

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Arts
English Department

A Critical Discourse Analysis of Joint Political Press Conferences between the Egyptian FM Ahmed Abul-Gheit and the Israeli FM Sylvan Shalom

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of

Master of Firts

by

Magdy Ahmed Abdelhameed

Under the supervision of

Prof. Jeanette S. Atiya

&

Prof. Mostafa Riyadh

Professor of Linguistics, the Department of English, Ain Shams University Professor of Literature, the Department of English Ain Shams University

<u>Abstract</u>

Title of Thesis: A Critical Discourse Analysis of Joint Political Press
Conferences between the Egyptian FM Ahmed
AbulGheit and the Israeli FM Sylvan Shalom

Key words: CDA – transitivity – presuppositions – allusions – lacunae – Egyptian-Israeli – political press conferences

This study analyzes the discursive language in four Egyptian-Israeli political press conferences by using an eclectic approach within the framework of critical discourse analysis. The title of this study should be modified to encompass the political press conferences attended by Egyptian and Israeli politicians other than the former FM. Abulgheit and FM. Shalom. The rationale for the present title was that the political press conference between Mr. Abulgheit and Mr. Shalom contains most, if not all, of the linguistic aspects pertaining to the analysis of *ideology* and *power relationships* evolving through *transitivity*, *presuppositions*, *allusions* and *cultural lacunae*. The data consists of four Egyptian-Israeli political press conferences held between 1977 and 2006. However, the analysis is carried out, not according to the order of occurrence of each conference,

but according to the above mentioned pragmalinguistic (linguistic pragmatics, pragmatic linguistics) features within the unique genre of jointpress conferences highlighting both the surface and undercurrent ideological and power conflicts and cross-cultural gaps decoded from the abstruse political spin especially between representatives of Egypt and Israel; the two major political and military powers in the Middle East (ME). The analysis is conducted in three stages. In the first stage, the data is placed within its historical and political context, second, is the multi-level pragmalinguistic analysis within the framework of CDA, and the third final part presents a discussion of the findings resulting from the application of the principles of CDA to the analysis of the political discourse used in Egyptian-Israeli political press conferences.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Jeanette, the great scholar and mother, for knowledgeably, carefully, patiently and gently guiding me through writing and rewriting this thesis, always steering my unbridled imagination back to shore. I wish her everlasting happiness and well-being.

Prof. Mostafa Riyad's fine manners rush to the mind as the incarnation of many Prophetic sayings about kindness, clemency, helpfulness, support, cheerfulness, modesty, and positivity, to name a few.

I cannot do Prof. Nadia her right by expressing how grateful I feel for the time and effort she made. Had it not been for her invaluable help instructions, this piece of work would not have been what it is. Only God can reward her for that.

I would like to thank Prof. Dalia Saad for her priceless help, fathomless generosity and the imperturbable modesty.

Table of Contents

page	
Abstracti	
Acknowledgementsiii	
List of Abbreviationsx	
List of Tablesxi	
Chapter One: Introduction to the Research Problem and Context	
1.1. Research Problem and Context2	
1.2. Significance of the Study4	
1.3. Research Questions5	
1.4. The Data6	
1.5. Chapters Outline	
Chapter Two: Review of Related Literature	
2.1. CDA and Political Press Conferences	
2.2. Systemic Functional Grammar17	
2.2.1. Presupposition	
2.2.2. Allusion	
2.3. Lacunae Analysis	

Chapter Three: Theoretical Framework	
3.1. Critical Discourse Analysis	29
3.2. Ideology, Power and CDA	33
3.3. Principles of CDA	36
3.4. S ystemic Functional Approach	37
3.4.1. Transitivity	39
3.4.1.1. Process Types	39
3.4.1.1. Material Processes	41
3.4.1.1.2. Mental Processes	42
3.4.1.1.3. Relational Processes	43
3.4.1.1.4. Verbal Processes	44
3.4.1.1.5. Behavioural Processes	45
3.4.1.1.6. Existential Processes	45
3.4.1.2. Circumstance	47
3.4.1.2. Loss or Absence of Agency	48
3.4.1.2.1. Nominalization	49
3.4.1.2.2. Ergativity and Passivization	50
3.5. Presupposition	53
3.5.1. Presupposition Triggers	55
3.5.1.1Lexical Triggers	56
3.5.1.1. Existential Presupposition	57

3.5.1.1.2. Factive Presupposition	<i>page</i> 57
3.5.1.1. 3 . Non-factive Presupposition	57
3.5.1.1. 4. Adverbial triggers	58
3.5.1.2. Structural Triggers	58
3.5.1.2.1. Interrogative Forms	58
3.5.1.2.2. Cleft structures	58
3.5.1.2.3. Pseudo-cleft structure	58
3.5.1.2.4. Non-Restrictive Relative Pronouns	58
3.5.1.2.5 Restrictive Relative Pronouns	59
3.5.1.2.6. Counterfactual Presupposition	59
3.5.1.3. Presupposition Pools	60
3.5.2. Allusion	62
3.5.2.1. Major Types of Allusion	65
3.5.2.1.1. Biblical Allusions	65
3.5.2.1.2. Historical Allusions	65
3.5.2.1.3. Literary Allusions	66
3.5.2.1.4. Political Allusions	66
3.6. The Lacuna Model	67
3.6.1. Cultural-Emotive Lacunae	70
3.6.2. Role-Related Lacunae	71
3.6.3. Ethnographic Lacunae	71
3.6.4. Axiological Lacunae	71

Chapter Four: Transitivity Analysis	page
Chapter Four: Transitivity Analysis 4.1. Positivity	75
4.1.1. Asserting Common Ground	76
4.1.1.1 Inclusive 'we' as 'participant'	76
4.1.1.2. Mutual praise and future cooperation	82
4.1.1.2.1. Processes, Participants and Circumstance	83
4.1.2. Prospects for constructive relationship	84
4.1.2.1. Processes, Participants and Circumstance	85
4.2. Power and Influence	88
4.2.1. Positive Self Presentation	89
4.2.1.1. Processes, Participants and Circumstance	89
4.2.2. Negative Other Presentation	93
4.2.3. Determining the Behaviour of the Other	94
4.2.4. Justification of Action	95
4.2.5. Subjugation of the Press	98
4.3. Evasion	101
4.3.1. Nominalization	101
4.3.3. Ergative Analysis	105
4 3 4 The Passive Structure	108

	page
Chapter Five: Presupposition and Allusion	
5.1. Presupposition	115
5.1.1. Existential presuppositions	.116
5.1.2. Factive and reiterative presuppositions	124
5.1.3. Non-factive (Aspectual) presupposition	127
5.1.4. Cleft and pseudo-cleft structure	128
5.1.5. Counterfactuals	130
5.1.6. Restrictive vs. nonrestrictive relative pronouns	133
5.1.7. Presupposition pools	134
5.2. Allusion	140
5.2.1. Biblical allusions	141
Chapter Six: The Lacuna Model	
6.1. Cultural emotive lacunae	151
6.2. Adulation of the ruler: role-related lacuna	155
6.3. Ethnographic lacunae	158

pag	ĮΕ
6.4. Axiological lacuna160	
6.4.1. The concept of security160)
6.4.1.1. The Israeli obsession with security160)
6.4.2. Geographical names161	1
6.4.3. The Jerusalem issue, again!162	2
7. Conclusion 16	6
Appendices	
-Appendix A: Gheit-Shalom Political Press Conference172	
-Appendix B : Gheit-Livni Political Press Conference176	;
-Appendix C: Mubarak-Rabin Press Conference180)
-Appendix D : Sadat-Begin Press conference183	3
References19	3

List of Abbreviations

App: Appendix

CDA: Critical Discourse Analysis

CL: Critical Linguistics

ME: Middle East

NS: National Socialist

FM: Foreign Minister

PD: Political Discourse

PM: Prime Minister

PPCs: Political Press Conferences

PRC: Peoples Republic of China

Pro: Process

SFG: Systemic Functional Grammar

UN: United Nations

List of Tables

	page
Table 1: Categories of Relational Processes (Halliday, 1994:p. 166)	44
Table 2 : <i>Process types, meanings and participants</i> (Halliday,1994 260)	-
Table 2 : Types of Lacunae	70
Table 3: Inclusive we as participant in Abulgheit-Shalom PPCs	77-8
Table 4 : The use of inclusive-we in Abulgheit-Livni PPC	.78-9
Table 5: The use of inclusive-we in Mubarak-Rabin conference	79
Table 6: Examples of inclusive-we in the Sadat-Begin conference.	.79-80
Table 7 : Processes of Mutual Praise	83-4
Table 8: Prospects for constructive relationship	.85-6
Table 9: Positive self presentation, the Israeli side	89-90
Tables10: FM Livni Justifying own Action: Processes	91-2
Table 11: Begin Justifying Own Action: Processes	93
Table 12 : Justifying Own Action: Participants	95
Tables 13: Negative other presentation	96
Table 14: Material processes in Israeli diplomats discourse	97
Table 15 : Subjugation of the Press	99
Table 16 : Deletion through nominalization	102-3
Table 17a: Medium acting as Agent	106
Table 18: Processes involving Medium	107

	page
Table 19: Processes containing Passive structures	110-11
Table 20: Agents Foregrounded through passivization	112
Tables 20: Egyptian politicians' recognition of Israel through existe	ential
presupposition	117
Table 21:Israeli politicians' recognition of Israel through	existentia
presuppositions	121
Table 22: Other Existential presuppositions	123-4
Table 23: Factive presuppositions	125-6
Table 24 : Reiterative Presuppositions	127
Table 25 : Cleft and pseudo-cleft presuppositions	129
Table 27 : Counterfactual clauses	131

Chapter One

Introduction

This thesis is an attempt to present a critical discourse analysis of the political discourse used by politicians and journalists in four Egyptian-Israeli press conferences. The study investigates ideology, influence and power and cultural gaps expressed through transitivity, presupposition, allusion and cultural lacunae. The analysis of the political discourse in joint political conferences is supposed to highlight the yet obscure area of joint press conferences, where the participants are supposed to create common ground and a positive atmosphere at a certain stage, yet occupy the stage, so to say, when they can seize the day. Joint political Press conferences (henceforth PPCs) stand as an opportunity for politicians to emphasize the party's or the country's ideology and demonstrate power and influence over the other. Thirdly, they are events where discourse reveals cultural differences.

Particularly in the Middle East, joint PPCs are significant due to the unstable political dilemma that started with the rise of the state of Israel on Arab territories in 1948. The Egyptian-Israeli relationship has held the biggest share of the conflict, with four major wars in less than thirty years.

As an introduction to the thesis, the present chapter attempts to cover the following points: