25
4.3.3.	Root perforation and resorption.....	26
4.3.4.	Retrograde filling.....	27
4.3.5.	Apexification.....	27
4.3.6.	Dentin substitute.....	28
4.3.6.1.	The sandwich technique.....	28
4.3.6.2.	Materials used in the sandwich technique....	30
4.3.6.2.1.	Glass ionomer cement (GIC).....	30
4.3.6.2.2.	Resin modified glass ionomer cement (RMGIC).....	30
4.3.6.2.3.	Calcium silicate based cements	31
5.	Dental adhesives.....	31
5.1.	Classification of adhesives.....	32
5.1.1.	Etch-and-rinse adhesives.....	32
5.1.2.	Self-etching adhesives.....	32
5.1.3.	Glass ionomer adhesives.....	33
5.2.	Chemical composition of adhesives.....	34
5.2.1.	Resin monomers.....	35
5.2.2.	Solvents.....	36
5.2.3.	Fillers.....	36

6. Evaluation of Biodentine.....	37
6.1. Evaluation of solubility.....	37
6.2. Evaluation of bond strength.....	38
6.2.1. Macroshear bond strength test.....	39
6.2.2. Macrotensile bond strength test.....	40
6.2.3. Micro-tensile bond strength test.....	40
6.2.4. Micro-shear bond strength test.....	42
6.2.5. Push-out test.....	43
Aim of the study.....	44
Material and methods.....	45
Results.....	63
Discussion.....	86
Summary and conclusions.....	99
References	102

List of Figures

	page
Figure 1: Custom-made split teflon mold used for specimen preparation for the solubility test.	49
Figure 2: The amalgamator.....	49
Figure 3: Biodentine inside the solubility mold.....	49
Figure 4: The Biodentine disc used for solubility test	49
Figure 5: The incubator.....	50
Figure 6: The analytical balance.....	50
Figure 7: The desiccator.....	50
Figure 8: The Biodentine disc immersed in deionized water inside a tightly sealed jar.....	50
Figure 9: A split teflon mold used to prepare the rubber disc.....	53
Figure 10: Condensation silicone rubber impression in the split mold.....	53
Figure 11: The rubber disc used to prepare the acrylic mold for Biodentine.....	53
Figure 12: The rubber disc centralized in the bottom of a cube-shaped copper split mold.....	53
Figure 13: Self-cured acrylic resin poured in the cube-shaped copper split mold.....	54
Figure 14: An acrylic resin block with central whole on its top surface used as mold for Biodentine.....	54

Figure 15: Biodentine disc inside the acrylic block	57
Figure 16: Tygon tubes placed on top of Biodentine	57
Figure 17: The light curing unit.....	57
Figure 18: Flowable composite cylinders bonded to Biodentine.....	57
Figure 19 (a-b): Test set upfor micro-shear bond strength test.....	58
Figure 20: Biodentine disc after 30 days.....	59
Figure 21: The Scanning Electron Microscope.....	61
Figure 22: The FT-IR device.....	62
Figure 23: Bar chart for the solubility values at 24 hours and 6 months.....	64
Figure 24: Bar chart showing micro-shear bond strength at different time intervals of bonding.....	67
Figure 25: Bar chart showing the effect of storage period on the micro-shear bond strength.....	68
Figure 26: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different application time intervals when testing was done after 24 hours.....	69
Figure 27: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different application time intervals when testing was done after 6 months of water storage.....	70
Figure 28: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different storage periods.....	70
Figure 29: Stereomicroscopic image showing cohesive failure within the Biodentine.....	72

Figure 30: Stereomicroscopic image showing mixed failure (in 15 minute groups).....	72
Figure 31: Stereomicroscopic image showing mixed failure.....	73
Figure 32: Stereomicroscopic image showing adhesive failure.....	73
Figure 33: Bar chart showing the mean μ -shear bond strength (MPa) for different application time intervals when testing was done at 24 hours.....	76
Figure 34: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different application time intervals when testing was done after 6 months of water storage.....	77
Figure 35: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different storage periods.....	79
Figure 36: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Biodentine after 15 minutes, at 2000x.....	81
Figure 37: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Biodentine after 24 hours with no surface treatment, at 2000x.....	82
Figure 38: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Biodentine after 24 hours with surface grinding, at 2000x.	82
Figure 39: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Biodentine after 30 days with no surface treatment, at 2000x.....	83
Figure 40: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Biodentine after 30 days with surface grinding, at 2000x.....	83

Figure 41: FT-IR plot of the precipitates deposited on the surface of Biodentine after 24 hours.....84

Figure 42: FT-IR plot of the precipitates deposited on the surface of Biodentine at 30 days.....85

List of tables

	page
Table 1: List of materials used, composition and lot number.....	45
Table 2: Grouping of specimens for the micro-shear bond strength test.....	60
Table 3: The mean (%) and standard deviation (SD) values for solubility at 24 hours and 6 months.....	64
Table 4: Two-way ANOVA for the effect of storage period and application time interval on the micro-shear bond strength.....	65
Table 5: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) values and significance of the effect of different application time intervals on the micro-shear bond strength.....	66
Table 6: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) values and significance of the effect of storage period on the micro-shear bond strength.....	67
Table 7: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) values and significance of the micro-shear bond strength for different application time intervals and storage period.....	68
Table 8: Number of samples for each mode of bond failure within each group.....	71
Table 9: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) values and significance of the micro-shear bond strength for different application time intervals and surface treatment; when testing was done after 24 hours.....	74
Table 10: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) values and significance of the micro-shear bond strength for different	

application time intervals and surface treatment; when testing was done after 6 months of water storage.....76

Table 11: Mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa), standard deviation (SD) and significance of micro- shear bond strength for different application time intervals, surface treatment and storage periods....78

Table 12: Number of samples for each mode of bond failure within all groups.....80

Introduction

The popularity of Tricalcium silicate cements in dental procedures is growing ⁽¹⁾ owing to their bioactivity and biocompatibility.⁽²⁾ These cements were first introduced into dentistry in the 1990's by Torabinejad, who developed a formula based on Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) to produce the mineral trioxide aggregate, or the gray MTA. Later on, a white MTA version was developed. Since their introduction, MTAs have been mainly indicated for endodontic purposes, as repairing root perforations, apexification and pulp capping.⁽³⁾

The use of calcium silicate based cements as dentin substitutes beneath resin composite restorations has provided the advantage of calcium hydroxide release from the set material, which could react in the presence of physiological fluids forming hydroxyapatite, therefore acting as liners, while the rigid calcium silicate matrix replaces the dentin in bulk. However, the difficult manipulation and long setting time of MTA have restricted its use as dentin substitute in open sandwich restorations. Therefore, the introduction of Biodentine, with its short setting time allowed its use in coronal restorations, which is a novel clinical application of the calcium silicate family of cements.⁽²⁻⁵⁾

Biodentine™ (Septodont, Saint Maur des Fossés, France) was launched in 2011 as a quick setting calcium silicate cement, to overcome the long setting time of MTA. Biodentine is mainly

composed of a highly purified tri-calcium silicate powder which is synthetically prepared in the lab de novo. Additionally, di-calcium silicate, calcium carbonate and zirconium dioxide are present in the powder. The tri-calcium and di-calcium silicate phases form around 70% of the powder's weight, which is close to that of white MTA and white Portland cement.⁽³⁾

Unlike MTA, Biodentine does not contain calcium sulphate, aluminate, or alumino-ferrate. The powder is dispensed in a two part capsule to which a liquid is added for hydration. This liquid is composed of water, calcium chloride, and a water reducing agent.⁽³⁾

Using bioactive cements beneath resin composite would be clinically more advantageous than using glass ionomer cement (GIC) bases, as they are biologically well tolerated by the pulp tissue and have comparatively higher remineralizing ability. The success of these cements in laminate restorations does not only depend on its bond strength to dentin but also on the quality of bond between it and the overlying resin composite.⁽⁶⁾

Moreover, to provide a long-term seal, avoid leakage from the oral cavity and maintain pulp protective effect, the lining and base materials have to be resistant to dissolution in water, organic solvents as well as in acid-etching solutions. According to Tam et al., base and lining materials should have high strength and low solubility.^(2,7) However, partial or total dissolution of the GIC and fracture of the resin composite were main reasons for failure.⁽⁸⁾